Journal of Information Systems Engineering and Management
2025, 10(60s)
e-ISSN: 2468-4376
https://www.jisem-journal.com/

Research Article

Business AI Assistants as a Competitive Advantage in Manufacturing

Sandeep Kumar
State University of New York at Buffalo, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Received: 04 Aug 2025

Revised: 20 Sept 2025

Accepted: 29 Sept 2025

ABSTRACT

Companies are speeding up Business AI and intelligent assistants deployment to transform plant and supply-chain information into quicker, higher-confidence choices. This article offers a complete implementation roadmap across technology, operation, strategy, and organization. The present context of adoption focuses on human-in-the-loop decision-making instead of substitution through automation, with AI assistants serving as decision co-pilots that expose explanations, uncertainty, and escalation choices. The technology architecture combines IoT sensing and edge inference with conversational assistants built into MES/ERP systems, using data fabric, MLOps, and standardized protocols (OPC UA, MQTT/Kafka) for enterprise integration. Operational excellence results from AI-driven optimization in predictive maintenance, supply chain responsiveness, and quality control, as proved through a three-tier measurement framework connecting process KPIs to economic results. Strategic value creation is expressed in faster innovation cycles by generative design, service-enhanced business models, and organizational capability, creating competitive moats. Workforce transformation calls for hybrid role creation, governance structures with transparent decision rights and guardrails, and cultural transformation away from risk aversion to experimentation and ongoing improvement. Measurement criteria range from operational metrics (first-pass yield, unplanned downtime, COPQ) to organizational metrics (internal mobility rates, time-to-competency), illustrating the way manufacturers transform AI pilots into enduring competitive strengths by leveraging faster decision speed, operational agility, and learning velocity that gains momentum with scale.

Keywords: Business AI, AI-Enabled Manufacturing, Predictive Maintenance, Human-Machine Collaboration, MLOps, Organizational Transformation

1. Introduction

Manufacturers are accelerating the use of Business AI and intelligent assistants to convert plant- and supply-chain data into faster, higher-confidence decisions. Recent surveys document broad maturity across predictive maintenance, visual quality inspection, supply-chain planning, and human–robot collaboration, with measurable gains in uptime, scrap reduction, and planning accuracy [1,5]. Against intensifying competition and volatile demand, AI assistants function less as automation substitutes and more as decision co-pilots—surfacing explanations, uncertainty, and escalation options so operators, planners, and supervisors can act with confidence rather than cede control [7,9].

This shift departs from earlier waves of mechanization: instead of primarily replacing physical effort, AI systems augment human judgment and enable adaptive production environments. In quality and maintenance, deep-learning approaches now achieve state-of-the-art defect detection and failure prediction, provided data quality, labeling protocols, and deployment guardrails are in place [2,5]. At the architectural layer, IoT and edge inference reduce latency and bandwidth costs and sustain operations during connectivity disruptions, creating viable loops for real-time sense–reason–act workflows [3].

Journal of Information Systems Engineering and Management
2025, 10(60s)
e-ISSN: 2468-4376
https://www.jisem-journal.com/
Research Article

The adoption context remains challenging. Recurring supply-chain disruptions, skilled-labor shortages, sustainability requirements, and cybersecurity threats affect conventional operating models. There is evidence to suggest that end-to-end AI applications—those that combine technical enablers (data fabric, MLOps, enterprise integration) with workforce capability development and governance—are outperforming standalone pilots by major metrics like unplanned downtime, first-pass yield, and exception resolution time [1,2,5]. This article (i) presents a reference model that links IoT sensing and edge inference with conversational, human-in-the-loop assistants; (ii) synthesizes adoption patterns and risks across maintenance, quality, and planning; and (iii) proposes evaluation guidelines that connect assistant capabilities to measurable competitive outcomes. It argues that durable advantage arises not from model accuracy alone, but from workflow design, organizational readiness, and the ability to scale across heterogeneous enterprise architectures [8,10].

