

Corporate Social Responsibility and Employee Socioeconomic Advancement: Analyzing the Link Between Workplace Ethics and Professional Recognition

¹Vivek.R, ²Dr. M. Radhikaashree

¹Research Scholar - Faculty of Management Studies, Dr. M.G.R Educational and Research Institute, Periyar E.V.R. High Road, (NH 4 Highway) Maduravoyal, Chennai, TN, India.

Mail ID: vivek.317157@gmail.com , OrchidID:0009-0009-2497-1649

²Professor - Department of Management Studies, Dr. M.G.R Educational and Research Institute, Periyar E.V.R. High Road, (NH 4 Highway) Maduravoyal, Chennai, TN, India.

Mail ID: radhikaashree.mba@drmgrdu.ac.in , OrchidID:0000-0003-4820-1832

ARTICLE INFO

Received: 25 Dec 2024

Revised: 12 Feb 2025

Accepted: 20 Feb 2025

ABSTRACT

Employees today want more than just a salary. They're looking for work that feels meaningful, leaders they can trust, and chances to grow both on the job and as individuals. This paper looks at how Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) connects with the development of employees not just in their careers, but in their overall well-being. It shows that when companies treat their people fairly, engage them genuinely, and make social values a part of their culture, they build workplaces where people feel valued and recognized. Based on examples and firsthand observations, the article highlights how companies that take CSR seriously often see better employee morale, stronger performance, and even a better reputation in the public eye. But it really goes beyond that. When companies invest in training, give the tools, and allow their people to build meaningful networks, those employees are more likely to rise to the occasion, taking accountability for bigger contributions. These aren't just pleasant side effects; they are the very things that should come about through purposeful engagements. Such levels of leadership create ripple effects that reach into communities, creating loyalty and growth over time. So it would seem CSR cannot be just a side show. To achieve actual impact, it must be integrated directly with company objectives, values, and the very fabric of its day-to-day existence. When that happens, everyone benefits- employees, companies, and society at large.

Keywords: Corporate Social Responsibility, Workplace Ethics, Employee Socioeconomic Advancement, Professional Recognition, Organizational Culture, Employee Advancement

Introduction

Corporate social responsibility has turned since 1971 into new symbols of obligatory business practice that are perceived to influence many organizational and employee outcomes. Corporates are including CSR as a part of their core business models for sustainable operations, ethical workplaces, and employee satisfaction across the globe. One dimension of CSR that has gained prominence in discussions is how it impacts employees' socioeconomic advancement because it is usually linked with values concerning workplace ethics and professional acknowledgment.

Currently, ethical governance and responsible business orientations are at their peak within organizations, and employees tend to seek among those firms which can offer them the best ethical accountability regarding fairness and social impact. Effective implementation of CSR initiatives has a direct impact on positive workplace or work culture, increasing job satisfaction, which culminates into career opportunities within the firm. Ethical workplaces are trustful, fair, and inclusive, which besides resulting in better morale of the staff also leads to greater engagement, retention, and professional recognition. However, the means by which CSR influences career trajectories and economic progression for employees have not been fully explored either directly or indirectly.

The study offers an overview of the complexity of relationships amongst CSR, workplace ethics, and employee socioeconomic advancement focusing on the CSR-driven ethical practices shaping employee experiences, growth possibilities, and economic freedom. It indicates whether companies with companies exhibiting more ethical leadership, social impact, and employee well-being lead to an increase in retention, growth in salary for employees, and more internal promotion opportunities for them. Moreover, it will provide research on how workplace ethics would mediate these relationships to ensure that CSR practices have visible results for employees.

This study basically holds the hypothesis that ethical workplaces through an avenue of CSR recognize improved employee status, career mobility, and economic status. For this reason, this study pursues a mixed-method angle, including quantitative data analysis and case studies to generate empirical evidence regarding links that exist between CSR and the professional growth of employees. Furthermore, by analyzing the respective sectors, it will look into whether this effect varies with the economic sector.

This understanding, therefore, is critical for the appreciation of HR practitioners, corporate leaders, and even policymakers in formulating decisions on CSR investments, workplace ethics, and talent management practices. Thus, it is most likely going to further the ever-growing knowledge base that portrays CSR as not only a corporately defined obligation but as strategic entry into enabling employee success and long-term growth for the organization.

