

The Relationship between Transformational Leadership and Employee Performance and the Mediating Role of Intrinsic Motivation: A Literature Review

¹Tammy Williams, ²Keziah Huggins, ³Jennifer Ramdhan, ⁴Allison Margaret Lewis, ⁵Imran Hosein

¹The University of the West Indies, Trinidad and Tobago

²The University of the West Indies, Trinidad and Tobago

³School of Practical Accounting (S. P. A.) Education Ltd., Trinidad and Tobago

⁴Institute Brittany d' Enseignement Supérieur

⁵The University of the West Indies, Trinidad and Tobago

ARTICLE INFO

Received: 12 March 2026

Revised: 05 April 2026

Accepted: 28 April 2026

ABSTRACT

Leadership remains a central determinant of employee motivation and performance in contemporary organizations. Among the various leadership approaches, transformational leadership has gained considerable attention for its ability to inspire employees, foster commitment, and enhance organizational outcomes. This article presents a comprehensive literature review examining the relationship between transformational leadership and employee performance, with a particular focus on the mediating role of intrinsic motivation. Drawing upon the principles of Albert Bandura's Social Cognitive Theory, the study synthesizes theoretical and empirical research to explain how leadership behaviors influence employee motivation and performance outcomes. Existing literature indicates that transformational leadership positively influences employee performance by encouraging intellectual stimulation, individualized consideration, inspirational motivation, and idealized influence. Furthermore, intrinsic motivation emerges as a key psychological mechanism through which transformational leaders influence employee attitudes and behaviors. Employees who experience higher levels of intrinsic motivation tend to demonstrate greater engagement, persistence, and commitment, which ultimately improve performance outcomes. The review highlights that intrinsic motivation is influenced by the fulfilment of psychological needs such as autonomy, competence, and relatedness, as described in Self Determination Theory. By examining the relationships among transformational leadership, intrinsic motivation, and employee performance, this article proposes a conceptual framework and research hypotheses that contribute to a deeper understanding of leadership effectiveness in organizational settings. The findings provide insights for scholars and practitioners seeking to enhance employee performance through motivational and leadership strategies.

Keywords: transformational leadership, intrinsic motivation, employee performance, social cognitive theory, organizational behaviour, leadership effectiveness

Introduction

Leadership plays a pivotal role in shaping employee attitudes, motivation, and performance, particularly as organizations navigate complex and rapidly changing environments. Among the various leadership approaches

examined in organizational research, transformational leadership has emerged as one of the most influential styles due to its ability to inspire employees and foster long term organizational commitment.

Transformational leadership refers to a leadership style in which leaders motivate followers to transcend their personal interests for the benefit of the organization while simultaneously supporting their personal development and growth (Bass, 1985). This leadership approach emphasizes the development of strong relationships between leaders and followers and encourages employees to pursue higher levels of achievement and performance.

The relationship between leadership and employee performance has been extensively explored in management and organizational behaviour literature. Numerous studies indicate that transformational leadership has a positive impact on employee performance by encouraging motivation, creativity, and commitment (Bass and Riggio, 2006; Yukl, 2010). However, researchers have increasingly recognized that leadership behaviors influence employee performance through psychological processes that shape employee attitudes and motivations.

A critical psychological mechanism through which leadership influences performance is intrinsic motivation, which reflects an individual's internal drive to engage in tasks for inherent satisfaction. Intrinsic motivation refers to the internal drive that encourages individuals to engage in activities because they find them personally meaningful or enjoyable rather than because of external rewards (Deci and Ryan, 1985). Employees who are intrinsically motivated tend to demonstrate higher levels of engagement, persistence, and creativity in their work tasks.

Drawing upon the theoretical insights of Albert Bandura's Social Cognitive Theory, leadership behaviors can influence employee motivation and performance through social learning processes and the development of self-efficacy beliefs. When leaders provide support, encouragement, and opportunities for development, employees are more likely to develop confidence in their abilities and become more motivated to perform effectively.

This literature review therefore examines the relationship between transformational leadership and employee performance while emphasizing the mediating role of intrinsic motivation. The review synthesizes theoretical and empirical evidence from prior studies to develop a conceptual framework that explains how leadership behaviors influence employee outcomes.

Theoretical Background

Social Cognitive Theory

Social Cognitive Theory offers a robust framework for understanding how leadership behaviors influence employee motivation and performance through reciprocal interactions among cognitive, behavioural, and environmental factors. The theory, developed by Albert Bandura, emphasizes the dynamic interaction between personal factors, behaviour, and environmental influences in shaping human actions (Bandura, 1986).

