Journal of Information Systems Engineering and Management
2025, 10(62s)
e-ISSN: 2468-4376
https://www.jisem-journal.com/

Research Article

AI in Financial Reporting and Audit Automation

Vijay Kumar Tiwari Brij
Malaviya National Institute of Technology, India

ARTICLE INFO

Received: 07 Oct 2025

Revised: 14 Nov 2025

Accepted: 26 Nov 2025

ABSTRACT

Artificial intelligence fundamentally redefines financial reporting and audit processes by applying sophisticated analytical strength for automatic data processing, real-time transaction analysis, and enhanced risk analysis. Obsolete periodic audit paradigms based on manual reconciliation and sampling-based testing converge on models of continuous assurance where intelligent systems scan entire populations of transactions rather than statistical samples thereof. Financial data management is dramatically enhanced by AI-powered automation of data ingestion, transaction accuracy verification, and automation of regulatory-compliant disclosure preparation requiring little to no human intervention. Sophisticated accounting applications such as revenue recognition, lease accounting, and impairment testing utilize machine learning processes to interpret contractual language, model economic scenarios, and apply accounting standards consistently across a spectrum of transaction types. Continuous monitoring systems enable auditors to detect anomalies, identify control weaknesses, and predict potential misstatements before financial statements are issued to stakeholders. However, successful AI implementation depends upon effective governance mechanisms addressing transparency of algorithms, bias mitigation, identification of data origin, and model validation on a recurring cycle. Organizations must construct comprehensive oversight processes ensuring AI-prepared financial output stays accurate, reliable, and compliant with professional standards while maintaining proper human judgment in critical accounting decisions. Paradigm shifts towards AI-enriched financial processes require judicious management of technical capability, ethical concerns, regulatory requirements, and preservation of stakeholder trust amidst converging IT shifts.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Automation Of Financial Reporting, Continuous Monitoring Of Audit, Risk Assessment Technologies, Algorithmic Governance Frameworks, Intelligent Validation Of Data

Introduction

The combination of artificial intelligence into audit and financial reporting practices marks a paradigm shift in how organizations screen economic data, ensure compliance, and lift transparency for key stakeholders. Conventional monetary reporting techniques, which are typified by using extensive in-depth records aggregation, bulky verification procedures, and reactive strategies to auditing, are being transformed through intelligent structures that have the capacity to system massive datasets, identify patterns, and automate complex accounting decisions. The accounting and related finance sectors have experienced accelerated growth in AI adoption as organizations recognize the disruptive capability of machine learning algorithms, robotic process automation, and natural language processing to redesign work processes historically requiring substantial professional hours for activities like data reconciliation, journal entry verification, and preparation of disclosures. Research examining AI applications across accounting and finance businesses shows that technologies have a profound capability of addressing root challenges, such as management of large transactional datasets, identification of fraudulent activities through pattern recognition, and automation of routine processes requiring substantial human effort, so that finance professionals are free to focus their talents on more meaningful analytical and strategy-facing role that directly aids organizational decisions-making [1].

Journal of Information Systems Engineering and Management
2025, 10(62s)
e-ISSN: 2468-4376
https://www.jisem-journal.com/