Theoretical Framework

1. Foundations of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) and Stakeholder Theory

Up to a few decades ago, businesses existed solely for the purpose of maximizing shareholder profit, believing that financial success defined corporate success. However, things began to change when **Carroll (1991)** introduced the Pyramid of CSR, which dealt with the four spheres of responsibility: economic, legal, ethical, and philanthropic. He suggested that corporate success must consider all these responsibilities, with none attaining dominance over the others, instead of pursuing profits alone.

This idea was sustained by **Freeman et al. (2004)** with Stakeholder Theory, which extended the company stake beyond just employees, customers, communities, and investors to consider them as equal stakeholders. According to this perspective, the firm does not prosper on its own; it develops under the aegis of society. Such thinking firmly placed employees previously considered mere labor resources, as stakeholder partners in a company's journey.

As organizations mainstreamed social responsibility, **Porter and Kramer (2011)** extended the discussion to Creating Shared Value. Business was about creating economic and societal benefits, with value generation strategies allowing both businesses and their employees to thrive. This revolutionary thought allowed companies to view CSR as an opportunity for sustainable success rather than merely as a cost, in which both society and employees prospered together.

2. Employee Commitment and Organizational Loyalty

An employee entering a workplace governed by ethical leadership, equitable policies, and social responsibility might feel a range of emotions. Employees with such settings, as **Turker (2009)** posits,

tend to develop an emotional bond with their organizations, leading to loyalty. They stop thinking of employment as merely a pay source and rather consider it as a place of belonging.

According to **Collier and Esteban (2007)**, that employees' loyalty to CSR-active firms is deepened such that the employees become active supporters of their company's ethical values, thereby clinging to a culture of trust. **Bauman and Skitka (2012)** further corroborated the need for CSR as a significant determinant of job satisfaction and pride in the company for providing ethical satisfaction along with profit.

Hence Peterson (2004) found that corporate citizenship-the so-called altruism-would increase employee loyalty. Employees reciprocate long-term commitment to organizations that treat them right. **Brammer, Millington, and Rayton (2007)** expressed that CSR initiatives reduce employee turnover and enhance employee engagement and productivity. Thus, the message is clear; whilst ethical companies inspire employee loyalty, loyal employees will nurture stronger organizations.

3. CSR as a Tool for Employee Attraction and Retention

Attracting top talent is a challenge in today's competitive job market. But could CSR make the difference? Job seekers choose CSR-oriented organizations over others, says **Jones, Willness, and Madey (2007)**. In an age when employees seek meaningful occupations, CSR acts as a magnet drawing skilled professionals near.

Bhattacharya, Sen, and Korschun (2008) argued that, in addition, socially responsible companies enjoy higher retention rates. Employees stay longer in companies when they find value in the same things as the company. In a classic example, Kim and Scullion (2013) went on to prove that CSR measures leveraged by multinational corporation's lure top talent from across the globe. To put it in simpler terms, CSR is not only a local advantage but rather a global strategy for workforce development.

Meanwhile, **Chatterji, Levine, and Toffel (2009)**, found that organizations with high CSR ratings boast superior hiring prospects. Job seekers actively research a company's ethical profile prior to applying. Supporting this, **Du, Bhattacharya, and Sen (2010)** established that CSR initiatives forge emotional ties between employees and their firms, reducing attrition. The implication is that firms that integrate CSR in their culture not only do good for society but also acquire and retain top talent.

4. CSR and Employee Motivation, Performance, and Engagement

An image of an office comes to mind in which workers are not merely being paid but are engaged with their companies' missions. **Aguinis and Glavas (2012)** noted that motivation levels soar in CSR-oriented workplaces, as employees feel their work contributes to something greater than themselves. This notion is further delineated by **Story and Neves (2015)**, who looked at the dual motivations for CSR-some employees are internally motivated to engage, while others consider CSR to offer an avenue for advancement.

Glavas and Piderit (2009) show that CSR promotes morale and productivity among employees. These employees cooperate because they identify with the ethical vision of their companies. A similar finding was reported by **Slack, Corlett, and Morris (2015)**: Employees participating in CSR initiatives find more opportunities for personal and professional growth.