According to Social Cognitive Theory, individuals learn behaviors by observing and imitating others within their social environments. This process, known as observational learning, suggests that leaders play a significant role in shaping employee behaviour by modelling attitudes, values, and work practices that followers may adopt.

A central concept within Social Cognitive Theory is self-efficacy, which refers to an individual's belief in their ability to successfully perform specific tasks. Employees with higher levels of self-efficacy are more likely to approach challenging tasks with confidence, persist in the face of difficulties, and ultimately achieve higher performance outcomes. Leaders who provide encouragement, training opportunities, and constructive feedback can significantly strengthen employees' self-efficacy and motivation.

In organizational settings, transformational leaders often influence employee motivation by creating supportive environments that encourage learning, collaboration, and professional growth. By fostering positive expectations and providing opportunities for skill development, leaders can enhance employees' confidence and engagement in their work tasks.

Transformational Leadership

Transformational leadership has been extensively examined in organizational research due to its significant influence on employee motivation, engagement, and performance outcomes. The concept was initially introduced by James MacGregor Burns and later expanded by Bernard M. Bass, who described transformational leadership as

a process through which leaders inspire followers to achieve extraordinary outcomes while simultaneously developing their leadership potential (Bass, 1985).

Transformational leadership is characterized by four primary dimensions: idealized influence, inspirational motivation, intellectual stimulation, and individualized consideration (Bass and Avolio, 1994). Idealized influence refers to leaders acting as role models who demonstrate high ethical standards and inspire trust among followers. Inspirational motivation involves communicating a compelling vision that encourages employees to pursue shared organizational goals. Intellectual stimulation encourages employees to think creatively and challenge conventional assumptions. Individualized consideration involves recognizing the unique needs and aspirations of employees and providing personalized support.

Research indicates that transformational leaders play a crucial role in enhancing employee performance by fostering strong relationships with employees and encouraging them to exceed performance expectations (Bass and Riggio, 2006). Employees who perceive their leaders as supportive and inspirational are more likely to demonstrate higher levels of engagement, commitment, and productivity.

Based on the evidence presented in the literature, the following hypothesis is proposed:

H1: There is a positive relationship between transformational leadership and employee performance.

Intrinsic Motivation

Intrinsic motivation constitutes a key psychological construct that significantly influences employee attitudes, engagement, and overall performance. The concept of intrinsic motivation was extensively developed by Edward L. Deci and Richard M. Ryan through Self Determination Theory, which emphasizes the role of internal psychological needs in motivating human behaviour (Deci and Ryan, 1985).

Intrinsic motivation refers to engaging in activities for their inherent satisfaction rather than for external rewards or pressures. Employees who are intrinsically motivated perform tasks because they find them interesting, enjoyable, or personally meaningful. Such motivation often leads to higher levels of persistence, creativity, and job satisfaction.

Self Determination Theory identifies three fundamental psychological needs that influence intrinsic motivation: autonomy, competence, and relatedness. Autonomy refers to the perception that individuals have control over their actions and decisions. Competence involves the sense of mastery and effectiveness individuals experience when performing tasks successfully. Relatedness refers to the sense of connection individuals feel with others in their work environment.

Transformational leaders often promote these psychological needs by empowering employees, encouraging creativity, and fostering supportive relationships within teams. As a result, employees are more likely to experience higher levels of intrinsic motivation.

Based on these arguments, the following hypothesis is proposed:

H2: There is a positive relationship between transformational leadership and intrinsic motivation.

Intrinsic Motivation and Employee Performance

Employee performance can be defined as the degree to which individuals effectively execute tasks and responsibilities that contribute to organizational objectives. High levels of employee performance are associated with increased productivity, improved service quality, and enhanced organizational competitiveness.

Research indicates that intrinsic motivation plays a significant role in influencing employee performance outcomes. Employees who are intrinsically motivated tend to invest greater effort in their work tasks and demonstrate stronger commitment to achieving organizational goals (Ryan and Deci, 2008). Intrinsic motivation also encourages employees to approach their work with curiosity and creativity, which can lead to innovative problem solving and improved performance.

Empirical studies have consistently found positive relationships between intrinsic motivation and various performance outcomes, including job satisfaction, creativity, and task performance (Gagné and Deci, 2005). Employees who feel internally motivated often demonstrate higher levels of persistence and dedication, enabling them to maintain performance even in challenging situations.