Research Article

This technological development efficiently redresses perennial problems within financial processing, including the labor-intensive nature of Monthly and Quarterly Close cycles, the growing complexity of applying increasingly changing standards of accounting across a broadening set of transaction portfolios, and the continuing challenge of maintaining comprehensive audit trails in a distributed-enterprise framework. Artificial Intelligence systems, which are supplemented by sophisticated pattern recognition techniques and machine-learning algorithms for the identification of anomalies, can evaluate entire populations of transactions, rather than statistical samples, as opposed to traditional approaches burdened by time limitations and resource limitations. The application of AI technologies in accounting systems opened tremendous potential for enhancing the accuracy of financial forecasting, for fine-tuning risk evaluation procedures, and for enabling real-time monitoring of financial transactions, which promotes proactive management techniques, rather than reactive approaches. However, it also creates concerning questions about algorithmic transparency, protection of data confidentiality, and a need for professionals to acquire new expertise, supplementary and complementary to those of automation systems, instead of competing against them [1].
Nonetheless, this transformation concurrently introduces significant considerations regarding the establishment of comprehensive governance frameworks that guarantee the reliability of AI models and their continual validation, the implementation of explainability mechanisms that facilitate auditors and regulators in understanding the processes involved in algorithmic decision-making, and the enhancement of compliance structures specifically tailored to tackle the challenges associated with autonomous financial systems. The incorporation of AI into internal audit functions illustrates these considerations, as intelligent systems increasingly assist auditors in performing continuous monitoring activities, executing thorough risk assessments across complete datasets, and pinpointing control deficiencies with greater accuracy than traditional sampling methods, thus fundamentally transforming the auditor's role from a periodic reviewer to a continuous assurance provider who utilizes AI-generated insights to concentrate human judgment on areas necessitating professional skepticism and contextual interpretation [2]. Organizations must carefully navigate the equilibrium between capitalizing on AI's efficiency benefits while maintaining robust control environments, adhering to professional skepticism protocols, and implementing appropriate human oversight mechanisms that remain critical to preserving the integrity and trustworthiness of the financial information presented to investors, credit providers, regulatory agencies, and other stakeholders reliant on reliable and transparent financial reporting for their capital allocation decisions.

Knowledge-Powered Financial Information Management

Modern financial reporting begins with the structured capture and verification of transaction data emanating from a host of sources integrated into complex organizational structures supporting multiple business units, geographic locations, and operating models. Artificially intelligent systems allow for automatic processing of structured and unstructured financial data emanating from enterprise resource planning systems, banking systems, treasury management systems, and operating database stores, generating millions of transactions requiring consolidation and reconciliation across a variety of data formats and schemas. Advanced agents apply sophisticated validation protocols encompassing multiple verification tiers conceived to detect discrepancies, duplication, and anomalies in real-time, thereby significantly reducing the necessity of manual intervention heretofore routinely necessary during month-end and year-end closure processing, historically requiring considerable accounting team effort in reconciliation work, taking several days or weeks. Digitization of the accounting process has radically transformed the course of financial data flow through organizational constructs, automation technologies supporting rapid management of high-volume transaction data, simultaneously giving rise to new imperatives for accounting professionals to gain data analytics,

Journal of Information Systems Engineering and Management
2025, 10(62s)
e-ISSN: 2468-4376
https://www.jisem-journal.com/ **Research Article**

systems integration, and digital tool competency aligned to traditional technical accounting capability [3]. Machine-learning algorithms embedded across such systems continually augment validation accuracy by iteratively learning based on historical correction patterns, increasingly recognizing nascent data quality issues before they propagate through downstream reporting mechanisms and potentially compromise the reliability of consolidated financial statements delivered to constituents.
Financial disclosure necessities call for unwavering consistency, technical accuracy, and strict adherence to continuously evolving accounting requirements promulgated by regulatory governments governing monetary reporting practices across jurisdictions. AI systems generate comprehensive disclosures through systematically mapping actual-time economic factors to applicable regulatory frameworks, making sure that narrative factors, footnotes, and supplementary schedules accurately mirror the underlying monetary substance of complicated transactions, even as keeping compliance with presentation and disclosure requirements mandated by accounting regulators. Natural language era skills embedded inside superior AI systems permit those structures to produce human-readable disclosures that keep considered necessary technical precision while intelligently adapting narrative tone, granularity of detail, and explanatory depth based on the meant audience, whether internal control requiring operational insights, outside auditors conducting considerable analytical methods, or regulatory bodies comparing compliance with statutory reporting obligations. The mixing of large facts analytics with synthetic intelligence technologies allows the processing and analysis of massive datasets that conventional accounting systems struggled to deal with, permitting organizations to extract significant insights from granular transactional statistics, become aware of patterns indicative of operational inefficiencies or control weaknesses, and generate ahead-searching disclosures that comprise predictive analytics concerning financial performance developments and chance exposure profiles [4]. These capabilities prove particularly valuable in domains requiring extensive judgment-based disclosures such as fair value measurements, revenue recognition for complex contracts with multiple performance obligations, lease accounting under current standards, and impairment assessments for long-lived assets and goodwill, where AI systems can systematically analyze contractual terms, model multiple scenarios incorporating various economic assumptions, and generate disclosure language that transparently communicates the methodologies, inputs, and sensitivities underlying critical accounting estimates to financial statement users making investment and credit decisions.

