

AI-Based Carbon Emissions Monitoring for Electric Vehicles: A Technical Review

Raghavendra Kurva

Chicago State University, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Received: 23 Jan 2026

Revised: 28 Jan 2026

ABSTRACT

Electric Vehicles (EVs) have been identified as a vital part of global transport emissions reductions. Current methods of calculating an electric vehicle's (EV's) carbon footprint do not allow this data to be gathered and analyzed close to real-time. They cannot capture operational variations across vehicle lifecycles effectively. Artificial intelligence offers new possibilities for continuous emissions monitoring. Machine learning processes data from industrial sensors, vehicle systems, and recycling facilities. This integration creates dynamic carbon footprint calculations that reflect actual conditions. Real-time grid data combined with vehicle energy consumption provides accurate emission profiles. Neural networks automatically identify emission hotspots and unusual patterns. The platform supports interventions targeting manufacturing processes, charging behaviors, and maintenance. Predictive modeling techniques enable forecasts of component failure and recommend interventions to extend component life. Smart Charging shifts electricity demand to periods when the electrical grid emits lower emissions. Route optimization accounts for terrain, traffic, and weather to minimize consumption. The convergence of AI with lifecycle assessment enables evidence-based emission reduction decisions. This advancement transforms environmental monitoring and supports sustainable transportation transitions.

Keywords: Electric Vehicles, Carbon Emissions Monitoring, Artificial Intelligence, Lifecycle Assessment, Real-Time Telemetry

1. Introduction

1.1 Context and Motivation

Electric vehicles are an essential technology in reducing carbon emissions from transportation. Their lifecycle emissions can be determined based on how they were manufactured, used, and disposed of. Current methods of measuring emissions are based on snapshots of an emissions event. These approaches miss the dynamic variations in real-world operations. Battery production accounts for significant upfront carbon costs. The electricity source for charging directly affects operational emissions. End-of-life recycling processes also contribute to the total footprint [1].

1.2 Advances in Technology

Impacts AIs also changed the commercial and domestic ways in which emissions are tracked and analyzed. The emergence of AI, through technology such as Machine Learning algorithms, can monitor emissions from many data sources in near-real-time. The ability of ML algorithms to detect associations (patterns) from large datasets can identify patterns that the human brain repeatedly misses. These technologies enable continuous tracking rather than periodic assessments. The integration with lifecycle assessment methodologies creates comprehensive monitoring frameworks. Real-time data processing supports immediate decision-making for emission reduction [2].

1.3 Scope and Objectives

This review examines AI-driven platforms for monitoring EV carbon emissions. The discussion covers manufacturing, operational, and recycling phases. AI algorithms enhance traditional lifecycle assessment techniques significantly. When using a combination of telemetry data from a vehicle with data from other sources, the ability to monitor and understand real-time emissions is unprecedented. AI-based optimization strategies allow for the development of targeted approaches to address specific emissions issues. The content synthesizes current capabilities and future directions.

1.4 Structure

This article contains five main sections with detailed subsections. The background section establishes lifecycle assessment fundamentals. The framework section describes AI system architecture and components. The optimization section covers telemetry integration and reduction strategies. The final section addresses implementation challenges and future developments. Each section builds upon previous content to create comprehensive understanding.

2. Background and Life Cycle Assessment Fundamentals

2.1 Lifecycle Assessment Framework

Lifecycle assessment evaluates environmental impacts across all product stages. The methodology begins with raw material extraction and ends with disposal. Manufacturing phase emissions include material processing and assembly operations. The transportation of components between facilities adds additional carbon costs. On the other hand, manufacturing electric vehicle batteries requires an extensive amount of energy and thus a large environmental footprint when mining for lithium, cobalt, and nickel. Battery cell manufacturing involves high-temperature processing and chemical treatments [3].

2.2 Manufacturing Phase Considerations

Battery production dominates manufacturing phase emissions for electric vehicles. Cathode and anode material production requires substantial energy inputs. Manufacturing facilities in different regions show varying emission intensities. Grid carbon intensity at production locations significantly affects the total footprint. In Asia, most manufacturing operations are based on coal-based energy, while in Europe, there is a growing trend towards being powered by renewable energy resources. Vehicle assembly operations contribute additional but relatively smaller emissions. Material choices for vehicle bodies and components affect manufacturing processes [3].