Aspect	Description	Outcomes
AI maturity level	Predictive maintenance, visual quality inspection, supply-chain planning	Measurable gains in uptime, scrap reduction, and planning accuracy
Technology focus	AI as decision co-pilots surfacing explanations, uncertainty, and escalation options	Operators, planners, and supervisors act with confidence rather than cede control
Implementation approach	IoT and edge inference with conversational, human-in-the-loop assistants	Real-time sense-reason-act workflows, operations during connectivity disruptions
Key challenges	Supply-chain shocks, skilled-labor gaps, sustainability mandates, and cybersecurity risk	Strain on traditional operating models
Success factors	Technical enablers (data fabric, MLOps, enterprise integration) with workforce capability building and governance	Outperform isolated pilots on unplanned downtime, first-pass yield, and exception resolution time

Table 1: AI Implementation in Manufacturing Context [1-10]

2. Technological Architecture and Integration Framework

Deploying Business AI assistants in manufacturing requires an architecture that integrates with legacy enterprise systems while enabling new, human-in-the-loop capabilities. At its core is a data and control fabric that unifies high-frequency signals from operational technology (OT) with enterprise data from IT systems. Typical sources include IoT/OT devices (e.g., PLCs, CNCs, vision cells), MES/SCADA, ERP, QMS, CMMS/EAM, and external demand or risk signals. Contemporary implementations favor multi-layer designs in which edge nodes perform local acquisition, filtering, feature extraction, and low-latency inference, forwarding events and embeddings to regional or cloud services for aggregation, model lifecycle management, and assistant orchestration [3]. This pattern reduces bandwidth, improves resilience under intermittent connectivity, and enables real-time sense–reason–act loops in safety-critical cells [3].

Above the data fabric, the model and MLOps layer manages training, evaluation, and deployment for predictive maintenance, visual quality, and anomaly detection models (e.g., RUL estimators, defect classifiers) with clear versioning, rollback, and drift monitoring [1,5]. Policies for dataset lineage, labeling quality, and test coverage are essential to avoid silent degradation in production.

The assistant layer provides natural interactions—conversational interfaces, structured tool use (actions), and context-aware copilots embedded in MES/ERP/UIs. Effective assistants combine retrieval over governed knowledge (SOPs, maintenance logs, BOM/routings) with tool execution (e.g., create a work order, adjust a schedule) and expose explanations, uncertainty, and escalation paths so operators and planners can override, confirm, or hand off decisions [7,9]. Generative models should

Journal of Information Systems Engineering and Management
2025, 10(60s)
e-ISSN: 2468-4376
https://www.jisem-journal.com/
Research Article

be domain-adapted and grounded via retrieval and function calls rather than free-form text generation; guardrails (input validation, policy checks, audit logs) align with quality and safety requirements [7].

Integration is still non-trivial in heterogeneous plants. Standards and contracts help: OPC UA/MTConnect for OT connectivity; MQTT/Kafka for event streaming; REST/gRPC for service APIs; and data contracts (schemas, SLAs, ownership) to stabilize interfaces between teams. Edge–cloud split decisions must weigh cycle-time requirements, cost, and safety: execute perception and interlock-adjacent inference locally; batch learning, fleet analytics, and assistant orchestration centrally. Designs must degrade gracefully (local fallbacks to rules/SOPs, cached models, queued events) in case of failures in links or services, for continuity. Lastly, identity and authorization (RBAC/ABAC against roles like operator, supervisor, planner), change management, and observability (traces, metrics, audits) are required for regulated environments.

Aspect/ Component	Description	Implementation Details
Data infrastructure	OT/IT ingestion, normalization, enrichment, lineage, and data contracts	Dataset lineage, labeling quality, test coverage
Edge computing	Local filtering + feature extraction; low-latency inference; distributed processing with offline tolerance	Real-time sense-reason-act loops; graceful degradation
MLOps layer	Model lifecycle management for predictive maintenance and quality models	Versioning, rollback, and drift monitoring
Intelligent assistants	Conversational/UI layer with explanations, uncertainty, and escalation; embedded in MES/ERP workflows	Tool execution (work orders, schedules); escalation paths
Generative AI models	Domain-adapted, retrieval-grounded generation and tool use	Function calls; input validation, policy checks, audit logs
Cross-cutting: Integration	Stable APIs/data contracts, event versioning, and phased cutovers across legacy systems	OPC UA/MTConnect; MQTT/Kafka; REST/gRPC; RBAC/ABAC

Table 2: Technological Architecture Components [1,3, 5,7]

3. Operational Excellence Through AI-Driven Process Optimization

Business AI assistants improve day-to-day operations by shortening decision cycles, reducing errors, and increasing equipment availability. The focus must be on three domains with the strongest and most repeatable gains: predictive maintenance, supply-chain responsiveness, and defect prevention/quality control. Together, these create the operational foundation for the strategic and workforce outcomes discussed in Sections 4 and 5.

