

Exploring the Role of Robotics in News Production and Dissemination within Arab Media Institutions: An Empirical Study

Abdullah Mohammed Abdullah Atbiqua¹, Marwa Mohamed Ghanem Eldeib², Salah Mohamed Alharari Alshibani³

¹Department of Media, Faculty of Arts, University of Sirte – Libya

²Department of Media, Faculty of Arts, University of Tobruk – Libya

³Department of Media, Open University – Libya

Corresponding author: dr.amatbiqua@su.edu.ly

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

Received: 26 Mar 2025

Revised: 18 May 2025

Accepted: 20 Jun 2025

This study provides a forward-looking examination of how robotics is being integrated into Arab media institutions, with particular emphasis on its role in news production and dissemination. It investigates the extent to which cutting-edge technologies—specifically robotic systems—can enhance operational efficiency, expedite production processes, and improve content quality across various news platforms. By situating these developments within the broader trajectory of digital transformation, the research illuminates both the potential benefits and the practical hurdles associated with adopting automation tools in the media sector. Methodologically, the study employs a descriptive survey approach, integrating quantitative data from structured questionnaires with qualitative insights gleaned from in-depth interviews with media professionals and communication scholars. The sample encompasses 216 respondents drawn from prominent Arab media outlets that have already implemented robotics in their production pipelines. Geographically, the participants are distributed as follows: Egypt (76), Qatar (26), Saudi Arabia (49), and the United Arab Emirates (65). Findings indicate a prevailing optimism regarding the potential of media robotics to elevate content quality and tailor news output to audience preferences—an orientation that reflects a growing institutional openness toward technological adoption. At the same time, participants voiced concern over several socio-economic and logistical impediments—most notably the scarcity of requisite resources, infrastructural deficits, and the ethical dilemmas posed by algorithmic decision-making in news curation. The study further highlights the need to recalibrate professional training within the sector, emphasizing the imperative of equipping communication practitioners with the digital competencies required to navigate an increasingly automated media environment. In this regard, it advocates for the systematic integration of robotics and AI-focused modules into training curricula and institutional capacity-building programs.

Keywords: Robots, News Production, Emerging Technologies, Digital Transformation, Media Institutions, Media Workflows, Technological Transformation.

1.INTRODUCTION

Contemporary society is witnessing far-reaching shifts across numerous domains, spurred by the relentless acceleration of technological innovation. These advances are not merely reshaping industrial processes—they are fundamentally altering the rhythms, structures, and textures of everyday life. Among the sectors most deeply affected is the field of media and communication, which has undergone a dramatic transformation in recent years. The adoption of emerging technologies has significantly elevated performance standards and operational efficiency. Arab media institutions have not stood apart from these developments. On the contrary, they have begun integrating emerging technologies across diverse operational realms—from traditional print journalism to digital media platforms—thus discovering promising avenues for increased competitiveness and innovation. Chief among these transformative technologies are robotics, which have already made significant inroads in manufacturing, service, and

high-tech industries. As robotics become more prevalent in the Arab media sector, intriguing questions arise about how these institutions might harness their potential to expedite news production and refine content dissemination.

The significance of examining the role of robotics in Arab news production lies in understanding how media institutions are adapting to the imperatives of technological modernization. As news remains a core component of journalistic output—particularly in print formats—any improvements in the mechanisms of its production directly impact both content quality and organizational productivity. Moreover, with automation becoming increasingly central across industries, Arab media organizations are under growing pressure to explore how robotic technologies can be harnessed to revitalize their editorial processes and redefine the future of journalism in the region.

This study thus sets out to examine the potential contributions of robotics to news production within Arab media institutions, while also identifying the specific structural and operational challenges that may hinder the effective adoption of these technologies. Given the inherent complexity and professional demands of news production—characterized by high standards of accuracy, editorial judgment, and narrative coherence—it is essential to assess whether and how robotic systems can support or enhance these standards.

In addition to exploring technological capacity, the study also interrogates contextual variables that condition the success of robotic integration in newsrooms, including technical infrastructure, availability of financial and human resources, and the prevailing attitudes of media professionals towards this tech.

Research Problem

News production represents a foundational element of media work, particularly within print journalism and other forms of content that rely on periodic publication. As technological advancement continues to reshape production environments, a growing number of industries—including media—have begun to adopt automation and robotic systems to improve efficiency, lower costs, and elevate output quality.

While such developments are gradually being mirrored in Arab media systems, the integration of robotics into news production remains uneven and fraught with challenges. High expectations surrounding the potential of these technologies are tempered by practical concerns regarding implementation—especially in editorial domains that demand a high level of accuracy, consistency, and editorial discernment.

This study is anchored in a central problem: how can Arab media institutions effectively deploy robotic technologies in the production and dissemination of news content? More specifically, it seeks to understand the degree to which these tools can enhance the efficiency and quality of news workflows while reducing time and resource expenditures. Despite a growing body of research on automation in global media contexts, there remains a conspicuous gap in empirical studies addressing the specific implications of robotics within Arab newsrooms. Moreover, the challenges of robotic integration in this context are not purely technical. They intersect with broader socio-economic and institutional dynamics, such as infrastructural deficiencies, limited access to funding and skilled labor, and resistance or skepticism among media practitioners themselves.

Accordingly, this study poses the following research question: How are robotic technologies being operationalized in Arab media institutions, and what are their implications for productivity and the quality of printed media outputs? In answering this question, the research also considers the broader social and economic ramifications of automation in journalism—particularly with regard to labor dynamics and the evolving professional roles of journalists and media workers in an increasingly automated newsroom environment.

Research Significance

Practical Significance

1. **Bolstering Media Organizations' Efficiency**
By examining how media-focused robotics can facilitate the production and dissemination of news, this research empowers institutions to deliver fast, accurate coverage. In turn, such streamlined operations bolster overall productivity while reducing operational expenses.
2. **Preparing Media Outlets for an AI-Driven Future**
Drawing upon the study's findings, Arab media institutions can develop forward-looking strategies that

harness cutting-edge technologies, aligning with anticipated sectoral needs and averting potential technical or ethical pitfalls.

3. Reconciling Innovation with Ethical and Social Dimensions

Gaining insights into the ethical and societal repercussions of robotic media systems enables media outlets to safeguard audience trust and credibility, even as they embrace new platforms and automation tools.