2.3 Operational Phase Dynamics

The operational phase presents unique monitoring challenges for electric vehicles. One of the reasons for this gap is that driving behavior and patterns vary greatly by driver and by geographic region, but it is also because of charging behavior's significant effects on both the environmental/regional impact of EVs and EV battery life. Ambient temperature and heating requirements both affect battery efficiency. Cold climates lead to an increase in energy consumption for cabin heating. Batteries made to be used in hot climates (hot weather) require a thermal management system that can restrict battery deterioration. Regenerative Braking is another method to extend the battery's life by harvesting energy back to the input (battery) during deceleration and driving downhill. Aggressive acceleration patterns increase instantaneous energy consumption substantially [4].

2.4 End-of-Life Processing

Battery recycling methods continue to develop for environmental benefit. Hydrometallurgical Process is another method for battery recycling; this process works by dissolving battery materials in liquid, which allows for the extraction of base metals. Pyrometallurgical methods use high temperatures to

extract valuable metals. Direct recycling aims to maintain the structure of the cathode material. Each approach has different energy requirements and recovery rates. Transportation from collection points to recycling facilities adds carbon costs. Current recycling rates remain below optimal levels globally. Infrastructure development for collection and processing requires continued investment [4]. Table 1 summarizes the key characteristics and emission sources across different lifecycle phases of electric vehicles, highlighting the dominant factors influencing carbon footprint in each stage.

Lifecycle Phase	Primary Emission Sources	Key Influencing Factors
Manufacturing	Battery production and assembly operations	Grid carbon intensity at production location
Material Extraction	Lithium, cobalt, and nickel mining	Geographic location of extraction sites
Operational Use	Electricity consumption during charging	Driving patterns and ambient temperature
End-of-Life	Recycling and material recovery processes	Transportation to recycling facilities
Component Replacement	Manufacturing of replacement parts	Maintenance frequency and component lifespan

Table 1: Lifecycle Assessment Phases and Carbon Emission Characteristics [3, 4]

3. AI-Driven Monitoring Framework Architecture

3.1 System Integration Components

AI-based monitoring platforms integrate diverse data sources into unified systems. Cloud infrastructure provides scalability for handling large data volumes. Data preprocessing modules standardize inputs from different sources and formats. Manufacturing facilities contribute energy consumption and production data. Vehicle telematics systems transmit operational parameters continuously. Recycling operations provide material flow and energy usage information. Integration layers harmonize temporal and spatial data resolutions. APIs enable communication between disparate systems and databases [5].

3.2 Neural Network Applications

Deep learning architectures excel at identifying patterns in complex emission datasets. Convolutional neural networks process spatial patterns in manufacturing layouts. These networks identify inefficient equipment or process configurations. Recurrent neural networks handle time-series data from vehicle operations. LSTM networks capture long-term dependencies in driving patterns. Autoencoders detect anomalies in sensor readings that indicate problems. Generative adversarial networks can simulate scenarios for optimization testing. Transfer learning adapts models trained on one vehicle type to others [6].

3.3 Data Collection Mechanisms

Manufacturing phase monitoring relies on industrial IoT sensor networks. Energy meters track electricity consumption at facility and equipment levels. Process control systems provide temperature, pressure, and flow rate data. Vehicle operations generate rich telemetry through onboard diagnostic ports. GPS satellites collect the information and other data to allow for contextual analysis of the GPS Receiver with respect to other parameters. The battery management system (BMS) provides data about state of charge, temperature, and health. Charging station networks contribute power delivery and timing information. Recycling facilities use scales, scanners, and process monitors [5].

3.4 Platform Components

The architecture includes specialized modules for different analytical tasks. Time-series databases optimize storage and retrieval of sequential data. Column-oriented databases handle highdimensional manufacturing data efficiently. Stream processing engines perform real-time calculations on incoming telemetry. Batch processing systems handle periodic lifecycle assessment updates. Visualization modules create dashboards and reports for stakeholders. Machine learning pipelines automate model training and deployment cycles. API gateways manage external system interactions and data exchanges [6]. Table 2 outlines the principal artificial intelligence components used in carbon emissions monitoring systems, detailing their specific functions and application areas within the monitoring framework.