Hansen et al. (2011) went further to link CSR with workplace well-being. Employees' perceptions of their jobs are considerably higher less stress and excellent cooperation when organizations practice CSR. The bottom line? CSR is more than mere goodwill to the outside world. It is a tangible way to drive motivation, performance, and engagement to the inside.

5. The Ethical and Psychological Impact of CSR on Employees

Certainly, there are many differences in perspectives on the ethical position of a company that affects the perception of justice and fairness among employees. **Rupp, Ganapathi, Aguilera, and Williams (2013)** introduced a framework for organizational justice that allows employees to evaluate corporate social responsibility initiatives as indicative of fairness. By investing in social causes, businesses prove to employees that they are working in a fair environment.

The psychological aspect of CSR was previously studied by **Gond, Akremi, Swaen, and Babu (2011)** in which it was shown that employees tend to develop a deeper trust to such companies as ethical. This is consistent with the findings of **Kim et al. (2017)**, which conclude that CSR intensifies the identification of employees with their organization which, in turn, makes them feel they are part of something bigger than themselves.

Hemingway and MacLagan (2004) indicated that the contributions of management are important in internalizing the values of CSR since it constitutes a source of influence on corporate culture. **Weaver, Treviño, and Cochran (1999)**, who went ahead to show that corporate ethics programs develop an accountability culture, hence decreasing misconducts at the workplace. The bottom line here is CSR makes sure that employees are treated well in ethical and psychologically satisfying environments, resulting in them being happy.

6. Strategic and Financial Implications of CSR

There is a contest with the opinion of some on viewing CSR as an expenditure, but evidence is otherwise. **McWilliams, Siegel, and Wright (2006)** established that an organization that makes CSR part of its core strategy would manage long-term sustainability. In the same vein, **Branco and Rodrigues (2006)** identified CSR as a market positioning advantage.

Maignan and Ferrell (2001) brought forth data that proved CSR serves as a marketing tool that is furthered in the aspect of the reputation of the corporation. **Luo and Bhattacharya (2006)** tethered CSR and customer satisfaction, connecting them to market equity and clarifying that the ethical business does turn to financial advantages. **Doh, Howton, Howton, and Siegel (2011)** supported this by stating the assertion that CSR-directed firms outperformed the competition with respect to finances, thus showing further validation that social responsibility is that business strategy.

Orlitzky, Schmidt, and Rynes (2003) included this affirmation in their meta-analysis, demonstrating that CSR firms enjoy greater profit levels and employee commitment. The conclusion is obvious; CSR is not only ethical, but also economically rewarding.

7. CSR and Human Resource Development

Corporate social responsibility is not merely a business obligation; it is actually an HR strategy. According to **Zappalà (2004)** CSR can link itself to human resource development such that ethical workplaces generally produce an environment for collaboration and the growth of leaders. Thus, **Hansen et al. (2011)** have stated that job satisfaction can easily improve at the workplace when CSR initiatives have made the environment more inclusive.

One of the driving factors of CSR is HR policies that promote diversity, inclusion, and ethical leadership. Thus, such studies elaborate on the fact that CSR is not just borne by external expectations; it is actually an tool to build a strong, motivated workforce.

Methodology

Organizations have been using Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) in modern-day scenarios to build workplace ethics and address employee socioeconomic advancement. The present study investigates the roles that the CSR initiatives have in promoting employee recognition, career growth, and financial stability, with workplace ethics as a central mediator.

A quantitative cross-sectional research design was adopted in evaluating data from various industry sectors regarding the effectiveness of CSR on job satisfaction, engagement, and professional recognition. The regression analysis measured ethical leadership, workplace fairness, social impact, and employee well-being as predictors of socioeconomic mobility. The sample consisted of 321 valid survey generated responses through an online survey with the utmost credibility for the research results. Statistical tests descriptive analysis, multiple regression, and model fit assessment provided converging evidence for the importance of CSR-adiabatic ethical environments in shaping employee outcomes.

Ethical considerations were maintained to ensure anonymity and voluntary participation. It was revealed that CSR-attributed workplace ethics were the significant promoters of career growth, salary advancement, and recognition, all of which contribute to CSR's role as a strategic enabler of employee success.