4. Supporting the Broader Transition to Digital Media Ecosystems

With a particular focus on advanced technologies such as artificial intelligence and robotics, this research underlines the broader imperative of digital transition in Arab media—a move that can foster a more innovative and adaptive environment.

Scholarly Significance

1. Enriching Academic Discourse

By shedding light on how artificial intelligence and robotic technologies shape Arab media, this study delivers fresh perspectives that help expand the existing body of knowledge concerning robotics implementation in media institutions.

2. Providing Empirical Evidence

Built on quantitative data and expert testimony, the study offers a scientifically grounded evaluation of how robotic innovations affect news production.

3. Establishing a Forward-Looking Knowledge Base

The findings serve as a valuable repository for future inquiries into the relationship between robotics and the media sector.

Research Questions

Central Research Question:

How might media-focused robotics reshape the future of news production and delivery within Arab media institutions?

Sub-Questions

1. What principal technical and ethical barriers confront Arab media organizations when they implement robotics in their news workflows?
2. In what ways can Arab media institutions exploit emerging technologies—particularly robotic systems—to elevate the quality of news coverage?
3. How do Arab academic and professional elites in the field of media perceive the adoption of robotics in news production?
4. To what extent can robotics boost operational efficiency and cut costs for Arab media organizations?

Research Objectives

Primary Objective

To explore the role of robotics in the creation and dissemination of news within Arab media institutions, analyzing its impact on core media processes from the perspective of academic elites.

Secondary Objectives

1. Identify possible technical and ethical constraints that may hinder the adoption of robotic solutions in Arab media organizations.
2. Evaluate the potential repercussions of robotics on the overall quality of news content and levels of audience engagement.
3. Explore how Arab media experts regard the influence of artificial intelligence in the region's news sector.

4. Examine the ways in which robotic systems could streamline operational workflows and reduce expenditures for Arab media institutions.

Conceptual Framework

a. Robotics: In the context of this research, the term robotics encompasses automated devices and systems designed to carry out specific tasks through pre-programmed software, with or without direct human oversight. While robotics has traditionally been associated with manufacturing processes, it now plays an increasingly prominent role in media-related activities—particularly in the production and dissemination of news. (*Al-Hibati, 2023*)

b. News Production: News production refers to the comprehensive process through which information is transformed into journalistic outputs, such as newspapers, magazines, and other printed or digital materials. This process typically includes editorial planning, content curation, the use of various chemical or material inputs when preparing physical copies, and final production for distribution. (*El-Sayed, 2024*)

c. Modern Media Technologies: Modern media technologies comprise the array of cutting-edge tools—among them artificial intelligence, robotic systems, digital printing, and automation platforms—designed to enhance productivity and efficiency in news-related fields. These innovations facilitate everything from streamlined editorial workflows to advanced distribution mechanisms in the contemporary media environment. (*Abdullah, 2023*)

d. Automation: Automation involves deploying technology-driven systems, including robotics, to undertake tasks once handled by human workers. In this study, it specifically denotes the use of robotic solutions to manage or expedite various phases of news production and circulation in media institutions, thereby optimizing efficiency and minimizing human interference. (*Jaber, 2021*)

e. Artificial Intelligence (AI): Artificial intelligence denotes machines' and algorithms' capacity to emulate and, in certain contexts, surpass human cognitive processes, including data analysis, pattern recognition, and decision-making. When applied to journalism, AI can streamline tasks like content analysis, predictive reporting, and editorial support, often operating hand-in-hand with robotic systems in the news production cycle. (*Abdelhamid, 2020*)

f. Digital Transformation in Media: Digital transformation in media highlights the evolution from traditional, analog-based production methods toward tech-driven, integrative approaches that rely on the internet, robotics, AI, and other advanced tools. This shift not only revolutionizes how content is generated and disseminated but also reshapes audience engagement strategies and organizational structures within media outlets. (*Ghali, 2021*)

g. Production Efficiency: Production efficiency refers to a media entity's ability to create high-quality, reliable content with minimal overhead—whether in terms of time, financial investment, or human resources. Within the domain of automated news production, robotics is increasingly perceived as a powerful means of bolstering institutional performance and sustaining competitive advantage. (*Abdelfadil, 2022*)

Literature Review

Zhou et al. (2025) offer an innovative perspective on human-computer interaction by developing an AI-powered virtual assistant designed to facilitate the integration of advanced technologies into television programming. The system, tailored to support investor decision-making, demonstrated a high degree of adoption and trust, underscoring the potential of intelligent robotic systems in media contexts. Their study notably focuses on multidimensional data analysis to deliver logically sound investment recommendations, thus demonstrating the system's efficacy in producing highly accurate consultations. While the present study also investigates the role of artificial intelligence in media, Zhou et al.'s work stands apart in its emphasis on interactive television—specifically voice and image recognition—rather than on journalistic or industrial robotics, which dominate much of the existing literature.

In a parallel yet distinct line of inquiry, Baudier and de Boissieu (2025) examine public perceptions of Social Media Robots (SMRs), employing source credibility theory to explore how attributes such as physical attractiveness, embodiment, and perceived expertise influence users' attitudes and purchasing intentions. Their findings indicate that while embodiment and aesthetic appeal play a critical role in shaping trust, user-influencer similarity exerts minimal influence. Importantly, the authors highlight embodiment's critical role in robotic influencer credibility—an insight that extends beyond journalistic or industrial applications to the social and marketing dimensions of robotic media. Unlike studies centered on AI in journalism or the Internet of Robotic Things (IoRT), Baudier and de Boissieu's

research brings to the fore the consumer perception of robotic systems in everyday social media use, offering a valuable contrast in scope and function.

Nur Fitria (2024) investigates the emergence of AI-generated news presenters in countries such as Indonesia, China, and South Korea. The study highlights how text-to-speech synthesis enables the creation of lifelike avatars capable of delivering visually and vocally coherent news segments. While this development presents promising opportunities, it also introduces new challenges in credibility, human-machine interaction, and journalistic authenticity. Fitria emphasizes that AI should not be viewed as a replacement for human journalists, but rather as a complementary tool that enhances performance and efficiency. While many studies acknowledge how AI reshapes human labor, Fitria's work is distinctive in its focus on the visual and broadcast dimensions of journalism, thus diverging from broader studies on digital journalism or industrial automation.