AI Component	Primary Function	Application Area
Convolutional Neural Networks	Pattern recognition in spatial data	Manufacturing process optimization
Recurrent Neural Networks	Time-series data processing	Vehicle operational analysis
LSTM Networks	Long-term dependency capture	Driving pattern prediction
Autoencoders	Anomaly detection in sensor data	Equipment malfunction identification
Random Forests	Non-linear relationship modeling	Energy consumption estimation

Table 2: AI System Components and Their Functions in Carbon Monitoring [5, 6]

4. Real-Time Telemetry Integration and Optimization Strategies

4.1 Vehicle Telemetry Systems

Modern electric vehicles generate continuous streams of operational data. Parameters include instantaneous power consumption, speed, and acceleration. A BMS continuously monitors the battery state of charge, voltage, and temperature. Motor torque, motor RPM, and motor efficiencies provide a view of the overall efficiency of the EV's drivetrain. HVAC system operation affects energy consumption significantly. Regenerative braking events and energy recovery are tracked precisely. Geographic location enables context-aware analysis of terrain and traffic. Cellular or satellite connections transmit data to cloud platforms. Edge computing in vehicles can preprocess data before transmission [7].

4.2 AI Algorithm Applications

Regression models estimate carbon emissions based on multiple input parameters. Random forests handle non-linear relationships between driving factors and consumption. Gradient boosting machines achieve high prediction accuracy for complex scenarios. Classification algorithms categorize driving behaviors into efficiency profiles. K-means clustering groups similar usage patterns across vehicle populations. Using reinforcement learning allows for optimized charging behavior through experimentation. Time-series forecasting helps to project future demand based on historical usage patterns. Ensemble methods combine multiple algorithms for robust predictions [8].

4.3 Grid Carbon Intensity Integration

The carbon intensity of the grid is a function of the mix of generation sources being used at a given time. The carbon emission factors of both coal- and natural gas-fired plants are high. Conversely, both nuclear and renewable energy sources such as hydroelectricity, solar, and wind produce minimal emissions. The variation in the carbon intensity of the grid throughout the day is due to the change in the generation

portfolio, and there also exists seasonal variability for both the availability and demand of renewable energy sources. Regional grids show dramatically different carbon intensities. Real-time APIs from grid operators provide current generation data. Forecasting models predict near-term grid carbon intensity. Marginal emission factors account for load-following generation resources [7].

4.4 Charging Optimization

Smart charging algorithms minimize emissions by timing charge events strategically. Historical grid data reveals patterns in carbon intensity throughout days. Weather forecasts predict solar and wind generation availability. Vehicle departure times constrain the available charging window. Battery thermal management requirements affect charging rate flexibility. Time-of-use electricity pricing often aligns with carbon intensity patterns. Demand response programs compensate vehicles for charging flexibility. Vehicle-to-grid capabilities enable bidirectional power flow for grid services [8].

4.5 Predictive Maintenance Strategies

Machine learning models forecast component degradation from usage patterns. Battery capacity fade predictions enable proactive health management. Tire wear models recommend rotation and replacement timing. Brake system monitoring optimizes pad and rotor replacement intervals. Coolant system health affects battery thermal management efficiency. Suspension component condition influences energy consumption through rolling resistance. Predictive maintenance reduces emergency failures requiring expedited parts manufacturing. Extended component lifespans decrease the frequency of replacement production [7].

4.6 Route Optimization

Route planning algorithms minimize energy consumption for specific journeys. Elevation profiles significantly affect electric vehicle energy requirements. Traffic conditions influence acceleration patterns and idle time. Weather conditions affect aerodynamic drag and climate control needs. Historical data reveals energy consumption on specific road segments. Real-time traffic APIs enable dynamic rerouting for efficiency. Navigation systems integrate energy optimization with time minimization. Fleet management platforms optimize routing across multiple vehicles simultaneously [8]. Table 3 presents various optimization strategies enabled by AI-driven monitoring systems, describing their operational mechanisms and the primary methods through which they achieve carbon emission reductions.

