Journal of Information Systems Engineering and Management
2025, 10(59s)
e-ISSN: 2468-4376
https://www.jisem-journal.com/
Research Article

AI Revolution in Wealth Management: Applications and Horizons

Preetham Sunilkumar
LPL Financial

ARTICLE INFO

Received: 12 July 2025

Revised: 18 Aug 2025

Accepted: 26 Aug 2025

ABSTRACT

This article examines the transformative impact of artificial intelligence on the wealth management industry, exploring current applications, emerging technologies, implementation challenges, and future directions. The article traces the industry's evolution from first-generation robo-advisors to sophisticated AI-driven systems has fundamentally redefined how financial advice is generated, delivered, and implemented across the investment lifecycle. Through an evidence-based lens, the article analyzes how machine learning (ML) powered factor investing models, natural language processing (NLP) enriched sentiment-driven asset allocation, and alternative data analysis have enhanced portfolio optimization, personalization, risk management, and alpha generation in wealth management. The article further investigates cutting-edge innovations poised to redefine the sector, including explainable AI (XAI) frameworks. Federated learning systems enabling privacy-preserving techniques and quantum computing applications that promise to further revolutionize the field. While highlighting these advancements, the article addresses critical implementation challenges, including algorithmic bias, model risk in dynamic markets, and cybersecurity vulnerabilities, along with their potential mitigation strategies. Looking forward, the article examines autonomous wealth management systems, emotion-aware financial advice, and blockchain-integrated advisory platforms that represent the next frontier in AI-powered wealth management.

Keywords: Artificial intelligence, algorithmic wealth management, explainable AI (XAI), algorithmic bias, quantum finance, behavioral alpha, fiduciary machine learning

Introduction

The wealth management sector is going through a fundamental shift fueled by artificial intelligence technologies. Conventional wealth management services, previously marked by high fees, substantial asset thresholds, and one-size-fits-all investment strategies, are being redefined using computational intelligence and data science. This transformation is more than just automation; it's a redefinition of the way financial advice is created, delivered, and executed throughout the investment life cycle. The application of AI in wealth management started with minimal automation by first-generation robo-advisors, which made investment services more accessible by reducing minimums and fees. While there is broad support for AI adoption in financial services, the implementation of these technologies faces significant challenges. Recent advancements, however, have transcended simple automation, incorporating sophisticated machine learning models that can process vast amounts of structured and unstructured data to generate investment insights, optimize portfolios dynamically, and provide personalized financial guidance at scale. Ramachandran's comprehensive analysis, "Transforming Risk Mitigation in Asset Management through Advanced AI: A Comprehensive Analysis of Traditional and Intelligent Frameworks" [2], examines how AI-enhanced systems are being integrated into risk management frameworks within asset management. The work explores both traditional approaches and intelligent frameworks that leverage AI capabilities to transform risk mitigation strategies in the financial sector. The article further investigates cutting-edge innovations poised to redefine the sector,

Journal of Information Systems Engineering and Management
2025, 10(59s)
e-ISSN: 2468-4376
https://www.jisem-journal.com/
Research Article

including explainable AI (XAI) frameworks. Federated learning systems enabling privacy-preserving techniques and quantum computing applications that promise to further revolutionize the field. While highlighting these advancements, the article addresses critical implementation challenges, including algorithmic bias, model risk in dynamic markets, and cybersecurity vulnerabilities, along with their potential mitigation strategies. Looking forward, the article examines autonomous wealth management systems, emotion-aware financial advice, and blockchain-integrated advisory platforms that represent the next frontier in AI-powered wealth management.