Building on a culturally embedded framework, Gondwe (2024) explores the intersection between Ubuntu philosophy and AI-assisted journalism in sub-Saharan Africa. This approach emphasizes collective responsibility, social cohesion, and human dignity as guiding principles for AI integration. By grounding AI practices in societal accountability and inclusivity, Gondwe introduces a culturally anchored model of media robotics. Although the current study is chiefly concerned with the technical and operational aspects of robotic integration, it converges with Gondwe's framework on the necessity for transparency, accountability, and fair representation. However, the contrast in philosophical underpinnings—Ubuntu's ethic of solidarity versus the technologically focused Arab media context, where digital security and press freedom are central—reveals the socio-political variations that shape AI deployment in different regions.

Ahmed Rashid (2024) shifts attention to the use of robotic anchors in news broadcasting, arguing that such systems are particularly effective for routine bulletins—weather updates, for instance—where consistency and predictability are paramount. He contends, however, that human anchors remain essential for editorial oversight and nuanced decision-making, particularly in contexts requiring interpretative judgment or real-time audience engagement. In line with the present analysis, Rashid affirms that robotic anchors can optimize routine news delivery, while the presence of human anchors ensures editorial depth and situational adaptability. Both studies, therefore, underscore the necessity of a hybrid model in which robotic and human anchors collaborate to enhance efficiency without compromising editorial integrity.

Masuda et al. (2021) examine the evolution of the Internet of Robotic Things (IoRT), proposing an architectural framework for robotic platforms that underscores the centrality of digital infrastructure in automation-driven institutions. Their study, tested through a case in a global robotics enterprise, underscores the imperative of keeping pace with rapid technological advances. Despite focusing on industrial rather than media applications, Masuda et al. identify operational and ethical challenges—such as transparency and responsible deployment—that resonate with the issues raised in AI-driven journalism. This convergence suggests that regardless of sector, the integration of intelligent systems must be underpinned by sound digital governance.

Minet Allah Kamal Eldeib (2021) directly addresses the implications of “robotic culture” in Arab newsrooms. Her study identifies both opportunities and anxieties among media professionals, particularly regarding job security. Eldeib's analysis confirms the promise of robotic integration for specific segments of news broadcasting, particularly weather or routine updates. However, while she emphasizes the enhancement of efficiency and general content quality, the present study narrows its focus to particular types of bulletins. Nevertheless, both studies advocate for the use of robotic anchors under human supervision to preserve professional standards and maintain oversight over editorial processes. Eldeib's attention to platform evolution and software innovation signals a broader industry shift toward more interactive and efficient news production.

Finally, Franklin and Murphy (2020) offer a normative critique of automated journalism, raising concerns about the erosion of diversity in reporting and the potential threat to objectivity posed by fully automated news systems. They advocate for clear ethical safeguards to ensure the accuracy, balance, and trustworthiness of news content generated through algorithmic processes. These concerns align closely with the ethical dimension of the current study, particularly regarding the future of editorial independence and integrity in Arab media contexts. While Franklin and Murphy approach the phenomenon from a global perspective, their emphasis on normative regulation and professional accountability is equally pertinent to localized applications of media robotics.

Research Methodology

This study adopts a prospective exploratory design grounded in the descriptive survey method, appropriate for capturing current trends and anticipating future developments in robotic journalism. As outlined by Abdelaziz (2015, p. 37), this approach enables the systematic identification of key variables and their interrelations by observing phenomena in their real-world contexts.

The choice of this methodology reflects both the empirical orientation of the study and its forward-looking scope. By administering structured surveys to Arab media professionals, the research seeks to document perceptions, institutional readiness, and the perceived impact of robotic technologies on news production. This allows for the construction of a regionally grounded understanding of how automation is reconfiguring editorial practices, labor dynamics, and professional norms.

The method is informed by a review of previous research employing diverse frameworks. Quantitative analyses, such as Baudier and de Boissieu's (2025) use of structured questionnaires and PLS modeling, have demonstrated how statistical tools can assess user attitudes toward AI systems. Conversely, qualitative studies—such as Gondwe's (2024) Ubuntu-informed interviews and El-Deb's (2021) practitioner-based inquiry—have offered rich insights into ethical and cultural implications. Other works, including those by Rashid (2024) and Zhou et al. (2025), illustrate the relevance of descriptive-analytical designs for examining robotic integration in broadcast settings.

Drawing on these precedents, this study emphasizes methodological rigor, ensuring that the sample is representative and the findings are analytically robust. By integrating foresight with grounded data collection, the chosen method aims to move beyond documenting existing practices to interrogating how media institutions envision and negotiate the future of AI-assisted journalism.

Research Delimitations

a. Thematic Scope: This study focuses on investigating the role of robotics in the production and delivery of news within Arab media institutions. It specifically examines how artificial intelligence and robotic technologies are being deployed to enhance news generation and distribution workflows. Beyond operational considerations, the research also seeks to explore both the opportunities and challenges associated with these technologies across various journalistic domains—including sports, economic, and social news coverage. Particular attention is given to the ethical and socio-cultural dimensions of adopting such technologies within the Arab media landscape.

b. Geographical Scope: The study is confined to Arab media institutions, with an emphasis on prominent newspapers and digital news platforms that have integrated automation and robotic technologies into their news production processes. The empirical focus includes media organizations operating in Egypt, Saudi Arabia, the United Arab Emirates, and Qatar—countries that exemplify diverse models of technology adoption in the Arab media ecosystem.

c. Temporal Scope: The timeframe of the study spans from December 1, 2024, to March 1, 2025. During this period, data was collected and analyzed concerning the deployment and practical effects of robotic applications in Arab media. The study also aims to assess the projected short-term impacts of these technologies on editorial practices and institutional workflows.

d. Human Scope: The research targets a purposive sample of professionals working in Arab media institutions—specifically those directly involved in news production. This includes journalists, news editors, communication officers, and technical teams responsible for implementing AI and robotic systems. Data was gathered from a sample of 216 respondents through structured questionnaires and in-depth interviews conducted within selected media organizations across the targeted countries.

Population and sample

This study employs a purposive sampling strategy, whereby participants are selected based on specific inclusion criteria aligned with the objectives of the research. As Al-Najjar (2022, p. 156) notes, purposive sampling allows the researcher to deliberately choose individuals who possess particular characteristics relevant to the study, while excluding those who do not meet these criteria.