Optimization Strategy	Operational Mechanism	Emission Reduction Method
Smart Charging	Time-based charge scheduling	Aligning charging with low-carbon grid periods
Route Optimization	Energy-efficient path selection	Minimizing consumption through terrain analysis
Predictive Maintenance	Component degradation forecasting	Extending component lifespan
Vehicle-to-Grid	Bidirectional power flow management	Supporting renewable energy integration
Driver Behavior Analysis	Real-time feedback systems	Encouraging efficient driving patterns

Table 3: Optimization Strategies and Carbon Reduction Mechanisms [7, 8]

5. Implementation Challenges and Future Directions

5.1 Data Standardization Issues

Telematics (e.g., telematics devices) are installed within vehicles to capture real-time vehicle data using unique formats and protocols developed by each manufacturer. Proprietary telematics systems (sometimes referred to as "software") create challenges for manufacturers to deploy their technology on multiple vehicle platforms and may limit the ability of manufacturers to work together. Regional differences in grid reporting complicate emissions calculations. Manufacturing facilities use diverse monitoring and reporting systems. Recycling operations lack standardized data collection procedures. Industry consortia work toward common data exchange standards. Open-source initiatives provide frameworks for data harmonization. Regulatory requirements increasingly mandate standardized reporting formats [9].

5.2 Privacy and Security Concerns

Personal tracking of vehicles may present serious privacy issues, as location can provide insight into customer behaviors. Government regulation in some countries, such as the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR), requires strict measures for individual data protection. The need to anonymize location data provides a solution to fulfill monitoring requirements without exposing the privacy of the individuals being monitored. The concept of "differential privacy" produces noise that maintains statistical significance while masking the underlying dataset. Collaborative data analysis can be performed by utilizing secure multiparty computation and secure blockchain technologies for a nonaltering audit trail. Cybersecurity threats require robust monitoring infrastructure protection [10].

5.3 Computational Requirements

Cloud-based solutions allow for high-frequency data to be processed in real-time, as they typically require large amounts of computing power or resources. Cloud services also provide additional benefits such as increased current computing power capacity; however, they come with introduced latency and dependability deriving from an Internet connection. Edge computing architectures process data locally within vehicles. Hybrid approaches balance local and cloud processing based on requirements. Model compression techniques reduce computational demands for embedded systems. Quantization and pruning maintain accuracy while decreasing model size. Specialized hardware accelerators improve inference speed for neural networks. Energy efficiency of computing infrastructure affects net emission reductions [9].

5.4 Advanced Data Integration

Future systems will incorporate supply chain tracking for component provenance. Blockchain ledgers can verify the carbon footprint of materials. Internet of Things sensors throughout supply chains provide granular visibility. Digital twins create virtual representations of vehicles and manufacturing processes. Simulation enables testing of optimization strategies before implementation. Integration with building management systems optimizes charging infrastructure. Smart city platforms coordinate EV charging with renewable energy availability. Cross-sector data sharing enhances optimization across transportation and energy systems [10].

5.5 Edge Computing Advances

Vehicle processors continue increasing in capability and energy efficiency. Automotive-grade AI accelerators enable sophisticated onboard analysis. Federated learning trains models across vehicles without centralizing data. Local processing reduces dependency on continuous network connectivity. Real-time driver feedback requires low-latency edge inference. Privacy-preserving edge analytics address data protection concerns. Over-the-air updates enable continuous improvement of edge models. 5G networks will enhance edge-to-cloud coordination capabilities [9].

5.6 Grid Evolution Considerations

Renewable energy penetration transforms the carbon intensity patterns of the grid. Variable generation from solar and wind creates new optimization opportunities. The use of energy storage systems allows for the smoothing effect of renewable energy sources' variability and decreased carbon emissions. Distributed generation complicates traditional grid monitoring approaches. Microgrids and virtual power plants enable localized optimization. Transactive energy systems create markets for flexible charging. Vehicle-to-grid aggregation provides grid stabilization services. Increased electrification of transportation requires grid capacity expansion [10]. Table 4 identifies major implementation challenges facing AI-based carbon monitoring systems and outlines the technological approaches being developed to address these obstacles.