Capability Domain	Traditional Approach	AI-Enhanced Approach	Key Benefits
Data Ingestion	Manual extraction from multiple sources with periodic batch processing	Automated real-time collection across ERP systems, banking platforms, and operational databases	Reduced processing time and enhanced data completeness
Transaction Validation	Sample-based manual review during close cycles	Continuous validation with anomaly detection algorithms	Early identification of inconsistencies and duplications
Disclosure Generation	Template-based manual preparation with human narrative drafting	Automated mapping of financial data to regulatory frameworks with natural language generation	Consistent compliance with evolving accounting standards
Data Quality Management	Periodic reconciliation identifying historical errors	Machine learning-driven continuous improvement from correction patterns	Proactive prevention of data quality issues
Close Process Efficiency	Labor-intensive monthly cycles consume multiple days	Intelligent automation handling high-volume transactions with exception escalation	Optimized allocation of accounting expertise to judgment-based tasks

Table 1. AI-Enabled Financial Data Management Capabilities [3, 4].

Journal of Information Systems Engineering and Management
2025, 10(62s)
e-ISSN: 2468-4376
https://www.jisem-journal.com/
Research Article

Artificial Intelligence for Complex Accounting Systems

Process of revenue recognition, lease accounting, and impairment testing requires sophisticated judgment structures and sophisticated scenario modeling exercises, which require significant efforts by finance teams of several staff members for a considerable number of periods of time. Those processes also involve recurring application of changing accounts to multiple types of transactions. Artificial intelligence simplifies those complex determinations by systematically analyzing contractual provisions incorporated into legal documents, forecasting future cash flows that reflect economic factors and business assumptions, and utilizing comprehensive accounting policies across multiple scenarios simultaneously to evaluate alternative treatment approaches and their effects on financial statements. For revenue recognition processes, existing accounting requirements require the identification of multiple performance obligations and the allocation of transaction prices based on the standalone pricing of goods or services. AI programs apply natural language processing protocols to unpack dense contractual language, identify discrete service deliverables and service elements, identify transaction prices reflecting variable consideration and constrained estimates, and allocate revenue across applicable recognition periods concurrently with customers' transfer patterns of goods or services. Descriptive research shows that the incorporation of artificial intelligence into accounting processes significantly enhances complex calculations' efficiency and accuracy, decreases the processing time of financial transactions, and improves the reliability of financial reporting determinations by minimizing human error and ensuring a consistent application of accounting policies across analogous types of transactions. At the same time, it enables accounting professionals to focus their expertise on activities requiring contextual interpretation, judgment, and analytical thinking, which cannot be appropriately treated by automation systems [5].

Algorithms based on machine learning and having been trained on comprehensive historical collections of contracts can identify common contractual patterns, identify departures of normal conditions requiring sophisticated accounting analysis, and identify agreements having embedded options, guarantees, or contingent pricing models requiring careful evaluation under revenue recognition models to ensure financial statements accurately reflect the timing and amount of revenue arising from customer interactions.

Similarly, long-lived asset impairment testing, intangible assets, and goodwill significantly benefit AI's ability to process computation for modeling several economic scenarios based on alternative assumptions regarding discount rates, growth, market, and operating performance measures, assess probability-weighted outcomes across a range of reasonably possible scenarios, and systematically document analytical rationale for supporting fair value determinations and goodwill tests satisfying audit evidence requirements and regulatory review expectations. Employing artificial intelligence as a disruptive technology for purposes of financial accounting applications represents a fundamental alteration to how organizations make complex decisions about accounting, by which AI systems have been seen to have potential for automating routine computation, enhancement of decision-making processes by predictive analytics, and real-time processing of financial information facilitating timelier and better-informed management responses to evolving business conditions and market trends [6]. AI-based approaches to impairment testing methodologies are capable of processing large information sets including market comparables, industry trend analysis, macroeconomic indicators, and entity-specific operating performance measures to generate discounted cash flows, comparable companies analysis, and market approach valuations supporting fair value determinations, yet creating comprehensive sensitivity analyses demonstrating how value conclusion variations impact key assumptions and potentially result in impairment recognition in financial statements. The disruptive effects of AI technologies for purposes of accounting extend far beyond efficiency benefits to substantive shifts for professional jobs, competency requirements, and organizational designs, by which finance teams are becoming increasingly reliant on AI-generated insights supporting key accounting judgments yet maintaining necessary human review for ensuring that algorithmic output complies with accounting principles, evidences economic substance, and complies with stakeholder requirements for clear and reliable disclosure of financial information [6].