Current AI Applications in Wealth Management

The wealth management industry has witnessed significant transformation through AI adoption across multiple operational areas. In robo-advisory platforms, AI-driven algorithmic trading systems utilizing reinforcement learning have demonstrated substantial improvements over traditional rule-based approaches, with research by Abilly Elly et al. documenting enhanced transaction cost efficiency and superior risk-adjusted returns [3]. These platforms now incorporate predictive analytics using alternative data sources, with investment systems analyzing social media sentiment achieving 61.2% accuracy in predicting short-term market directional changes compared to 52.1% for traditional technical analysis methods [3]. The impact extends to personalized financial planning, where Lily Tran found that clients using AI-powered planning tools experienced an increase in retirement readiness scores within 18 months, nearly triple the improvement seen with traditional methods [4]. Furthermore, AI-generated financial plans achieved an implementation rate among surveyed clients, significantly outperforming the conventional plans [4]. In institutional investing, AI's ability to process alternative data has created new opportunities for alpha generation. "In institutional investing, AI's ability to process alternative data has created new opportunities for alpha generation. According to Abilly Elly et al.'s analysis of 42 hedge funds employing AI-based alternative data strategies, these funds achieved an average annual alpha of 3.82% during 2018-2022, outperforming traditional approaches by 1.67 percentage points [3]. These systems demonstrated 76.4% accuracy in forecasting quarterly sales surprises for major retailers through satellite imagery analysis [3]. Risk management capabilities have similarly advanced, with Lily Tran and Mengkorn Pum documenting that AI-powered systems identified 85.3% of portfolio vulnerabilities during stress testing compared to 59.7% for traditional methods, while simultaneously reducing regulatory reporting errors by 43.6% and decreasing compliance workload by 28.2% [4]. Their research also found that goal-based portfolio optimization through AI has reduced funding gaps for major life goals by 34.6%, with even greater improvements of 43.8% for clients managing multiple financial objectives [4]."

AI Application Area	Improvement (%)
Transaction Costs	12.3%
Risk-Adjusted Returns	8.7%
Alpha Capture (Volatility Events)	43.6%
Market Direction Prediction	9.1%
Retirement Readiness Score	14.4%
Financial Plan Implementation	27.3%
Goal Funding Gap Reduction	34.6%
Portfolio Vulnerability Detection	25.6%
Regulatory Reporting Errors	43.6%
Compliance Staff Workload	28.2%

Table 1: Performance Comparison: AI-Enhanced vs. Traditional Wealth Management Systems [3, 4]

Journal of Information Systems Engineering and Management
2025, 10(59s)
e-ISSN: 2468-4376
https://www.jisem-journal.com/
Research Article

Emerging AI Technologies in Wealth Management

Explainable AI for Transparency and Trust

As AI systems assume greater responsibility in investment decision-making, the development of Explainable AI (XAI) frameworks has become critical for addressing transparency requirements and building trust. The "black box" nature of complex AI models has historically limited their adoption in regulated financial services.

Mathematical explanation methods such as SHAP (SHapley Additive exPlanations) and LIME (Local Interpretable Model-agnostic Explanations) provide human-understandable justifications for individual AI decisions. In wealth management applications, these tools enable advisors to comprehend and communicate to clients the rationale behind specific investment recommendations or portfolio adjustments. Anang et al. found that LIME implementations reduced model interpretability gaps by 43.7%, while SHAP frameworks demonstrated a 51.2% improvement in explanation satisfaction scores among both regulators and clients [5]. Furthermore, bias auditing tools such as IBM's AI Fairness 360 toolkit help ensure equitable treatment across client groups. Their research documented that bias auditing tools identified potentially discriminatory patterns in 22.7% of reviewed financial algorithms, enabling corrective measures before deployment [5].

Privacy-Preserving AI and Federated Learning

Financial data privacy remains paramount in wealth management, driving the development of privacy-preserving AI methodologies that enable sophisticated analysis without compromising sensitive client information. Federated learning represents one of the most promising approaches, allowing financial institutions to collaboratively train AI models across organizational boundaries without sharing raw client data. In this distributed learning paradigm, model training occurs locally on each institution's servers, with only model parameters rather than client data shared across the network. According to Anang et al., financial institutions implementing federated learning achieved an 89.6% reduction in data exposure while maintaining 94.2% of model performance compared to centralized approaches [5]. Their analysis of 12 wealth management implementations demonstrated that these privacy-preserving techniques increased client data protection consent rates from 62.3% to 87.9%, substantially expanding the available data pool for model improvement while maintaining stringent privacy standards [5].

Quantum Computing and AI for Portfolio Optimization

The convergence of quantum computing and AI technologies promises to fundamentally transform portfolio optimization capabilities in wealth management. Traditional portfolio optimization involves solving complex mathematical problems that become computationally prohibitive as the number of assets increases. Quantum machine learning approaches offer the potential to solve these problems exponentially faster than classical computing methods. According to theoretical analysis by Mayokun Daniel Adegbola et al., quantum optimization algorithms demonstrate a quadratic speedup for portfolio construction involving fewer than 100 assets, with this advantage growing exponentially for larger asset universes, potentially achieving 100 to 1000 times performance improvements for portfolios exceeding 500 instruments [6]. Their research indicates that quantum-enhanced portfolio optimization could reduce computational time for a 1,000-asset portfolio from approximately 20 hours to under 15 minutes while simultaneously improving risk-adjusted returns through the identification of previously inaccessible efficient frontier solutions [6].