This study bridges the gap between CSR and real benefits accruing to employees, giving an insight that can be put into practice for HR leaders and policymakers to develop ethical-workplace environments that afford employees long-term advancement on the social and economic front.

Results and Discussion

The relationship between CSR and workplace ethics with the advancement of employee socioeconomic status was evaluated descriptively in a sample of 321 employees from different industries. Based on the descriptive analysis and the relations between CSR and workplace ethics with employee socioeconomic advancement, the following hypotheses were formulated:

H1: CSR initiatives lead to positive workplace ethics that affect the socioeconomic advancement of employees and professional recognition in terms of career growth, salary increase, and long-term job security.

Table1: Consolidated Demographic Variables Table

Demographic Variable	Categories	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)
Industry	IT and Technology	81	25.20%
	Finance and Banking	72	22.40%
	Healthcare	50	15.60%
	Manufacturing	58	18.10%
	Retail and Services	60	18.70%
Job Level	Entry-Level	102	31.80%
	Mid-Level	139	43.30%

	Senior Management	80	24.90%
Gender	Male	171	53.30%
	Female	150	46.70%
Age Group	20-30 years	118	36.80%
	31-40 years	112	34.90%
	41-50 years	60	18.70%
	51+ years	31	9.60%

CSR Participation and Employee Advancement Across Industries, Job Levels, Gender, and Age

Corporate social responsibility is that aspect that shapes different areas like workplace ethics, employee recognition, and career progression. IT and Technology (25.2%) and Finance and Banking (22.4%) find themselves invariably swept into these waves of CSR minor through the very patterns of ethical governance that they are practicing, have such polarity of agent-stakeholder interface through structured policies, and maintain a lucid equity base framework for career development initiatives. Most career recognitions and advancements of employees in these sectors are CSR-driven. In contrast, health services (15.6%) and manufacturing (18.1%) have very little integration of CSR because they focus mainly on operational efficiency and compliance as opposed to structured CSR-oriented programs for employee development. In the classification of Retail and Services (18.7%), Manufacturing comes after, with opportunities for further CSR development to employee welfare and socioeconomic yields.

On various levels of the job, Mid-Level captures the largest share of respondents benefitting from the CSR since the CSR policies provided framework for their leadership development and professional recognition. Entry-Level (31.8%) seems not to enjoy that much of exposure to CSR, making it critical for the introduction of mentorship programs that would immerse Entry-Level workers into the ethical cultures of work. For the most part, senior management (24.9%) benefitted from CSR working since ethical leadership would, of course, determine the most critical issues in corporate values and employee engagement.

There is a relative balance in the workforce composition (53.3% male and 46.7% female), but gender gaps in leadership remain. CSR plays an important role in bridging the gap, as CSR initiatives promote gender equality through equal pay, leadership training, and promotion policies.

Young professionals (20-30 years: 36.8%) dominate the workforce, indicating an urgent need for CSR-based leadership training to retain young talent. Mid-career professionals (31-40 years: 34.9%) gain the most from CSR due to structured career advancement and professional recognition, whereas older employees (41 years and above: 28.3%) may face career stagnation requiring a set of CSR policies to induce continued employer engagement and skills development.

Across all demographics, CSR acts as a change agent for establishing an honest culture in workplaces, upward mobility in careers, and transitional socioeconomic progress, accentuating the urgency of developing inclusive and industry-specific CSR strategies.

Table2: Statistical Summary of CSR Impact on Workplace Ethics and Professional Recognition

Metric	CSR Participation (%)	Professional Recognition (%)	Salary Growth (%)
Mean	75.38	68.66	10.46
Median	76	70.2	10.2
Mode	65.8	58.7	8.5
Standard Deviation (SD)	8.25	8.79	1.67

On such an understanding, an objective statistical summary reveals excellent insights into how Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) participation influences workplace ethics, professional recognition, and salary growth. The mean CSR participation rate is at 75.38%, thus indicating that a larger percentage of organizations integrate CSR into their corporate frameworks. This is indeed a reflection of strong commitment towards ethical workplace practices and employee well-being. The median CSR participation (76%) is quite close to the mean, which suggests a balanced distribution, while the mode (65.8%) highlights that some companies still lag in CSR engagement.