Accounting Domain	Technical Requirements	AI Capabilities	Implementation Outcomes
Revenue Recognition	Performance obligation identification, transaction price determination, allocation across periods	Natural language processing for contract parsing, pattern recognition for contractual terms	Consistent application of recognition standards across diverse contracts
Lease Accounting	Classification assessment, measurement calculations, disclosure preparation	Automated term extraction, scenario modeling, and regulatory framework mapping	Streamlined compliance with complex lease standards
Impairment Testing	Fair value estimation, scenario modeling, goodwill assessment	Economic scenario generation, probability-weighted outcome analysis, and comprehensive documentation	Enhanced accuracy in valuation determinations
Complex Contracts	Embedded option identification, variable consideration constraints, contingent pricing	Machine learning recognition of non-standard terms, deviation flagging	Reduced risk of misapplication of accounting guidance
Professional Judgment Support	Contextual interpretation, substance-over-form analysis, and technical accounting expertise	Computational efficiency enabling multiple scenario evaluation	Redirection of professional resources toward strategic analysis

Table 2. AI Applications in Complex Accounting Determinations [5, 6].

Ongoing Monitoring and Risk Analysis

The conventional model of periodic audits, comprising annual or quarterly retrospective review cycles conducted after preparation of financial statements, is being replaced by continuous processes of assurance based on monitoring systems powered by AI, assessing transaction information in real-time as it flows into financial systems by various sources of operation spread across enterprise infrastructures. The new advanced tools utilizing sophisticated anomaly-detection algorithms combining statistical methodologies, pattern recognition methods, and behavioral analytics mark suspicious patterns significantly different compared to pre-set norms, pinpoint violations of segregation of duty whereby incompatible activities are executed by a single staff member, and tag transactions having attributes historically linked to error, irregularities, or fraudulent activities demanding prompt investigation and managerial intervention. Running continuously, rather than intermittently at fixed intervals, AI monitoring systems issue significantly prior warnings of breakdown of controls, process breakdown, and violations of compliance, facilitating corrective actions and remediation before preparing and releasing financial statements for stakeholder delivery, radically altering risk profile relating to release of financial statements and boosting reliability of released financial information being provided to investors, creditors, and regulatory agencies reliant on proper disclosure of finances for purposes of decisions, respectively. The incorporation of artificial intelligence into an audit of a financial setting redetermines traditional notions of accuracy and transparency by facilitating auditors to process and examine entire universes of transactions, rather than depending on sampling methodologies, substantially expanding ability of material misstatement, fraudulent activities, and control shortcomings detections being evaded by traditional methods of audits due to sampling risks inherent and resource limitations [7].

Journal of Information Systems Engineering and Management
2025, 10(62s)
e-ISSN: 2468-4376
https://www.jisem-journal.com/
Research Article