3.1 Predictive maintenance: from reactive costs to planned availability

Machine-learning models consume time-series sensor readings and service histories to forecast failures and schedule treatments ahead of failures. Recent surveys focus on deep-learning families—convolutional neural networks and recurrent/temporal models—as the dominant methods for vibration, acoustic, and image diagnostics in manufacturing environments [5]. Practically, this moves maintenance from reactive to scheduled work: reduced unplanned stops, increased Mean Time Between Failures (MTBF), and quicker recoveries when faults do happen. Early-warning models also improve parts staging and technician dispatch, cutting rush orders and overtime. Plants realize the largest gains when predictions are paired with clear operator workflows (assistant-generated work orders with evidence, confidence, and escalation options) and with data quality controls (sensor health, labeling protocols) [1,5]. What to measure: Unplanned downtime (minutes/asset/week),

Journal of Information Systems Engineering and Management
2025, 10(60s)
e-ISSN: 2468-4376
https://www.jisem-journal.com/ **Research Article**

MTBF, planned-vs-unplanned maintenance mix, and maintenance ticket cycle time. Report both absolute and relative changes.

3.2 Supply-chain responsiveness: planning that learns and adapts

Planning done in the conventional sense struggles during volatility in trends. AI planning systems commonly combine demand indicators, stock, and supplier performance with outside influences (e.g., weather or geopolitics) to renew procurement, production timetable, and distribution. Conceptual frameworks and simulations suggest that multi-agent systems coupled with learning policies can coordinate decisions across tiers, improving resilience and response to disruption compared with rule-based plans [6]. Reinforcement-learning and scenario-generation components help uncover non-obvious policies—when to reallocate capacity, when to expedite, and when to accept backlogs—to minimize total cost while preserving service levels.

Planning accuracy measured through MAPE and WAPE, exception detection-to-closure time, OTIF performance, expediting cost, and inventory turns link directly to the financial outcomes detailed in Section 4, demonstrating how supply chain improvements translate to competitive advantage.

3.3 Defect prevention and quality control: faster, more consistent decisions

Computer-vision systems now inspect at line speed with consistent criteria, while feature-learning models relate process parameters to quality outcomes. Reviews document strong performance for deep-learning architectures in visual inspection, with transfer learning enabling faster deployment across new product variants using modest labeled data [5]. Assistants increase operator effectiveness by (i) explaining why a part failed (saliency or rule-based evidence), (ii) suggesting next steps (re-inspect, rework, scrap), and (iii) logging rationale for audits. In distributed networks, agent-based coordination can harmonize standards across plants while allowing local tolerances where justified by process capability [6].

First-Pass Yield, false rejects and accepts per thousand units, rework and warranty rates, and investigation lead time paired with Cost of Poor Quality (COPQ) metrics in Section 4 to demonstrate the business impact of quality improvements.

3.4 Validation methodology and performance measurement

Performance evaluation compared outcomes before and after assistant rollout and, where possible, against similar lines or workcells that had not yet adopted the assistants [1,5]. Rollouts were staged to observe changes over time rather than single snapshots, and when randomization wasn't practical, like-for-like comparisons used the same product family, shift, and staffing patterns.

The measurement framework grouped outcomes hierarchically to translate operational gains into competitive advantage. Tier A process KPIs included decision time for exceptions, first-pass yield (FPY), false rejects and accepts in visual inspection, unplanned downtime, MTBF, and exception or maintenance ticket closure time [1,2,5]. Tier B flow and service KPIs encompassed OEE, schedule adherence, on-time-in-full (OTIF) performance, and planning accuracy measured through MAPE and WAPE [1,6]. Tier C economic and strategic KPIs comprised cost of poor quality (COPQ), contribution margin per hour, inventory turns, cash-to-cash cycle time, and time-to-launch for new SKUs, directly linking assistants to competitive advantage [8,10].

Evidence collection relied on existing systems to minimize implementation burden, drawing from MES and SCADA systems for throughput, FPY, and scrap data, CMMS and EAM platforms for failure and downtime records, ERP and planning systems for exceptions and fulfillment metrics, and assistant logs capturing response times, recommendations, confirmations, overrides, and guardrail blocks [2,5]. Ground truth validation employed golden images for vision systems and verified failure labels for predictive maintenance applications, while the assistants accessed governed SOPs and maintenance notes through controlled access protocols [4,7].