There is also a similar trend in professional recognition, which reflects mutual acknowledgement among employees and the degree of their acceptance to rise in career. The mean recognition rate stands at 68.66%, which shows that CSR increases the recognition of employees. The median figure of 70.2% certainly means that most employees in an organization converting to CSR will experience some professional acknowledgment while of mode 58.7% reflects some organizations that still need to develop better career enhancement initiatives that relate to CSR.

Salary growth, which is a crucial marker of socioeconomic advancement, has a mean increase of 10.46% with a median of 10.2% and mode of 8.5%. This means these employees in damage-control firms see only slight but steady increases in wages, further cementing the relationship between CSR participation and financial stability. To sum it all, CSR instills ethics in the workplace, succor to career growth, and comprises economic benefits to employees.

CSR contributions greatly enhance work ethics, professional recognition, and salary growth. Strong CSR frameworks in the industry stimulate career growth for employees, irrespective of gender. CSR policy gets ethical workplace practices to enable socioeconomic advancement across the various rungs of employment and age. Make CSR stronger, and it will even be a better improvement for employees' well-being and an organizational success story.

Correlation Analysis Summary

A **Pearson correlation analysis** was conducted to explore relationships between CSR, workplace ethics, and socioeconomic advancement:

Table3: Pearson correlation analysis

Variables	CSR Effectiveness	Workplace Ethics	Career Growth	Salary Growth	Professional Recognition
CSR Effectiveness	1				
Workplace Ethics	0.72**	1			
Career Growth	0.64**	0.66**	1		
Salary Growth	0.58**	0.61**	0.69**	1	
Professional Recognition	0.71**	0.73**	0.75**	0.68**	1

($p < 0.01$, highly significant)

Key Findings from Correlation Analysis:

One unit of CSR Effectiveness is associated with an increase of 72% in Workplace Ethics, thereby contributing to a stronger ethical culture; it accounts for a 64% increase in Career Growth, thus emphasizing its contribution to professional development. In addition, CSR accounts for a 58% increase in Salary Growth, thus suggesting better financial incentives for socially responsible companies. Lastly, Professional Recognition gains 71% from CSR, thus showing that employees in CSR-oriented firms are more likely to be recognized for their contributions.

Multiple Regression Analysis

Table3: Multiple Regression Analysis on the variables

Dependent Variable	Independent Variables		Multiple R Value	R Square Value	F Value	P Value
Professional Recognition (Y)	CSR Effectiveness	(X ₁)	0.83	0.69	68.32	<0.001**
	Workplace Ethics	(X ₂)				
	Career Growth	(X ₃)				
	Salary Growth	(X ₄)				

The regression analysis was performed to test the effect of independent variables (CSR Effectiveness, Workplace Ethics, Career Growth, and Salary Growth) on the dependent variable (Professional Recognition). The model is explained in 69% for Professional Recognition variance ($R^2=0.69$) and is therefore called indicative of remarkable great predictive power. Furthermore, the significant F-statistic (68.32, $p<0.001$) confirms the validity of the model. Results suggest, among others, that organizations should prioritize career development and ethical environments to boost recognition, with CSR as secondary support. Salary increases contribute least to professional recognition. This model provides insights on enhancing employee recognition strategies.

Regression Coefficients

Table4: Regression Coefficients on Variables

Variable	B (Unstandardized)	SE	β (Standardized)	t Value	P Value
Constant	0.15	0.12	-	1.25	0.212
CSR Effectiveness	0.28	0.06	0.24	4.67	<0.001**
Workplace Ethics	0.31	0.05	0.27	6.20	<0.001**
Career Growth	0.35	0.04	0.33	8.75	<0.001**
Salary Growth	0.18	0.05	0.15	3.60	<0.001**

Thus, CSR Effectiveness ($P < 0.001$) has a strong positive influence on Professional Recognition. Workplace Ethics ($P < 0.001$) is a key driver that impacts Professional Recognition. Career Growth ($P < 0.001$) is another considerable contributor to Professional Recognition. Salary Growth ($P < 0.001$) positively engages employees in the job, and the coefficients are all significant at the 1% level.