Early empirical research provides promising evidence for quantum-enhanced portfolio optimization's ability to identify more precise efficient frontiers and handle substantially larger asset universes, potentially revealing investment opportunities that classical methods cannot detect. Adegbola et al.'s simulations using D-Wave quantum annealing systems demonstrated a 7.3% improvement in Sharpe ratios for complex multi-objective portfolios compared to classical optimization approaches [6]. The performance advantage was particularly pronounced, showing a 9.6% enhancement, when optimizing for factors beyond traditional risk-return metrics, including ESG constraints, liquidity requirements, and tax considerations [6]. These findings suggest that quantum computing will enable wealth managers to construct more sophisticated, personalized portfolios that better align with complex client objectives while maintaining computational feasibility.

Technology/Metric	Improvement (%)
Regulatory Inquiries	31.6%
Client Retention Rates	28.2%
Model Interpretability (LIME)	43.7%
Explanation Satisfaction (SHAP)	51.2%
Data Exposure (Federated Learning)	89.6%
Client Data Protection Consent Rates	25.6%
Computation Time (1000-asset portfolio)	98.7%
Sharpe Ratio (Multi-objective portfolios)	7.3%
Sharpe Ratio (ESG/Tax/Liquidity constraints)	9.6%

Table 2: Performance Metrics of Emerging AI Technologies in Wealth Management [5, 6]

Implementation Challenges and Risk Mitigation

Algorithmic Bias and Fairness

The deployment of AI in wealth management raises significant concerns about algorithmic bias. AI systems trained on historical financial data may perpetuate or amplify existing inequities in financial services. For example, algorithms might systematically recommend more conservative investments to certain demographic groups or allocate attention and resources primarily to high-net-worth clients. According to Harrison Blake's comprehensive research, 63.7% of financial algorithms exhibit some form of demographic bias when evaluated against fairness metrics, with gender-based disparities appearing in 41.2% of investment recommendation systems [7]. Blake's analysis of 137 financial models found that biased algorithms recommended investment portfolios with an average of 3.2% lower risk-adjusted returns to underrepresented demographic groups, which compounds significantly over long investment horizons, as detailed in "Algorithmic Fairness: Developing Methods to Detect and Mitigate Bias in AI Systems" [7].

Addressing these challenges requires both technical solutions and governance frameworks. On the technical side, bias auditing tools can identify potential discrimination in AI recommendations. Blake found that implementing regularized fairness constraints during model training reduced demographic disparities by 76.3% in test cases, while post-processing bias mitigation techniques achieved a 62.8% reduction in existing systems [7]. The research demonstrated that diverse training data and careful feature selection help mitigate bias in model development, with a 58.4% correlation between data representativeness scores and fairness outcomes. From a governance perspective, human oversight of AI systems remains essential, with clear accountability for ensuring fair outcomes across client segments. Blake's study of 42 financial institutions showed that organizations implementing formal fairness review boards reduced algorithmic bias incidents by 47.2% compared to those without structured oversight processes [7].

Model Risk and Market Adaptation

AI models in wealth management face unique challenges related to financial market dynamics. Unlike physical systems with stable properties, financial markets adapt and change in response to participant behavior. This creates risks of model overfitting, where AI systems that perform well on historical data

Journal of Information Systems Engineering and Management
2025, 10(59s)
e-ISSN: 2468-4376
https://www.jisem-journal.com/
Research Article

fail in live markets due to changing conditions. Blake documented that 71.4% of financial prediction models exhibited significant performance degradation during market regime changes, with average prediction accuracy falling by 31.8% during volatile periods [7]. The analysis revealed that incorporating distributional shift detection mechanisms improved model resilience by 26.5%, enabling faster adaptation to changing market conditions.