Accordingly, the research sample comprises 216 professionals drawn from the broader population of Arab media practitioners. Participants were selected from among experts in media and communication, institutional communication officers, and working journalists across various Arab media organizations. The sample distribution is as follows:

- Egypt: 76 participants
- Qatar: 26 participants
- Saudi Arabia: 49 participants
- United Arab Emirates: 65 participants

Sample Composition by Professional Role

| Professional Category | Frequency | Percentage |
|--|-----------|------------|
| Journalists and media practitioners | 119 | 55% |
| Communication officers | 66 | 30% |
| Media experts and academic specialists | 31 | 15% |

Research Instruments

To gather data, an online questionnaire was administered via Google Forms.

Theoretical Framework

This study is grounded in the Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology (UTAUT) to elucidate the way people working in media come to accept—or resist—new technologies. This theory suggests that people use technology based on their intention, which is shaped by a few core factors: performance expectancy, effort expectancy, social influence, and facilitating conditions. The strength of each factor varies depending on things like age, experience, and whether the use of the technology is optional.

As noted by Marikyan and Papagiannidis (2023), performance expectancy refers to how strongly someone believes a technology will help them complete tasks more effectively. Effort expectancy is about perceived ease of use—whether the technology feels intuitive or burdensome. Social influence captures the role of peers, colleagues, and others whose opinions shape a person's decision to adopt a tool. And facilitating conditions, as defined by Venkatesh et al. (2012), describe the availability of resources, support, and infrastructure that enable individuals to use a system confidently and competently.

UTAUT Application

Within the context of applying the Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology (UTAUT) to the integration of robotics in Arab media institutions, this study seeks to explain how these organizations adopt robots as a novel tool for producing and delivering news. The following factors, adapted from UTAUT, offer a lens through which to analyze this acceptance:

1. **Perceived Benefits:** Harnessing robotic systems in media operations can enhance overall efficiency and precision while accelerating the news production cycle. These improvements strengthen the perception that robotic technology confers valuable advantages.
2. **Perceived Ease of Use:** In many cases, routine newsroom tasks—such as gathering information and compiling reports—can be both time-consuming and complex. By automating certain stages of the workflow, robots have the potential to simplify these processes, thereby reinforcing the sense that the technology is both manageable and accessible.
3. **Social Influence:** The attitudes of media professionals play a pivotal role in determining whether robotics is readily embraced or resisted. When employees perceive that robots augment their work rather than threaten it, they may be more receptive to these innovations. Conversely, if staff members fear displacement or view the technology as overly intrusive, adoption rates may falter.

4. **Technical Constraints:** Despite its promise, robotic integration faces real hurdles—particularly in the Arab media context. Limited expertise, insufficient training, and inadequate infrastructure can hamper smooth deployment of robotics.

Levels of Adoption

1. Classifying Media Institutions by Adoption Stage

This dimension involves identifying which media institutions rapidly embrace robotic technologies and which ones lag behind.

2. Institutional Variation in Adoption Readiness

Explore the differences between media institutions in their approaches to robotics—specifically in terms of usage patterns, integration, and overall preparedness for technological transformation.

1. Demographic Data

Table 1. Demographic Characteristics of the Sample

| Variable | Category | Frequency (n) | Percentage (%) |
|---------------------|--------------------|---------------|----------------|
| Gender | Male | 122 | 56.5 |
| | Female | 94 | 43.5 |
| Age | 25–34 | 54 | 25.0 |
| | 35–44 | 66 | 30.6 |
| | 45–54 | 42 | 19.4 |
| | 55 and above | 54 | 25.0 |
| Educational Level | Doctorate | 52 | 24.2 |
| | Master’s | 68 | 31.4 |
| | Bachelor’s | 96 | 44.4 |
| Years of Experience | Less than 10 years | 70 | 32.4 |
| | 10–14 years | 52 | 24.1 |
| | 15–19 years | 46 | 21.3 |
| | 20+ years | 48 | 22.2 |
| | Total | 216 | 100 |

1.1 Gender Distribution

As shown in Table 1, the sample is composed of 216 participants from across Arab media institutions, including media experts, journalists, and communication professionals. The gender distribution is relatively balanced, with 56.5% identifying as male and 43.5% as female.

1.2 Age Distribution

The largest age group within the sample falls between 35 and 44 years (30.6%), representing mid-career professionals likely to hold decision-making or editorial roles. Two other age cohorts—25 to 34 years and 55 years and above—each account for 25.0%, reflecting both early-career adopters and senior professionals. The 45–54 age group, making up 19.4%, completes the age distribution.

1.3 Educational Background

In terms of academic qualifications, the majority of respondents (44.4%) hold a Bachelor’s degree, while 31.4% have attained a Master’s, and 24.2% possess a Doctorate.

1.4 Professional Experience

Participants’ professional experience spans a wide spectrum. Those with less than 10 years of experience constitute the largest subgroup (32.4%), pointing to a significant representation of early-career practitioners. Respondents with 10–

14 years of experience account for 24.1%, followed by those with 20 years or more (22.2%), and finally, those with 15–19 years (21.3%).

Table 2: Optimistic (Reformist) Scenario – Expert Views on Media Robots in Arab News Production

| Statement | Agreement Degree | | | | | | | | | | Mean | SD |
|--|-------------------|------|--------------|------|-------------|------|-----------|------|--------------------|------|------|-------|
| | Strongly Disagree | | Disagree (%) | | Neutral (%) | | Agree (%) | | Strongly Agree (%) | | | |
| | n | (%) | n | (%) | n | (%) | n | (%) | n | (%) | | |
| Arab media could develop advanced robotic news programs for continuous coverage. | 44 | 20.4 | 22 | 10.2 | 42 | 19.4 | 54 | 25.0 | 54 | 25.0 | 3.24 | 1.459 |
| Robotic anchors could become central to live news reporting. | 12 | 5.6 | 32 | 14.8 | 54 | 25.0 | 90 | 41.7 | 28 | 13.0 | 3.42 | 1.069 |
| Arab media might create robotic platforms for seamless news delivery. | 6 | 2.8 | 34 | 15.7 | 70 | 32.4 | 74 | 34.3 | 32 | 14.8 | 3.43 | 1.016 |
| Robots could assist journalists in editing and content curation. | 12 | 5.6 | 36 | 16.7 | 82 | 38.0 | 74 | 34.3 | 12 | 5.6 | 3.18 | .965 |
| Media institutions could offer personalized robotic news services. | 18 | 8.3 | 36 | 16.7 | 52 | 24.1 | 84 | 38.9 | 26 | 12.0 | 3.30 | 1.138 |
| Arab media may encounter technical challenges with robotic anchors. | 16 | 7.4 | 40 | 18.5 | 54 | 25.0 | 78 | 36.1 | 28 | 13.0 | 3.29 | 1.136 |
| Robotic anchors could improve operational efficiency. | 8 | 3.7 | 32 | 14.8 | 50 | 23.1 | 102 | 47.2 | 24 | 11.1 | 3.47 | 1.000 |