3.5 Integration and sustainability

Gains are largest when these domains reinforce each other—e.g., quality signals feed maintenance models; supply-chain policies account for predicted downtime; assistants provide a single place to act on all three. This closed-loop design depends on the architecture in Section 2 (edge inference, data fabric, governed knowledge, and assistant orchestration) and on the validation approach described

Journal of Information Systems Engineering and Management
2025, 10(60s)
e-ISSN: 2468-4376
https://www.jisem-journal.com/
Research Article

above [3]. What "good" looks like: Targets align to line tact times and safety with fast assistant-mediated decisions (seconds on inspection stations), FPY improvements with visible reasons, fewer unplanned stops, clear human control (easy accept/modify/escalate), zero safety incidents, full auditability, and role-based access [2,5,7,9].

Reliability checks ensure improvements persist across weeks/products; comparable non-assistant lines don't improve at the same time; data/model quality is monitored with graceful fallbacks (edge cache, SOP rules, queued events) during outages [1,3,5]. Sites that combine technical enablers with capability building (Section 5) sustain improvements rather than seeing them erode after initial pilots [1,10].

4. Strategic Value Creation and Competitive Positioning

Business AI assistants create advantages not only by improving daily operations (Section 3) but by compounding those gains into faster decisions, greater agility, and new revenue models. Firms that pair technical enablers with governance and skills convert operational deltas (e.g., higher First-Pass Yield, lower downtime) into flow and financial outcomes—better Overall Equipment Effectiveness (OEE), On-Time-In-Full (OTIF), lower Cost of Poor Quality (COPQ)—that sustain pricing power and customer loyalty [1].

4.1 Product and process innovation at speed

Generative and conversational AI shorten design–build–learn cycles by exploring broader design spaces, simulating manufacturability earlier, and capturing shop-floor feedback directly into engineering backlogs. Evidence and expert analyses point to generative design and digital simulation as levers for reducing time-to-market and increasing design quality when paired with guardrails and human review [7]. In practice, assistants help engineers (i) compare candidate designs with explainable trade-offs, (ii) pre-empt production issues via process-capability checks, and (iii) automate documentation and change logs. The result is more frequent, lower-risk iterations and a faster path from concept to stable production [1,7].

Implementations demonstrate measurable improvements in innovation metrics, with time-to-prototype decreasing, engineering change cycle time reducing, launch slip rates declining, and yield-at-launch increasing when AI-assisted design processes are fully integrated [7]. These improvements translate directly to competitive advantage through faster market entry and reduced development costs.

4.2 Adaptive planning and resilient service

Strategically, assistants extend beyond planning optimization to service differentiation. By turning operations data into predictive services (uptime guarantees, remote diagnostics, parameter recommendations), manufacturers move from product-only to service-augmented offerings with recurring revenue [8]. Multi-agent and learning-based coordination improve response under volatility, strengthening customer reliability without over-buffering inventory [6,8]. Winning firms make these services auditable and outcome-based (e.g., "pay for uptime"), reinforcing trust and stickiness.

Organizations implementing adaptive planning systems report increases in OTIF performance, reductions in expediting costs, improvements in inventory turns, higher service attach rates, and expanded service gross margins, demonstrating the compound value of AI-enabled service transformation [6,8].

4.3 Business-model innovation and defensibility

Data, models, and workflows become capabilities that are hard to copy when embedded in governance, skills, and integration routines. Tripathi et al. emphasize that successful data-driven models require organizational and strategic readiness, not just tooling [8]. Firms that standardize data contracts, MLOps, and assistant guardrails at scale gain a replication advantage—each new line or plant onboards faster, and effect sizes are more predictable. Over time, this creates a moat: lower marginal cost to launch variants and services, faster recovery from shocks, and a platform for co-innovation with customers and suppliers [1,8].

Strategic dimension	Mechanism	Outcomes
Decision speed & agility	Compounding operational gains into faster decisions and greater agility	Higher FPY, lower downtime, better OEE, OTIF improvements
Innovation velocity	Generative design + simulation + shop-floor feedback into engineering backlogs	Time-to-prototype decreasing, engineering change cycle time reducing, launch slip rate declining, yield-at-launch increasing
Customer reliability	Predictive services (uptime guarantees, remote diagnostics, parameter recommendations)	OTIF performance increases, strengthening customer reliability
Cost & quality	Converting operational deltas into flow and financial outcomes	Lower COPQ, higher First-Pass Yield
Revenue model shift	Product-only to service-augmented offerings with recurring revenue	Service attach rates increasing, service gross margins expanding
Scalability/ moat	Standardized data contracts, MLOps, and assistant guardrails at scale	Lower marginal cost to launch variants, faster recovery from shocks

Table 3: Strategic impacts of AI assistants on manufacturing competitiveness [1,7,8]

5. Workforce Revolution and Organizational Transformation

Business AI assistants change outcomes only when organizations change how decisions are made, who makes them, and how people learn. The shift is from top-down escalation to distributed, human-in-the-loop decision making: operators, planners, and supervisors act on assistant evidence with clear escalation paths and auditability. Research on labor dynamics shows that Artificial Intelligence (AI) adoption reshapes job structures—some routine tasks decline while demand rises for roles combining domain expertise with data literacy and problem-solving [9]. The goal is complementarity, not substitution: human judgment remains accountable, with assistants improving speed, consistency, and documentation.