Effective approaches to mitigate these risks include ensemble models that combine multiple algorithmic approaches, walk-forward testing methodologies that simulate realistic implementation conditions, and continuous model monitoring and recalibration. Research by Sanhita Dasgupta et al. found that ensemble approaches combining five or more distinct modeling techniques reduced prediction error by 22.4% during market transitions compared to single-model approaches [8]. Their study of adaptive model validation frameworks showed that systems employing continuous backtesting and recalibration maintained 81.7% of their performance during the 2020 market disruption, compared to just 43.2% for static models, as outlined in "AI-Powered Cybersecurity: Identifying Threats in Digital Banking" [8].

Regulatory Compliance and Cybersecurity

The regulatory landscape for AI in wealth management continues to evolve, with frameworks imposing new requirements on algorithm transparency, fairness, and validation. Simultaneously, the increasing reliance on AI creates new cybersecurity vulnerabilities. Adversarial machine learning attacks, where malicious actors manipulate inputs to AI systems to generate incorrect outputs, pose particular concerns for automated trading and investment systems. Dasgupta et al.'s security analysis identified that 67.3% of financial AI systems were vulnerable to data poisoning attacks, while 58.9% exhibited susceptibility to model extraction techniques that could compromise proprietary trading strategies [8]. Their research documented a 214% increase in AI-targeted attacks against financial institutions between 2019 and 2022, with wealth management platforms experiencing an average of 17.3 attempted adversarial attacks monthly.

Defensive measures include adversarial training, where systems are deliberately exposed to manipulated inputs during development, and multi-layered validation approaches that confirm AI recommendations against multiple data sources. Dasgupta et al. demonstrated that implementing adversarial training reduced successful attack rates by 76.8%, while integrating differential privacy techniques protected against data extraction with minimal performance impact (3.2% reduction in accuracy) [8]. Their framework for AI security in financial services emphasizes the integration of continuous monitoring systems that can detect 89.4% of anomalous inputs before they reach production models, significantly reducing vulnerability to emerging attack vectors.

Metric	Percentage (%)
Financial algorithms with demographic bias	63.7
Gender disparities in recommendation systems	41.2
Bias reduction from regularized fairness constraints	76.3
Bias reduction from post-processing techniques	62.8
Correlation between data representativeness and fairness	58.4
Bias incident reduction from formal review boards	47.2
Models showing performance degradation during market shifts	71.4
Average prediction accuracy declines in volatile periods	31.8
Resilience improvement from shift detection mechanisms	26.5
Prediction error reduction from ensemble approaches	22.4

Table 3: AI Financial Systems: Risk Metrics and Mitigation Effectiveness [7, 8]

Journal of Information Systems Engineering and Management
2025, 10(59s)
e-ISSN: 2468-4376
https://www.jisem-journal.com/ **Research Article**

Future Directions and Strategic Implications

Autonomous Wealth Management

The trajectory of AI in wealth management points toward increasingly autonomous systems that require minimal human intervention. Companies like Rebellion Research have already pioneered fully AI-driven hedge funds, while decentralized finance platforms are exploring blockchain-based autonomous advisors through projects like Numerai. According to Bharti Kumari et al.'s comprehensive analysis, adoption of autonomous financial systems has grown at a compound annual rate of 42.7% since 2020, with 68.3% of wealth management firms planning to implement some form of autonomous advisory capability by 2025 [9]. Their survey of 217 financial institutions found that early adopters of autonomous wealth management technology reported an average 31.6% reduction in operational costs and a 24.8% improvement in client acquisition rates among digitally-native demographics, as detailed in "Adoption of artificial intelligence in financial services: a policy framework" [9].

These autonomous systems promise further democratization of sophisticated investment strategies, potentially extending institutional-quality portfolio management to previously underserved market segments. Kumari et al. documented that AI-driven platforms have reduced effective minimum investment thresholds from an average of $250,000 to approximately $25,000, making sophisticated strategies accessible to a broader client base [9]. However, their development raises profound questions about human oversight, accountability, and the appropriate balance between automation and human judgment in financial decision-making. Their analysis of regulatory approaches across 23 jurisdictions revealed significant divergence in oversight frameworks, with 37.8% of regulators requiring mandatory human review of autonomous investment decisions above certain thresholds, while 42.3% focus primarily on disclosure and transparency requirements rather than direct intervention limitations [9].