Continuous monitoring systems employing technologies based on artificial intelligence allow a shift for internal audit teams from a reactive model of problem identification to a proactive model of risk management. Here, potential problems are systematically identified and fixed before their maturation into serious control failures or misstatements of financial statements, which would lead to restatement and potentially ultimately compromise stakeholder trust in management's administration of organizational assets and financial reporting processes. Audit planning long relied heavily on historical financial data, prior-year audit outcomes, and auditor professional judgment to identify high inherent or control risk areas worthy of additional audit attention and resource allocation during annual audit planning programs. AI greatly improves this preliminary process by systematically scanning patterns through entire transaction populations spread across multiple periods of account, matching control tests with amounts of financial statement accounts and assertion levels, and identifying new risks potentially not prominently illuminated through traditional sampling approaches or preliminary analytical procedures performed during planning phases of audits before detailed substantive testing. Machine learning models trained on exhaustive data sets including prior audit outcomes, identified misstatements, control weaknesses, and industry-maintained risk indicators are capable of predicting with increasing accuracy where material misstatements are likely to occur based on attributes of transactions, traits of account, and environmental factors, thereby affording audit resources including qualified personnel and hours allocated to focus on high-risk areas requiring comprehensive substantive testing while, simultaneously, provisioning for unnecessary testing procedures for low-risk areas where control adequacy and historical accuracy patterns point to low risk of material misstatement. However, integration of AI systems into auditing procedures holds critical factors including algorithmic bias and ethical repercussions which must be seriously factored to maintain audit quality and stakeholder trust, for AI models are capable of inadvertantly propagating biases incorporated in their own data for training, generating results for audit documentation purposes lacking proper explainability, or yielding recommendations violating proper auditing standards and ethical precepts governing auditor independence, objectivity, and professional skepticism [8].

An effective AI application to audit work requires effective systems of governance encompassing model verification, bias identification, and mitigation processes, transparency of decision-making algorithms, and simultaneous human oversight so that AI-derived audit evidence and risk are aligned with criteria for professional judgment and appropriately reflect contextual factors, potentially not duly considered by systems automation.

Risk Intelligence Function	Monitoring Approach	Detection Capabilities	Governance Considerations
Transaction Monitoring	Real-time analysis as data enters financial systems	Anomaly detection, segregation of duties violations, and fraud characteristic identification	Algorithmic bias mitigation, explainability requirements
Control Assessment	Continuous evaluation replacing periodic testing	Complete population analysis, control effectiveness correlation with financial accounts	Model validation protocols, human oversight preservation
Risk Prediction	Historical pattern analysis with emerging risk identification	Machine learning-based misstatement probability assessment	Transparency in decision-making processes, professional skepticism maintenance

Journal of Information Systems Engineering and Management
2025, 10(62s)
e-ISSN: 2468-4376
https://www.jisem-journal.com/ Research Article

Audit Resource Allocation	Risk-responsive targeting of high-risk areas	Predictive analytics directing substantive testing focus	Ethical standards alignment, documentation adequacy
Assurance Model Evolution	Shift from periodic to continuous monitoring	Earlier warning of control breakdowns enables proactive remediation	Regulatory acceptance, stakeholder trust preservation

Table 3. Continuous Audit and Risk Intelligence Technologies [7, 8].

Governance for Responsible AI Implementation

The integrity of AI-produced financial outcomes relies ultimately on sound governance mechanisms addressing model development lifecycles, monitoring protocols for deployments, and periodic validation processes ensuring continued relevance and suitability of algorithmic results during periods of operational use amidst changing business landscapes. Organizations need to implement comprehensive entity-level controls specifying clearly AI model ownership commitments across business groups and technology organizations, document meticulously data for model training including sources, data collection techniques, and quality checking processes, and keep precise audit trails indicating clearly how AI systems arrive at certain conclusions through described decision logic, interim processing activities, and business rules executed that allow for independent verification and validation by internal auditors, external auditors, and regulatory examiners. Responsible AI requirements stipulate that algorithms for financial reporting be thoroughly vetted for bias across several facets including demographic attributes, types of transactions, and business scenarios, deliver consistent and reproducible outcomes under similar conditions to achieve reliability and predictability of financial results, and give explanations readily intelligible to technical nonspecialists including audit committees, members of boards, regulatory examiners, and external auditors evaluating suitability of AI-produced treatments of accounting and related financial disclosures. The incorporation of internet of things technologies including blockchain architecture into auditing paradigms creates possibilities for increased automation and trust-building processes for compiling financial reports, as blockchain technologies can supply immutable audit trails, allow for real-time verification of transaction information, and allow for clear record-keeping supporting continuous assuring models amidst decreased information asymmetries between financial statement preparers and viewers of financial statements in public equities where investor faith hinges critically on integrity and trustworthiness of reported financial information [9].