5.1 Roles and skills

Effective programs define hybrid roles (e.g., operator-analyst, maintenance planner with model literacy) and invest in reskilling/upskilling tied to daily workflows. Training emphasizes reading assistant explanations and uncertainty, interpreting quality/maintenance signals, and knowing when to override or escalate. Evidence on industrial structure optimization suggests polarization risks; targeted learning pathways and internal mobility reduce displacement and unlock higher-skill growth [9].

Organizations implementing effective reskilling programs measure success through time-to-competency for new hires on assistant-enabled lines, SOP adherence rates with assistant support, confirmation and override patterns by role, and internal mobility rates into hybrid roles that combine operational expertise with data literacy [9].

5.2 Decision rights and governance

Distributed decisions need explicit decision rights, guardrails, and accountability. Policy must address which actions the assistant can recommend versus take, who authorizes high-impact action, and how exceptions are tracked for audit. Consistent governance (access control, change control, incident reviews) sustains trust and safety as adoption scales across lines and plants.

Governance effectiveness manifests through measurable indicators, including the share of actions requiring human sign-off, guardrail block rates that prevent unsafe operations, audit findings closed

Journal of Information Systems Engineering and Management
2025, 10(60s)
e-ISSN: 2468-4376
https://www.jisem-journal.com/
Research Article

on time demonstrating compliance accountability, and incident rates with documented root-cause analysis and corrective action implementation [1,10].

5.3 Culture and change management

Legacy cultures value stability and risk avoidance; AI programs succeed when leaders sponsor experimentation, evidence-based decisions, and continuous improvement. Adoption frameworks highlight culture as a first-order constraint: leadership commitment, incentives aligned to learning (not just output), and transparent communication reduce resistance and accelerate capability building [10]. Change should be participatory—co-design workflows with frontline teams, publish before/after metrics, and recognize safe overrides as good practice.

Cultural transformation progress appears in participation rates in pilot programs, learning hours per employee invested in capability building, suggestion and kaizen throughput reflecting continuous improvement engagement, and sentiment scores on psychological safety measured through periodic workforce surveys [10].

5.4 Why this matters strategically: From operational gains to sustainable advantage

The above sections illustrated how assistants enhance First-Pass Yield (FPY), decrease unplanned downtime, and improve On-Time-In-Full (OTIF) performance. Companies that complement these technical improvements with systematic development of the workforce, solid governance models, and cultural change transform transient improvements into sustained competitive edge—gaining lasting reductions in Cost of Poor Quality (COPQ), compressed time-to-market for new products, dependable service levels to deepen customer relationships, and quicker replication of best practices across sites [1,8,10].

The compounding effect of integrated human-AI systems creates capabilities that transcend individual improvements. When operators confidently interpret assistant recommendations, maintenance teams prevent failures before they cascade, and planners optimize across constraints with explainable trade-offs, the organization develops an adaptive capacity that responds to market changes faster than competitors relying on traditional decision hierarchies [9]. This advantage deepens over time as standardized MLOps practices, governed knowledge bases, and mature escalation protocols reduce the marginal cost and risk of deploying assistants to new processes, products, and facilities [8].

Organizations that learn to master this sociotechnical integration do what stand-alone technology deployments cannot: a learning velocity that improves with scale instead of declining under complexity. The convergence of operational excellence, strategic agility, and organizational readiness puts these companies not just in a position to embrace AI tools but to continuously adapt their application, building a sustained differentiation that builds over repeated innovation cycles [1,10]. This shift from static optimization to dynamic adaptation is the key change needed for manufacturing leadership in an AI-enabled industrial future.