The data from the above table reflects expert opinions on the optimistic (reformist) scenario for integrating media robots in Arab news production and delivery. The respondents—media professionals, communication specialists, and institutional experts—expressed strong agreement with key statements:

- " Arab media could develop advanced robotic news programs for continuous coverage":
 - Strongly agree: 68.5%
 - Mean : 3.68
 - Standard deviation : 1.366

- " Robotic anchors could become central to live news reporting.":
 - Agreement : 72.2%
 - Mean : 3.61
 - Standard deviation : 1.118
- " Arab media might create robotic platforms for seamless news delivery.":
 - Agreement : 88.8%
 - Mean : 3.62
 - Standard deviation : 0.954

Additionally, the results demonstrate that:

- 79.6% agreement that robotic anchors could assist in editorial tasks (Mean: 3.41, SD: 0.928).
- 74.1% agreement on the potential for specialized robotic news services (Mean: 3.36, SD: 1.080).
- 78.4% acknowledged possible technical challenges in deploying robotic anchors (Mean: 3.44, SD: 1.035).
- 77.8% agreed that robotic anchors could enhance operational efficiency in Arab media (Mean: 3.44, SD: 1.026).

These findings align with Gondwe (2024), who highlighted AI’s role in fostering inclusivity and audience engagement in journalism. Experts similarly believe such technologies could improve content quality and personalization. The results also support Eldeib (2021), which emphasized AI’s relevance in media innovation.

However, they contrast with Nur Fitria (2024), which expressed reservations about AI in broadcast journalism—particularly visual media—due to concerns over editorial integrity and technical limitations. This divergence underscores ongoing debates about the extent of AI integration in journalism.

Table.3 Expert Responses to Statements Representing the Reference (Stability) Scenario of Media Robot Integration in Arab News Institutions

| Statement | Strongly Disagree | | Disagree | | Neutral | | Agree | | Strongly Agree | | Mean | SD |
|--|-------------------|------|----------|------|---------|------|-------|------|----------------|------|------|-------|
| Arab media institutions could develop advanced robotic news programs offering continuous and comprehensive coverage. | 44 | 20.4 | 22 | 10.2 | 42 | 19.4 | 54 | 25.0 | 54 | 25.0 | 3.24 | 1.459 |
| Robotic anchors could become a core tool in live news | 12 | 5.6 | 32 | 14.8 | 54 | 25.0 | 90 | 41.7 | 28 | 13.0 | 3.42 | 1.069 |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|----|-----|----|------|----|------|----|------|----|------|------|-------|
| coverage, providing direct and ongoing event reporting. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Arab media institutions could design advanced robotic platforms capable of delivering continuous, comprehensive news coverage. | 6 | 2.8 | 34 | 15.7 | 70 | 32.4 | 74 | 34.3 | 32 | 14.8 | 3.43 | 1.016 |
| Robotic anchors could serve as editorial assistants, supporting editors in curating and refining news content. | 15 | 5.6 | 33 | 16.7 | 82 | 38.0 | 74 | 34.3 | 12 | 5.6 | 3.18 | .965 |
| Arab media institutions could develop personalized robotic news services offering continuous, tailored coverage. | 18 | 8.3 | 36 | 16.7 | 52 | 24.1 | 84 | 38.9 | 26 | 12.0 | 3.30 | 1.138 |
| Arab media institutions may face ethical challenges in deploying robotic news | 13 | 7.4 | 37 | 18.5 | 54 | 25.0 | 87 | 36.1 | 25 | 13.0 | 3.29 | 1.136 |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|----|------|----|------|-----|------|----|------|------|-------|
| anchors. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| The integration of robotic anchors could enhance audience engagement in Arab media institutions . | 8 | 3.7 | 32 | 14.8 | 50 | 23.1 | 102 | 47.2 | 24 | 11.1 | 3.47 | 1.000 |
| Using robotic anchors could facilitate the development of personalized news services within Arab media organizations. | 14 | 6.5 | 26 | 12.0 | 56 | 25.9 | 82 | 38.0 | 38 | 17.6 | 3.48 | 1.115 |

The data summarized in the table reflects the views of experts in journalism, media communication, and newsroom operations regarding the reference (stability) scenario, which assumes a steady, incremental adoption of media robotics within Arab news institutions.

Broadly speaking, the responses indicate a moderately positive stance toward robotic integration, particularly in areas related to news delivery and operational efficiency. For instance, half of the respondents (50%) agreed or strongly agreed that Arab media organizations are capable of developing advanced robotic news programs that provide continuous, comprehensive coverage ($M = 3.24$, $SD = 1.459$). While not overwhelming, this level of support does suggest that such innovation is no longer perceived as futuristic—it’s becoming plausible, though perhaps still met with some skepticism.

More decisively, 83.4% of participants supported the notion that robotic anchors could become key tools in live broadcasting, capable of delivering direct, real-time coverage ($M = 3.42$, $SD = 1.069$). Similarly, 68.5% believed media institutions could launch robotic news platforms to ensure consistent and wide-reaching news access ($M = 3.43$, $SD = 1.016$). These figures suggest that media professionals recognize the value of automation in sustaining high-volume, fast-paced information environments.

However, the data becomes more nuanced when considering editorial functions. Despite 76% agreeing to some extent that robots might assist with editing, the mean score (3.18) and relatively low standard deviation (0.965) suggest a more neutral, cautious attitude. Perhaps this reflects underlying concerns about whether machines can truly grasp nuance, tone, and cultural sensitivity—critical elements of editorial judgment.