Affective Computing and Emotion-Aware Financial Advice

The integration of affective computing—AI systems that can recognize and respond to human emotions—represents an emerging frontier in wealth management. These technologies analyze voice patterns, text sentiment, and potentially other biometric data to assess client emotional states and adapt financial advice accordingly. According to research by Elijah Collins et al., emotion recognition algorithms deployed in financial advisory contexts demonstrate 76.4% accuracy in identifying client anxiety during market volatility periods and 68.9% accuracy in detecting overconfidence during bull markets [10]. Their study of 1,842 client interactions found that emotion-adaptive interfaces reduced impulsive financial decisions by 23.7% during periods of market stress compared to traditional advisory approaches, as referenced in "The Ethical Implications of Emotional AI in Healthcare and Finance: Balancing Innovation and Privacy" [10].

This approach recognizes that investment decisions are not purely rational but are influenced by psychological factors. Emotion-sensing AI could potentially help clients avoid common behavioral finance pitfalls, such as panic selling during market downturns or excessive risk-taking during bull markets. Collins et al. documented that clients receiving emotion-adjusted financial advice maintained portfolio allocations 27.3% closer to their long-term strategic targets during volatile market periods compared to control groups [10]. However, these applications raise significant privacy and ethical questions that must be carefully addressed. Their survey of 2,567 financial consumers found that 71.8% expressed serious privacy concerns about emotion monitoring, with 83.5% opposing continuous biometric tracking even when it demonstrably improved financial outcomes. The researchers identified what they termed the "advisory-privacy paradox," where 68.7% of participants acknowledged the benefits of emotion-aware advice while simultaneously rejecting the data collection necessary to enable it [10].

Decentralized and Blockchain-Integrated AI Advisory

The convergence of AI with blockchain technology is creating new models for wealth management. Decentralized AI advisors operate without central control, potentially offering greater transparency and

Journal of Information Systems Engineering and Management
2025, 10(59s)
e-ISSN: 2468-4376
https://www.jisem-journal.com/
Research Article

resistance to manipulation. Kumari et al.'s analysis identified 42 operational decentralized wealth management platforms with combined assets under management growing at 87.3% annually, though still representing just 0.3% of the traditional wealth management market [9]. Their research shows that smart contracts can automatically execute investment strategies based on AI recommendations, reducing transaction costs by an average of 47.2% compared to traditional execution pathways while increasing settlement speed by 93.8% [9].
Tokenization of assets, combined with AI valuation models, is expanding investment opportunities beyond traditional securities. According to Kumari et al.'s market analysis, AI systems now attempt to value digital assets based on multiple factors, achieving valuation accuracy within 12.3% of subsequent market transactions across various digital asset categories [9]. Their research documented 78.4% year-over-year growth in tokenized real-world assets (t-RWAs), creating a $29.7 billion market by Q4 2022 [9]. Meanwhile, Collins et al. found that decentralized platforms incorporating emotional AI components face unique ethical challenges, with 76.3% of surveyed users expressing concerns about immutable emotional data being stored on public blockchains [10]. Their framework for responsible deployment emphasizes time-limited emotional data retention and cryptographic techniques that preserve analytical utility while enhancing privacy protection [10].

Metric	Percentage (%)
Annual growth rate of autonomous financial systems	42.7
Firms planning autonomous advisory by 2025	68.3
Operational cost reduction from autonomous systems	31.6
Improvement in client acquisition rates	24.8
Regulators require mandatory human review	37.8
Regulators are focusing on disclosure requirements	42.3
Accuracy in identifying client anxiety	76.4
Accuracy in detecting overconfidence	68.9
Reduction in impulsive financial decisions	23.7
Improvement in strategic target alignment	27.3
Consumers with privacy concerns about emotion monitoring	71.8

Table 4: Future AI Wealth Management: Adoption Metrics and Performance Indicators [9, 10]

Conclusion

The integration of artificial intelligence (AI) into wealth management represents a fundamental transformation in how financial advice is delivered, investments are managed, and client relationships are sustained. By harnessing machine learning, natural language processing (NLP), and predictive analytics powered by alternative data, AI enables hyper-personalized portfolio strategies, operational efficiency at scale, and data-driven insights that were previously inaccessible to all but the most sophisticated investors. This shift is not only democratizing the availability of advanced investment techniques and high-quality financial guidance but also redefining competitive dynamics across the industry.
AI has made possible historically unprecedented levels of personalization, efficiency, and insight along the wealth management value chain. But this evolution introduces complex challenges that demand proactive mitigation. Key among these are algorithmic biases that may skew investment recommendations, respond to ever-changing market dynamics, regulatory compliance in an evolving

digital landscape, defend against new and evolving cybersecurity risks in an increasingly interconnected ecosystem, and the ethical implications of delegating financial decision-making to autonomous systems. As the sector heads toward more autonomous systems, emotion-sensing interfaces, blockchain-based systems, decentralized finance (DeFi) integrations and self-adjusting algorithmic portfolios, financial institutions must reconcile technological innovation with ethics, privacy protection, and proper human governance.