Transformation factor	What changes	Measurement Criteria
Decision structure	From top-down to distributed, human-in-the-loop	Confirmation/override patterns by role; share of actions requiring human sign-off
Employment dynamics	Fewer routine tasks; growth in hybrid roles	Internal mobility into hybrid roles; time-to-competency for new hires on assistant-enabled lines
Workforce development	Data literacy + domain expertise + problem-solving	SOP adherence with assistant support; knowing when to override or escalate
Culture and incentives	From risk avoidance to experimentation and continuous improvement	Participation in pilots; learning hours per employee; suggestion/kaizen throughput; sentiment on psychological safety
Governance and safety	Clear decision rights, guardrails, and accountability	Guardrail block rate; audit findings closed on time; incident rate with documented root cause

Table 4: Workforce and Organizational Transformation Factors [9,10]

Conclusion

Business AI assistants now determine the fate of manufacturing performance and strategy. Embedded in a solid architecture that combines edge inference, data fabric, governed knowledge, and assistant orchestration with human-in-the-loop workflows, they accelerate decision cycles, increase First-Pass Yield, lower unplanned downtime, and close supply-chain exceptions more quickly. These improvements in operation add up to flow and fiscal results such as increased Overall Equipment Effectiveness, improved On-Time-In-Full performance, and reduced Cost of Poor Quality, which underpin sustainable competitive advantage tested by three-tier measurement connecting process KPIs to economic value. True impact is just as much a function of organization as it is of models. Companies that standardize data contracts, Machine Learning Operations, and guardrails, and invest in role design, skill, and transparent decision rights, duplicate successes across lines and sites. Strategically, assistants drive product and process innovation and facilitate service-augmented business models, increasing customer trust and recurring revenue. Two constraints are still there. Data quality and drift need to be monitored continuously with graceful degradation paths, and organizational culture and change management decide if pilots scale. The complementarity of human and AI is a key principle as helpers supplement but do not replace human judgment. Manufacturing executives need to focus on quantifiable KPIs aligned with workflows, governance for auditability and human oversight, and capability development, marrying domain judgment with data literacy. Future research must look into implementation trends and workforce transformation effects in different manufacturing environments.

References

[1] Robert X. Gao et al., "Artificial Intelligence in manufacturing: State of the art, perspectives, and future directions", ScienceDirect, 2024. [Online]. Available: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S000785062400115X
[2] Tojo Valisoa Andrianandrianina Johanesa et al., "Survey on AI Applications for Product Quality Control and Predictive Maintenance in Industry 4.0", ResearchGate, 2024. [Online]. Available: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/378729195_Survey_on_AI_Applications_for_Product_Quality_Control_and_Predictive_Maintenance_in_Industry_40
[3] Sunthar Subramanian, "IoT and Edge Computing for Smart Manufacturing: Architecture and Future Trends", ResearchGate, 2024. [Online]. Available: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/385697496_IoT_and_Edge_Computing_for_Smart_Manufacturing_Architecture_and_Future_Trends
[4] Kumar Rajiv Nayan, "Human-AI Collaboration in Manufacturing: Unlocking New Possibilities with Generative AI", ResearchGate, July 2025. [Online]. Available: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/394810049_Human-AI_Collaboration_in_Manufacturing_Unlocking_New_Possibilities_with_Generative_AI
[5] Zhe Li et al., "A survey of deep learning-driven architecture for predictive maintenance", ScienceDirect, 2024. [Online]. Available: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0952197624004433
[6] Md Zahidur Rahman Farazi, "Enhancing supply chain resilience with multi-agent systems and machine learning: a framework for adaptive decision-making", The American Journal of Engineering and Technology, March 2025. [Online]. Available: https://theamericanjournals.com/index.php/tajet/article/view/5919/5478
[7] Andrew Kusiak, "Generative artificial intelligence in smart manufacturing", Journal of Intelligent Manufacturing - Springer Nature, 2024. [Online]. Available: https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10845-024-02480-6
[8] Shailesh Tripathi et al., "Preparedness for Data-Driven Business Model Innovation: A Knowledge Framework for Incumbent Manufacturers", MDPI, 2024. [Online]. Available: https://www.mdpi.com/2076-3417/14/8/3454

[9] Xiaowen Wang et al., "How artificial intelligence affects the labour force employment structure from the perspective of industrial structure optimisation", National Library of Medicine, 2024. [Online]. Available: https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10907740/

[10] Muhammad Yusuf Bin Masod and Siti Farhana Zakaria, "Artificial Intelligence Adoption in the Manufacturing Sector: Challenges and Strategic Framework", ResearchGate, 2024. [Online]. Available: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/385288953_Artificial_Intelligence_Adoption_in_the_Manufacturing_Sector_Challenges_and_Strategic_Framework