Using the unstandardized coefficients, the regression equation for predicting professional recognition is:

$$Y = 0.15 + 0.28X_1 + 0.31X_2 + 0.35X_3 + 0.18X_4$$

A one-unit increase in CSR Effectiveness results in a 0.28-unit increase in Professional Recognition, holding all other variables constant. This shows a moderate positive effect of CSR activities on the recognition professionals receive in their organizations. The significance ($p < 0.001$) confirms that a statistically strong relationship has been established. In essence, 1 unit increase in Workplace Ethics increases Professional Recognition by 0.31 units, implying that workplace ethics (fairness, transparency, and integrity) have slightly more effect on recognition in organizations than CSR activities. The higher beta coefficient ($\beta = 0.27$ in the standardized version) emphasizes that Career Growth is the strongest predictor in the model.

This is the strongest predictor in the model. A one-unit increase in Career Growth results in a 0.35-unit increase in Professional Recognition such that opportunities for career advancement, skill development, and career progression figure highest among what employees regard as relevant to their professional recognition. Salary Growth, although with the least effect on Professional Recognition (0.18 units per one-unit increase), since it is still statistically significant ($p < 0.001$), implies that an increase in salary does matter for recognition, albeit to a much lesser degree than Career Growth, Workplace Ethics, and CSR efforts.

Conclusion

This research study in detail shows how CSR acts as a significant force for change in workplace dynamics and personal growth. After interviewing professionals from various industries, we found CSR to be a strong motivator that plays a more important role in shaping careers than any alternative reward system. This research illustrates that when CSR is included in HR strategies and practices, it encourages the creation of ecosystems where ethical practices and professional growth stay in harmony.

Workplace ethics emerge as the backbone of this transformation, establishing cultures where transparency and fairness become drivers of employee recognition. Beyond polite policies, these ethical principles are observable in day-to-day operations, influencing the way employees perceive their worth

in the organization. In particular, career opportunities resulting from CSR would benefit most from providing employees with opportunities to develop while working toward something meaningful.

The sector-specific results point to interesting divergence-technological and financial companies are the primary companies that leverage CSR for the growth of their employees, while healthcare and manufacturing are instances wherein untapped possibilities lie. This discrepancy indicates that CSR will achieve greater benefit in different working cultures with an industry-oriented approach.

Having the spaces of work imbued with CSR does provide an excellent leverage for advancement to mid-level professionals, though the report mentions that some disparities in the leadership diversity need to be addressed. Findings place CSR not just as peripheral philanthropic activity but one that forms a strategic agenda for strengthening organizational culture and employee opportunities.

Such insights are crying out for rethinking the way CSR is done-conceiving CSR not so much as isolated volunteer efforts but as one that runs through the whole spectrum of talent management systems. If companies integrate this into their system, the organizations create the environment where ethical business practice and employee success are mutually reinforcing elements of organizational excellence. Future programs need to focus on adapting these approaches to industry templates while being able to lift some systemic barriers hindering fair and equitable advancement.

Acknowledgment

This study is not funded by any agency and is conducted by the authors independently.

Funding

No specific grant from a public, private, or nonprofit organization was obtained for this study.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

Author Contributions

All authors are equally contributed

Ethics Approval

Not Applicable.

Abbreviation

CSR: Corporate Social Responsibility

HR: Human Resources

References:

- [1] Carroll, A. B. (1991). The pyramid of corporate social responsibility: Toward the moral management of organizational stakeholders. *Business Horizons*, 34(4), 39-48.
- [2] Freeman, R. E., Harrison, J. S., and Wicks, A. C. (2004). *Managing for stakeholders: Survival, reputation, and success*. Yale University Press.
- [3] Turker, D. (2009). How corporate social responsibility influences organizational commitment. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 89(2), 189-204.
- [4] Aguinis, H., and Glavas, A. (2012). What we know and don't know about corporate social responsibility: A review and research agenda. *Journal of Management*, 38(4), 932-968.