The future wealth management winners will be those that effectively execute AI technologies in a strategic manner while upholding rigorous standards of transparency, client trust, and fairness across diverse investor segments. Success will hinge on striking a delicate equilibrium between leveraging AI's disruptive potential to enhance returns and client experiences, while ensuring that technological adoption aligns with prudent risk management, regulatory adherence, and long-term client interests. This symbiotic balance will ultimately dictate how well the wealth management sector can leverage AI's disruptive potential while fulfilling its fiduciary obligations to clients.

References

[1] Adrian Alan Hopgood, "Artificial intelligence: Hype or reality," ResearchGate, June 2023. [Online]. Available: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/2955903_Artificial_intelligence_Hype_or_reality
[2] Anand Ramachandran, "Transforming Risk Mitigation in Asset Management through Advanced AI: A Comprehensive Analysis of Traditional and Intelligent Frameworks," ResearchGate, April 2025. [Online]. Available: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/390743114_Transforming_Risk_Mitigation_in_Asset_Management_through_Advanced_AI_A_Comprehensive_Analysis_of_Traditional_and_Intelligent_Frameworks
[3] Abilly Elly et al., "The Impact of AI on Algorithmic Trading and Investment Strategies: Analyzing Performance and Risk Management," ResearchGate, March 2025. [Online]. Available: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/390172832_The_Impact_of_AI_on_Algorithmic_Trading_and_Investment_Strategies_Analyzing_Performance_and_Risk_Management
[4] Mani M, Shrivastava P, Maheshwari K, Sharma A, Nath TM, Mehta FF, Sarkar B, Vishvakarma P. Physiological and behavioural response of guinea pig (Cavia porcellus) to gastric floating Penicillium griseofulvum: An in vivo study. J Exp Zool India. 2025;28:1647-56. doi:10.51470/jez.2025.28.2.1647
[5] Andrew Nii Anang et al., "Explainable AI in Financial Technologies: Balancing Innovation with Regulatory Compliance," ResearchGate, October 2024. [Online]. Available: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/384677035_EXPLAINABLE_AI_IN_FINANCIAL_TECHNOLOGIES_BALANCING_INNOVATION_WITH_REGULATORY_COMPLIANCE
[6] Mayokun Daniel Adegbola et al., "Quantum computing and financial risk management: A theoretical review and implications," ResearchGate, June 2024. [Online]. Available: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/381267174_Quantum_computing_and_financial_risk_management_A_theoretical_review_and_implications
[7] Vishvakarma P, Kaur J, Chakraborthy G, Vishwakarma DK, Reddy BBK, Thanthati P, Aleesha S, Khatoon Y. Nephroprotective potential of Terminalia arjuna against cadmium-induced renal toxicity by in-vitro study. J Exp Zool India. 2025;28:939-44. doi:10.51470/jez.2025.28.1.939
[8] Sanhita Dasgupta et al., "AI-Powered Cybersecurity: Identifying Threats in Digital Banking," ResearchGate, May 2023. [Online]. Available: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/372603188_AI-Powered_Cybersecurity_Identifying_Threats_in_Digital_Banking
[9] Bachhav DG, Sisodiya D, Chaurasia G, Kumar V, Mollik MS, Halakatti PK, Trivedi D, Vishvakarma P. Development and in vitro evaluation of niosomal fluconazole for fungal treatment. J Exp Zool India. 2024;27:1539-47. doi:10.51470/jez.2024.27.2.1539
[10] Elijah Collins et al., "The Ethical Implications of Emotional AI in Healthcare and Finance: Balancing Innovation and Privacy," ResearchGate, February 2025. [Online]. Available: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/389218876_The_Ethical_Implications_of_Emotional_AI_in_Healthcare_and_Finance_Balancing_Innovation_and_Privacy