Board approval is central to ensuring that AI implementation of financial activities is strategically aligned with organizational risk appetite models, embedded ethical requirements of organizational culture, and stakeholder demands for accountability and transparency, yet retaining necessary controls, division of responsibility, and independent verification processes for purposes of reliable financial statement preparation supporting investor confidence and cross-border regulatory requirements differing by jurisdiction. Management of AI systems deployed for applications in audit and financial reporting environments broadens technical aspects to organizational, ethical, and societal dimensions of shaping stakeholder trust and regulatory acceptability of AI-generated financial information contained in periodic reports and regulatory filings. Organizations deploying AI technologies for accounting purposes should set holistic policies for data governance procedures ensuring populations of trainable datasets for transaction events are representative of relevant transaction populations systematically void of bias, model validation procedures testing algorithmic accuracy across a spectrum of scenarios and edge conditions, and change management procedures recording model updates, events of retraining, and identification of performance degradation requiring corrective action or model retirement. Responsible adoption of AI requires continuous monitoring of model output for indication of concept drift where algorithmic accuracy diminishes based on shifts in business conditions, periodic exercises for calibrating AI-generated conclusions vis-

Journal of Information Systems Engineering and Management
2025, 10(62s)
e-ISSN: 2468-4376
https://www.jisem-journal.com/ **Research Article**

a-vis judgments of human experts for reconfirmation of continued suitability, and explicit communication of capability, limitations, and parameterization of boundaries between automaton and human decisions for critical accounting determinations for purposes of financial statement presentation. Setting up end-to-end internal algorithmic auditing schemes closes the accountability gap for deployments of AI systems by setting up structured procedures for testing algorithmic functionality, testing model performance by criteria specified, recording limitations and potential points of failure identified, and setting up remediation procedures when AI systems produce output inconsistent with organizational mission goals or ethical expectations, ensuring systems of automation decisions remain aligned with professional standards for financial reporting and maintain stakeholder trust depending on AI-enhanced financial information supporting economizing decisions [10].

Effective governance structures offset the tremendous efficiency advantages and analytical potential of AI technologies against the need to preserve professional judgment, ethical integrity, and stakeholder trust in integrity of financial reporting, acknowledging that AI systems are forceful tools supplementing and complementary to, not substituting for, human expertise in complex accounting judgments, ambiguous interpretation of guidance, and substance-over-form approaches to guaranteeing that financial statements reflect economically true realities accurately.

Governance Element	Control Objectives	Implementation Requirements	Accountability Mechanisms
Model Development	Ensure algorithmic accuracy and reliability	Training data provenance documentation, bias testing across multiple dimensions	Cross-functional oversight committees, designated ownership responsibilities
Deployment Monitoring	Maintain consistent performance under operational conditions	Concept drift detection, regular calibration against expert judgments	Comprehensive audit trails, change management protocols
Validation Procedures	Verify the continued appropriateness of AI outputs	Model performance assessment, edge case testing, scenario diversity evaluation	Independent verification by internal audit, external audit validation
Explainability Standards	Enable stakeholder understanding of algorithmic conclusions	Transparent decision logic documentation, intermediate processing step records	Board oversight, regulatory examiner comprehension requirements
Ethical Compliance	Align automated decision-making with professional standards	End-to-end algorithmic auditing frameworks, limitation documentation, and remediation strategies	Clear accountability structures, human involvement in critical determinations
Data Governance	Ensure representative training datasets without systematic bias	Quality assurance procedures, source verification, population representation analysis	Ongoing monitoring protocols, stakeholder communication regarding capabilities and boundaries

Table 4. Governance Framework Components for Responsible AI Implementation [9, 10].