Implementation Challenge	Impact on System Performance	Mitigation Approach
Data Standardization	Limited interoperability between platforms	Industry consortium standards development
Privacy Concerns	Restricted granularity of tracking	Differential privacy and anonymization
Computational Demands	High resource requirements for processing	Edge computing and model compression
Network Connectivity	Dependency on continuous communication	Hybrid edge-cloud architectures
Security Threats	Vulnerability to cyberattacks	Blockchain-based tamper-proof systems

Table 4: Implementation Challenges and Mitigation Approaches [9, 10]

Conclusion

AI-driven carbon emissions monitoring transforms electric vehicle environmental assessment capabilities across all lifecycle stages. Combining Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Global Environmental Assessment (GEM) methods makes it easier and faster to find emissions during the lifecycle assessment of electric vehicles. Real-time telematics enables manufacturers to observe their operational emission patterns continuously throughout the electric vehicle supply chain, versus traditional processes to assess emissions only after they are produced or emitted. Current implementations demonstrate significant potential for emission reduction through targeted optimization interventions. Smart charging recommendations shift energy consumption away from periods of time when energy production is most damaging to the environment. The development of smart maintenance solutions extends the useful life of components, thereby reducing emissions associated with manufacturing new components. Route optimization options consider terrain, traffic, and weather conditions to reduce energy use as much as possible. However, several challenges require ongoing attention from the technical community. Data standardization across manufacturers and regions remains incomplete despite industry efforts. Privacy concerns continue to limit the granularity of individual vehicle tracking in certain jurisdictions. Real-time processing requires careful consideration of how to optimally architect the future use of edge and cloud computing resources. Blockchain technology will be utilized in the future to provide greater confidence in the accuracy and security of data in a multi-stakeholder

environment. Future advancements in edge computing will provide more advanced capabilities for onboard analysis due to their ability to function without constant connectivity to the internet.

The increase in renewable energy and vehicle-to-grid opportunities will continue to provide new opportunities for optimization. Increased R&D will improve the efficiency and accuracy of prediction across many different operating conditions. Combining AI and environmental monitoring tools fundamentally changes the way in which transportation systems track and manage transportation-related carbon emissions.

References

1. Xiaoning Xia and Pengwei Li, "A review of the life cycle assessment of electric vehicles: Considering the influence of batteries," *Science of The Total Environment*, 2022. [Online]. Available: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0048969721079493>
2. Dunn, Jennifer B et al., "Material and Energy Flows in the Production of Cathode and Anode Materials for Lithium Ion Batteries," Argonne National Laboratory, 2014. [Online]. Available: <https://scispace.com/pdf/material-and-energy-flows-in-the-production-of-cathode-and25uzw31lsx.pdf>
3. Paul Wolfram and Nic Lutsey, "Electric Vehicles: Literature review of technology costs and carbon emissions," International Council on Clean Transportation, 2016. [Online]. Available: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/305492926_Electric_vehicles_Literature_review_of_technology_costs_and_carbon_emissions
4. Gavin Harper, et al., "Recycling lithium-ion batteries from electric vehicles," *Nature*, 2019. [Online]. Available: <https://www.nature.com/articles/s41586-019-1682-5>
5. Md. Abdur Rahman, et al., "B5G and Explainable Deep Learning Assisted Healthcare Vertical at the Edge: COVID-I9 Perspective," *IEEE Network*, 2020. [Online]. Available: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9136600>
6. Letian Gao, et al., "Multi-sensor Fusion Road Friction Coefficient Estimation During Steering with Lyapunov Method," *Sensors*, 2019. [Online]. Available: <https://www.mdpi.com/14248220/19/18/3816>
7. Yuqian Lu, et al., "Smart manufacturing process and system automation—A critical review of the standards and envisioned scenarios," *Journal of Manufacturing Systems*, 2020. [Online]. Available: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S027861252030100X>
8. S. Sofana Reka and Tomislav Dragicevic, "Future effectual role of energy delivery: A comprehensive review of Internet of Things and smart grid," *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 2018. [Online]. Available: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S1364032118301837>
9. Salman Habib, et al., "A Comprehensive Study of Implemented International Standards, Technical Challenges, Impacts and Prospects for Electric Vehicles," *IEEE Xplore*, 2018. [Online]. Available: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8307044>
10. Abbas Yazdinejad, et al., "Enabling Drones in the Internet of Things With Decentralized Blockchain-Based Security," *IEEE Xplore*, 2020. [Online]. Available: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9163144>