- [5] Branco, M. C., and Rodrigues, L. L. (2006). Corporate social responsibility and resource-based perspectives. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 69(2), 111-132.
- [6] Kim, S., and Scullion, H. (2013). The effect of corporate social responsibility on employee motivation: A case of multinational corporations. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 24(15), 3082-3100.
- [7] Rupp, D. E., Ganapathi, J., Aguilera, R. V., and Williams, C. A. (2013). Employee reactions to corporate social responsibility: An organizational justice framework. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 27(4), 537-543.
- [8] Glavas, A., and Piderit, S. K. (2009). How does doing good matter? *Journal of Corporate Citizenship*, 36, 51-70.
- [9] Chatterji, A. K., Levine, D. I., and Toffel, M. W. (2009). How well do social ratings actually measure corporate social responsibility? *Journal of Economics and Management Strategy*, 18(1), 125-169.
- [10] Porter, M. E., and Kramer, M. R. (2011). Creating shared value. *Harvard Business Review*, 89(1/2), 62-77.
- [11] Story, J., and Neves, P. (2015). When corporate social responsibility (CSR) increases performance: Exploring the role of intrinsic and extrinsic CSR attributions. *Business Ethics Quarterly*, 25(3), 493-531.
- [12] Du, S., Bhattacharya, C. B., and Sen, S. (2010). Maximizing business returns to corporate social responsibility (CSR). *International Journal of Marketing*, 34(3), 8-15.
- [13] Jones, D. A., Willness, C. R., and Madey, S. (2007). Why are job seekers attracted to social responsible companies? *Journal of Business and Psychology*, 22(1), 1-10.
- [14] Collier, J., and Esteban, R. (2007). Corporate social responsibility and employee commitment. *Business Ethics: A European Review*, 16(1), 19-33.
- [15] Bauman, C. W., and Skitka, L. J. (2012). Corporate social responsibility as a source of employee satisfaction. *Business Ethics Quarterly*, 22(2), 297-318.
- [16] Kim, H. R., Lee, M., Lee, H. T., and Kim, N. M. (2017). Corporate social responsibility and employee-company identification. *Journal of Business Research*, 65(11), 1716-1725.
- [17] Bhattacharya, C. B., Sen, S., and Korschun, D. (2008). Using corporate social responsibility to win the war for talent. *MIT Sloan Management Review*, 49(2), 37-44.
- [18] Hemingway, C. A., and MacLagan, P. W. (2004). Managers' personal values as drivers of corporate social responsibility. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 50(1), 33-44.
- [19] Maignan, I., and Ferrell, O. C. (2001). Corporate citizenship as a marketing instrument. *European Journal of Marketing*, 35(3/4), 457-484.
- [20] Zappalà, G. (2004). Corporate citizenship and human resource management. *Australian Journal of Management*, 29(2), 237-254.
- [21] McWilliams, A., Siegel, D. S., and Wright, P. M. (2006). Corporate social responsibility: Strategic implications. *Journal of Management Studies*, 43(1), 1-18.
- [22] Gond, J. P., Akremi, A. E., Swaen, V., and Babu, N. (2011). The psychological microfoundations of corporate social responsibility. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 102(1), 1-19.
- [23] Peterson, D. K. (2004). The relationship between perceptions of corporate citizenship and organizational commitment. *Business and Society*, 43(3), 296-319.
- [24] Luo, X., and Bhattacharya, C. B. (2006). Corporate social responsibility, customer satisfaction, and market value. *Journal of Marketing*, 70(4), 1-18.
- [25] Orlitzky, M., Schmidt, F. L., and Rynes, S. L. (2003). Corporate social and financial performance: A meta-analysis. *Organization Studies*, 24(3), 403-441.
- [26] Brammer, S., Millington, A., and Rayton, B. (2007). The contribution of corporate social responsibility to organizational commitment. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 18(10), 1701-1719.
- [27] Weaver, G. R., Treviño, L. K., and Cochran, P. L. (1999). Corporate ethics programs as control systems. *Academy of Management Journal*, 42(1), 41-57.

- [28] Hansen, S. D., Dunford, B. B., Boss, A. D., Boss, R. W., and Angermeier, I. (2011). Corporate social responsibility and employee outcomes. *Group and Organization Management*, 36(1), 101-132.
- [29] Slack, R. E., Corlett, S., and Morris, R. (2015). Exploring employee engagement with corporate social responsibility. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 127(3), 537-548.
- [30] Doh, J. P., Howton, S. D., Howton, S. W., and Siegel, D. S. (2011). Corporate social responsibility and financial performance. *Strategic Management Journal*, 32(6), 643-680.