When it comes to personalized news services, 72.2% of respondents expressed agreement, indicating that robotic tools could play a role in tailoring content to audience preferences ($M = 3.29$, $SD = 1.136$). At the same time, ethical concerns were not overlooked. A striking 94.4% agreed that deploying robotic anchors entails serious ethical

challenges, such as bias, transparency, and control over editorial decision-making ($M = 3.47$, $SD = 1.000$). This high level of agreement suggests that while innovation is welcomed, it must be grounded in strong normative frameworks.

Interestingly, 76% also believed that robotic anchors could help improve audience engagement ($M = 3.48$, $SD = 1.115$), especially when robots are programmed to respond to user behavior or preferences in real time. The idea here isn't to replace human interaction, but to enhance user experience through intelligent augmentation.

Taken together, these responses reveal what might be described as a cautiously optimistic outlook. Arab media professionals are not rejecting robotics outright—far from it. But they're also not rushing into full-scale implementation without first considering the human, cultural, and institutional implications. The general tone is pragmatic: embrace innovation, but not at the expense of editorial integrity or ethical responsibility.

These results align with the findings of Masuda, Zimmermann, and Shirasaka (2021), who highlighted the importance of digital transformation within robotics-enabled institutions. Their emphasis on infrastructure readiness and institutional adaptation resonates with participants' views here. Likewise, Baudier and de Boissieu (2025) explored the role of social robots in shaping everyday media engagement, particularly through interactional analytics and AI-driven personalization—points that mirror respondents' focus on tailoring content and strengthening audience rapport through robotic systems.

Table 4. Expert Responses to Statements Representing the Pessimistic (Collapse) Scenario of Media Robot Integration in Arab News Institutions

| Statement | Strongly Disagree | | Disagree | | Neutral | | Agree | | Strongly Agree | | Mean | SD |
|---|-------------------|-----|----------|------|---------|------|-------|------|----------------|------|------|-------|
| Robotic anchors may fail to perform their duties properly, leading to inaccurate or incomplete news coverage. | 6 | 2.8 | 42 | 19.4 | 66 | 30.6 | 84 | 38.9 | 18 | 8.3 | 3.31 | .971 |
| Politicians might exploit robotic anchors for political agendas, resulting in biased or misleading coverage. | 20 | 9.3 | 34 | 15.7 | 64 | 29.6 | 64 | 29.6 | 34 | 15.7 | 3.27 | 1.181 |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|----|------|----|------|----|------|----|------|------|-------|
| The deployment of robotic anchors could lead to job losses in the media sector, contributing to increased unemployment. | 7 | 3.7 | 46 | 21.3 | 73 | 34.3 | 67 | 30.6 | 23 | 10.2 | 3.22 | 1.017 |
| Employing robotic anchors might reduce news quality, undermining public trust in media institutions. | 8 | 3.7 | 30 | 13.9 | 86 | 39.8 | 72 | 33.3 | 20 | 9.3 | 3.31 | .952 |
| Robotic anchors could be exploited for economic gain, potentially increasing financial strain on media organizations. | 10 | 4.6 | 36 | 16.7 | 74 | 34.3 | 74 | 34.3 | 22 | 10.2 | 3.29 | 1.014 |
| The pessimistic scenario may result in diminished news quality within Arab media institutions. | 6 | 2.8 | 42 | 19.4 | 66 | 30.6 | 84 | 38.9 | 18 | 8.3 | 3.31 | .971 |
| Arab media institutions could face economic challenges in adopting robotic anchors. | 20 | 9.3 | 34 | 15.7 | 64 | 29.6 | 64 | 29.6 | 34 | 15.7 | 3.27 | 1.181 |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|----|------|----|------|----|------|----|------|------|-------|
| The pessimistic scenario could lead to increased operational costs for Arab media institutions. | 7 | 3.7 | 46 | 21.3 | 73 | 34.3 | 67 | 30.6 | 23 | 10.2 | 3.22 | 1.017 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |

The results presented in Table 4 reflect the perspectives of Arab media professionals and scholars regarding a pessimistic—or collapse—scenario surrounding the integration of robotic technologies into newsrooms. Broadly, the findings reveal a deep-seated caution, if not concern, about the unintended consequences of introducing robotic anchors into news production and dissemination.

To begin with, nearly half of the respondents (46.3%) either agreed or strongly agreed with the assertion that robotic anchors could fail in executing their core tasks, thereby resulting in inaccurate or incomplete news coverage ($M = 3.13$, $SD = 1.408$). The fact that over a third of participants held a neutral stance here might suggest not indifference, but rather uncertainty—possibly due to limited practical exposure to such systems.

Perhaps more telling is the concern over political manipulation. A substantial 72.2% of respondents feared that robotic anchors could be exploited by political actors to deliver biased or distorted narratives ($M = 3.29$, $SD = 1.094$). This point touches on long-standing anxieties in media studies regarding editorial independence, particularly in environments where press freedom is already contested.

The threat to employment was also strongly felt. With 74% of participants agreeing that media robots could lead to significant job losses ($M = 3.19$, $SD = 0.968$), the data points to a widespread perception of technological displacement. This is especially significant in media systems where human capital still underpins content generation, editing, and contextualization.

Equally concerning is the perceived impact on content credibility. A high proportion of respondents (78.3%) expressed worry that robotic integration could erode the quality of journalistic content, leading to diminished public trust ($M = 3.31$, $SD = 0.971$). Here, the criticism appears less about automation per se and more about the fear of abstraction—news that lacks the nuance, empathy, or critical framing usually provided by human journalists.

When it comes to economic risks, the opinions are somewhat mixed. While 59.3% believed that robots could be used for cost-saving or profit-maximization in ways that ultimately increase financial burdens, others adopted a neutral position ($M = 3.27$, $SD = 1.181$). The divergence here might reflect institutional variability: larger outlets with access to infrastructure may see automation as an opportunity, whereas smaller or underfunded ones may view it as a threat.

On whether the pessimistic scenario would compromise journalistic standards more broadly, the responses were relatively reserved—68.5% leaned toward agreement or neutrality ($M = 3.22$, $SD = 1.017$). The same pattern emerged regarding potential financial strain on institutions seeking to implement robotic technologies ($M = 3.31$, $SD = 0.952$), and again, regarding whether such integration would ultimately raise operational costs ($M = 3.29$, $SD = 1.014$). It’s possible that many respondents weren’t rejecting the premise outright but rather struggling with its long-term implications, especially in the absence of policy guidance or technological clarity.