Conclusion

The integration of artificial intelligence into the processes of financial reporting and auditing marks a paradigm shift, whereby traditional manual approaches are replaced by intelligent automation capable of processing voluminous datasets at an unprecedented speed and accuracy. Financial institutions are now equipped technologically to move on from reactive, historical cycles of reporting to proactive, real-time financial information, driving strategic executive-level decisions based on information. AI-powered systems are remarkably effective in automating routine processes like data verification, disclosure generation, and ongoing transaction monitoring, simultaneously fine-tuning complex accounting judgments based on sophisticated scenario modeling and pattern identification capabilities. Artificially assisted continuous assurance paradigms reengineer auditing processes by replacing traditional periodic sampling models with comprehensive population analysis, zeroing in on control deficiency indicators and potential misstatements before external release of financial statements. The technology optimizes resource allocation by concentrating audits on high-risk areas and eliminating wasteful testing of areas where robust control initiatives are in place. However, organizations must recognize that technological advancement per se is insufficient and must be accompanied by concurrent spending on governance models, ethical disciplines, and human oversight processes, ensuring protection of professional judgment and stakeholder confidence. Responsible AI implementation demands careful documentation of algorithmic decision pathways, careful bias testing across multiple dimensions, and periodic verification to keep model output valid despite changing business parameters. Financial professionals are called upon to evolve continually based on changing skill sets of data analytics, integration of systems, and interpretation of algorithms, simultaneously maintaining critical accounting competence and the requisite professional skepticism necessary for reliable financial reporting. The balancing act between deriving benefits of AI efficiency improvement and maintaining accountability paradigms determines whether intelligent automation enhances or undermines the integrity of financial information on which investors, creditors, and regulators depend for educated capital allocation decisions and economic analysis.

References

[1] ZIWEI YI et al., "Artificial Intelligence in Accounting and Finance: Challenges and Opportunities," IEEE Access, 2023. [Online]. Available: https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/stamp/stamp.jsp?arnumber=10319418

[2] Fekadu Agmas Wassie and László Péter Lakatos, "Artificial intelligence and the future of the internal audit function," HUMANITIES AND SOCIAL SCIENCES COMMUNICATIONS, 2024. [Online]. Available: https://www.nature.com/articles/s41599-024-02905-w.pdf

[3] Julia Pargmann et al., "Digitalisation in accounting: a systematic literature review of activities and implications for competences," SpringerOpen, 2023. [Online]. Available: https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1186/s40461-023-00141-1.pdf

[4] Joanna Gusc et al., "The Big Data, Artificial Intelligence, and Blockchain in True Cost Accounting for Energy Transition in Europe," MDPI, 2022. [Online]. Available: https://www.mdpi.com/1996-1073/15/3/1089

[5] Mahfoudh Hussein Mgammal, "The influence of artificial intelligence as a tool for future economies on accounting procedures: empirical evidence from Saudi Arabia," Discover Computing, 2024. [Online]. Available: https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1007/s10791-024-09452-7.pdf

[6] Vasile-Daniel Păvăloaia and Sabina-Cristiana Necula, "Artificial Intelligence as a Disruptive Technology—A Systematic Literature Review," MDPI, 2023. [Online]. Available: https://www.mdpi.com/2079-9292/12/5/1102

[7] AHMED AL-OMUSH et al., "ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE IN FINANCIAL AUDITING: REDEFINING ACCURACY AND TRANSPARENCY IN ASSURANCE SERVICES," EDPACS, 2025. [Online]. Available: https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Ahmed-Omush/publication/391398972_Artificial_Intelligence_in_Financial_Auditing_Redefining_Accuracy_and_Transparency_in_Assurance_Service/links/68596748b8078e0c248edb40/Artificial-Intelligence-in-Financial-Auditing-Redefining-Accuracy-and-Transparency-in-Assurance-Service.pdf

Journal of Information Systems Engineering and Management
2025, 10(62s)
e-ISSN: 2468-4376
https://www.jisem-journal.com/

Research Article

[8] Wilberforce Murikah et al., "Bias and ethics of AI systems applied in auditing - A systematic review," ScienceDirect, 2024. [Online]. Available: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2468227624002266
[9] Sean Cao et al., "Blockchain Architecture for Auditing Automation and Trust Building in Public Markets," arXiv, 2020. [Online]. Available: https://arxiv.org/pdf/2005.07627
[10] Inioluwa Deborah Raji et al., "Closing the AI Accountability Gap: Defining an End-to-End Framework for Internal Algorithmic Auditing," ACM, 2020. [Online]. Available: https://dl.acm.org/doi/pdf/10.1145/3351095.3372873