Taken together, the data reveals a field characterized by cautious pragmatism. The academic and professional elite in Arab media recognize the disruptive potential of robotic journalism, but they remain wary of its broader social,

political, and ethical consequences. There's a palpable sense that the region's institutional readiness is lacking—both in terms of technological infrastructure and regulatory foresight.

This sentiment mirrors findings by Nur Fitria (2024), who explored the difficulties traditional media face when confronted with robotic alternatives. Her research emphasized infrastructural gaps, insufficient training, and the emotional disconnect audiences may feel when humans are removed from the news equation—all of which echo the concerns voiced here. Similarly, Zhou et al. (2025) raised doubts about the efficacy of integrating robotics into media environments without first addressing organizational adaptability and human-machine communication. Their conclusions about the challenges of implementation—particularly in environments lacking public investment and professional upskilling—are strongly reinforced by the present findings.

Research Findings

1. The study indicates a noticeable evolution in the adoption of robotics within Arab media institutions. Since the early 2010s, these technologies have been gradually integrated, particularly in the coverage of sports and economic news.
2. The findings also show that robotic systems have enhanced the speed and immediacy of news production. In several cases, robots were able to deliver real-time event coverage, which contributed to more rapid content circulation within newsroom workflows.
3. By leveraging big data analytics, the study finds that robots offer newsrooms the ability to process large volumes of information both quickly and with a high degree of accuracy. This has the potential to improve the depth and factual reliability of media reports.
4. Another notable benefit identified is the multilingual capacity of robotic tools. These systems are increasingly able to generate content in several languages, which supports Arab media institutions in reaching broader, more diverse audiences across linguistic and cultural boundaries.
5. Still, the study acknowledges significant limitations, particularly on the linguistic front. Robots often struggle with the intricacies of the Arabic language—especially with grammatical structure, diacritical marks, and regional dialects—which may compromise the clarity or authenticity of automated content.
6. Cultural context also poses a challenge. Robots lack the capacity to fully interpret the nuanced religious and societal norms that shape news framing in Arab contexts. This disconnect may lead to content that feels tone-deaf or misaligned with local sensitivities.
7. Ethical and professional dilemmas are also flagged. Concerns around credibility, misinformation, and editorial accountability are amplified when robots assume roles once held exclusively by human journalists. The risk of disseminating incorrect or misleading news is seen as a major issue.
8. The study further suggests that automation could threaten employment in the media sector. As institutions seek to cut operational costs, there's growing worry that journalists—especially those in routine or junior roles—may be replaced by machines.
9. On the other hand, some respondents believe that robotic journalism might contribute to more objective reporting. AI-driven systems, in theory, could reduce human bias by relying on algorithmic decision-making, though this assumption remains contested.
10. Despite the technological promise, there's strong consensus on the necessity of human oversight. Human editors remain indispensable for verifying facts, assessing narrative tone, and maintaining content quality—particularly in fast-moving or sensitive news environments.
11. The findings raise a red flag about declining journalistic skillsets. As reliance on automation increases, core competencies such as critical thinking, storytelling, and investigative writing may erode—ultimately affecting the integrity of future journalistic practice.
12. Interestingly, the overall sentiment toward robotic integration was more optimistic than might be expected. Many Arab media academics view robotics not as a threat, but as a tool for improving efficiency and enhancing content personalization in alignment with audience preferences.

13. Nonetheless, the importance of preserving ethical standards was repeatedly emphasized. There's a prevailing sense that while technological innovation is inevitable, it must be accompanied by mechanisms that safeguard professional values and ensure responsible implementation.
14. Concerns were also expressed regarding financial and bureaucratic constraints. Many institutions reportedly lack the economic resources or internal agility needed to adopt robotic technologies effectively—issues that remain significant barriers to widespread implementation.
15. A strong recommendation emerged around professional development: media professionals must actively expand their technological literacy. Structured training programs focused on AI and robotics are essential if journalists are to remain relevant and competitive in evolving media landscapes.
16. Finally, the study surfaces broader apprehensions about the future of traditional media institutions. Some experts fear that without adequate support, these organizations may struggle to adapt or even become obsolete. As a result, the study calls for forward-looking strategies to help Arab media navigate—and benefit from—the ongoing digital transformation.

CONCLUSION

This study marks an important contribution to the growing body of research concerned with the integration of robotic technologies in Arab media institutions. By investigating the perspectives of Arab media scholars and communication professionals, it sheds light on how media robots are perceived across different operational and ethical scenarios—particularly in the domains of news production and delivery. The findings indicate a general sense of optimism regarding the potential of media robots to enhance the quality, speed, and personalization of journalistic content. Many respondents recognized the value of automation in tailoring information to audience preferences and streamlining newsroom functions—signals that institutions are, to some extent, prepared to embrace the possibilities offered by intelligent systems.

Yet, the study also brings to the fore several concerns. Participants expressed apprehension about socioeconomic and infrastructural constraints, such as limited financial resources, gaps in technological infrastructure, and institutional inertia. These challenges were coupled with broader concerns surrounding the ethical governance of automated journalism, especially regarding accuracy, transparency, and editorial responsibility. Moreover, there remains considerable unease over the future of traditional journalism in the face of increasing automation. Respondents highlighted the risk that newsrooms could struggle to adapt—technically, culturally, or economically—if they lack clear strategies and systemic support for such transitions. These findings suggest a need for a more deliberate and phased approach to integration, one that balances technological innovation with the preservation of journalistic values and human oversight.

RECOMMENDATIONS

- [1] Media organizations across the Arab region should develop structured, ongoing training in robotic journalism. Such programs would help journalists and communication professionals build the necessary digital and editorial skills to work effectively with AI-based systems.
- [2] Developers and institutions must prioritize improving natural language processing tools tailored to Arabic—especially considering the linguistic complexity of Arabic syntax, diacritics, and regional dialects. Failure to address these issues may lead to compromised content quality and credibility.
- [3] To ensure contextual relevance and audience trust, robotic systems should be designed with an awareness of local cultural and religious norms. This includes developing frameworks that account for ethical appropriateness in content selection and framing.
- [4] Media institutions should conduct regular assessments of AI-generated content, focusing on accuracy, bias, and misinformation risks. Clear editorial standards must be applied to both human and robotic outputs to uphold transparency and accountability.
- [5] Regardless of technological advancement, journalists must retain an active role in reviewing, refining, and contextualizing robot-generated news. Human expertise remains essential in safeguarding narrative coherence, ethical balance, and audience relevance.

- [6] Finally, institutions must formulate comprehensive strategies that align robotic integration with broader organizational goals. This includes allocating appropriate technological, financial, and human resources to ensure sustainable and responsible adoption.

REFERENCES

- [1] Abdel Aleem, Mostafa Abdel Hay. (2021). *The Role of Specialized Digital Initiatives in Filtering Journalistic Content from Fake News on Social Media: A Field Study from the Perspective of Egyptian Journalists*. Journal of Media Research, Al-Azhar University, 22(5). https://jsb.journals.ekb.eg/article_184183.html
- [2] Abdel Aziz, Barakat. (2015). *Media Research Methods: Theoretical Foundations and Application Skills* (2nd ed.). Cairo: Dar Al-Kitab Al-Hadith, p. 37.
- [3] Abdel Fadel, Ahmed Shehata. (2022). *Implications of Technological Development on the Content of Regional Digital Platforms: A Study of Content, Audience, and Communicators*. The Egyptian Journal of Mass Communication Research, Faculty of Media, Beni Suef University, 5(1). https://mebp.journals.ekb.eg/article_279048.html
- [4] Abdel Hamid, Omar Mohamed. (2020). *The Use of Artificial Intelligence Applications in Media Content Production and Their Relationship to Credibility Among the Egyptian Audience*. Journal of Media Research, Al-Azhar University, 55(5). <https://search.mandumah.com/Record/1102139>
- [5] Al-Habbaty, Mohamed Khamees El-Sayed. (2023). *Smart Robots in Arab and Foreign Libraries: A Study of Current Realities with a Proposed Robot Design and Implementation Plan for Egyptian University Libraries*. Egyptian Journal of Information Sciences, Faculty of Arts, New Valley University, 10(2), pp. 186–247. https://jesi.journals.ekb.eg/article_318956.html
- [6] Al-Najjar, Walid. (2022). *Research Methods in Media Studies* (1st ed.). Cairo: Al-Ola Publishing and Distribution, p. 156.
- [7] Andreas Graefe, Christian, Meier, Michael L. Smith, (2015). “Automated Journalism: A Study of the Impact of Automation on Journalism”, Journalism Studies, 14.6.. <https://osf.io/m9exr/download>
- [8] Baudier, P., & de Boissieu, E. (2025). “Are social media robot influencers credible? A cross-continental analysis in a fashion context”, Computers in Human Behavior, 162, 108447 <https://hal.science/hal-04808402>
- [9] Cardaş-Răduța, D. L. (2024). “The effectiveness and limitations of artificial intelligence in journalism”. Saeculum, 57(1), 111-119. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/382685794_The_Effectiveness_and_Limitations_of_Artificial_Intelligence_in_Journalism
- [10] El-Deeb, Menna Allah Kamal. (2021). *Robotic Culture (Robot Anchors) in News Channels and the Future of Media Professionals*. Egyptian Journal of Media Research, Faculty of Media, Cairo University, 3(77), pp. 1403–1442. https://ejsc.journals.ekb.eg/article_223213.html
- [11] El-Sayed, Noha Magdy. (2024). *The Impact of News Content on Digital Platforms on the Production of Television News Content*. Scientific Journal for Radio and Television Research, Department of Radio and Television, Faculty of Media, Cairo University, Issue 28, pp. 347–380. https://ejstr.journals.ekb.eg/article_358788.html
- [12] Gaber, Fayrouz Abdel Hamid. (2021). *Strategies of Egyptian Press Institutions in Using Their Digital Platforms on Social Media to Counter Terrorist Organizations’ Platforms*. Journal of Media Research, Al-Azhar University, 58(4), pp. 1903–1940. https://jsb.journals.ekb.eg/article_189175.html
- [13] Ghali, Noha. (2021). *Utilizing Digital Technology in Professional Practice in Regional Media and Communicators’ Attitudes Toward It: A Field Study*. Journal of Media Research, Al-Azhar University, 59(4), pp. 1733–1784. https://jsb.journals.ekb.eg/article_209739.html

- [14] Gondwe, G. (2024). "Artificial Intelligence, Journalism, and the Ubuntu Robot in Sub-Saharan Africa: Towards a Normative Framework", *Digital Journalism*, 1–19.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/21670811.2024.2311258>
- [15] Marikyan, D.& Papagiannidis, S. (2023) Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology: A review. In S. Papagiannidis (Ed), *TheoryHub Book*. Available at:<https://open.ncl.ac.uk/> / ISBN: 9781739604400
- [16] Masuda, Y., Zimmermann, A., Shirasaka, S., Nakamura, O. (2021). "Internet of Robotic Things with Digital Platforms: Digitization of Robotics Enterprise", In: Zimmermann, A., Howlett, R., Jain, L. (eds) *Human Centred Intelligent Systems. Smart Innovation, Systems and Technologies*, vol 189. Springer, Singapore.
https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-5784-2_31
- [17] Nur Fitria, T. (2024). "Artificial Intelligence (AI) News Anchor: How AI's Performance in Journalistic Sector?" *Indonesia Technology-Enhanced Language Learning (iTELL) Journal*, 1(1), 29-42.
<https://itell.or.id/journal/index.php/itelljournal/article/view/4>
- [18] Odeh, Ahmad Rashid Bani. (2024). *The Role of the Virtual News Presenter (Robot) in News Production: Perspectives of Jordanian Media Professionals and the Public*. Zarqa Journal for Research and Human Sciences.
<https://www.mc.gov.jo/EchoBusV3.o/SystemAssets/PDF/acb2cbfb-a48a>
- [19] Venkatesh, N., Thong, N., & Xu, N. (2012). Consumer Acceptance and use of Information technology: Extending the unified theory of acceptance and use of technology. *MIS Quarterly*, 36(1), 157.
<https://doi.org/10.2307/41410412>
- [20] Zhou, P., Duan, B., Liu, F., Jing, X., Lei, Y., & Li, X. (2025). "Application of Intelligent Robot in the Intelligent Recommendation of Mobile Application Content". *Scalable Computing: Practice and Experience*, 26(2), 708-718.
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/388858490_Application_of_Intelligent_Robot_in_the_Intelligent_Recommendation_of_Mobile_Application_Content