Based on these findings, the following hypothesis is proposed:

H3: There is a positive relationship between intrinsic motivation and employee performance.

Transformational Leadership, Intrinsic Motivation, and Employee Performance

The relationship between transformational leadership and employee performance can be better understood by examining the mediating role of intrinsic motivation. Transformational leaders create supportive work environments that encourage employees to develop new skills, pursue meaningful goals, and contribute actively to organizational success.

By providing intellectual stimulation, inspirational communication, and individualized support, transformational leaders help employees experience greater autonomy and competence in their work tasks. These experiences contribute to higher levels of intrinsic motivation, which in turn enhances employee performance.

Several studies suggest that intrinsic motivation serves as an important mediating mechanism linking leadership behaviors to employee performance outcomes. When employees feel motivated by internal satisfaction rather than external rewards alone, they are more likely to demonstrate sustained engagement and productivity in their work.

Therefore, the following hypothesis is proposed:

H4: Intrinsic motivation mediates the relationship between transformational leadership and employee performance.

Conclusion

This study synthesizes existing literature on transformational leadership, intrinsic motivation, and employee performance to develop a comprehensive understanding of the relationships among these constructs. Drawing on Social Cognitive Theory and Self Determination Theory, the review highlights how leadership behaviors influence employee motivation and performance through psychological mechanisms.

The literature consistently demonstrates that transformational leadership positively influences employee performance by encouraging engagement, creativity, and commitment. Intrinsic motivation plays a critical role in this process by serving as a psychological pathway through which leadership behaviors affect employee attitudes and behaviors.

Understanding the interaction between leadership styles and employee motivation provides valuable insights for organizations seeking to improve performance outcomes. Leaders who foster supportive work environments that encourage autonomy, competence, and meaningful engagement are more likely to cultivate highly motivated employees who contribute to long term organizational success.

Future research may further examine contextual factors such as organizational culture, trust, and emotional intelligence to better understand how leadership practices influence employee motivation and performance across different organizational settings.

References

- [1] Abu Bakar, R., & McCann, R. M. (2015). An examination of leader–member dyadic politeness of exchange and servant leadership on group member performance. *International Journal of Business Communication*, 52(2), 144–163.
- [2] Akinsola, O., Nwogu, G., & Ibrahim, Y. (2023). Motivation and employee performance in contemporary organizations. *Journal of Organizational Studies*, 45(3), 211–226.
- [3] Ali, A., Bin, L. Z., Piang, H. J., & Ali, Z. (2018). The impact of motivation on employee performance in the public sector. *International Journal of Business and Management*, 13(2), 67–74.
- [4] Al-Baidhani, A., & Alsaqqaf, A. (2023). Transformational leadership and employee engagement: The mediating role of motivation. *Journal of Leadership Studies*, 17(1), 45–60.
- [5] Bandura, A. (1986). *Social foundations of thought and action: A social cognitive theory*. Prentice Hall.
- [6] Bandura, A., & Walters, R. (1959). *Adolescent aggression: A study of the influence of child-training practices and family interrelationships*. Ronald Press.
- [7] Bass, B. M. (1985). *Leadership and performance beyond expectations*. Free Press.
- [8] Bass, B. M. (1997). Does the transactional–transformational leadership paradigm transcend organizational and national boundaries? *American Psychologist*, 52(2), 130–139.

- [9] Bass, B. M., & Avolio, B. J. (1994). *Improving organizational effectiveness through transformational leadership*. Sage Publications.
- [10] Bass, B. M., & Riggio, R. E. (2006). *Transformational leadership* (2nd ed.). Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- [11] Beauchamp, M. R., & Eys, M. A. (2007). *Group dynamics in exercise and sport psychology*. Routledge.
- [12] Bidee, J., Vantilborgh, T., Pepermans, R., Willems, J., Huybrechts, G., & Jegers, M. (2016). Autonomous motivation stimulates volunteers' work effort: A self-determination theory approach. *Motivation and Emotion*, 40(1), 79–89.
- [13] Bowling, N. A., Eschleman, K. J., Wang, Q., Kirkendall, C., & Alarcon, G. (2010). A meta-analysis of the predictors and consequences of job satisfaction. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 77(2), 263–276.
- [14] Burns, J. M. (1978). *Leadership*. Harper & Row.
- [15] Chang, Y., Nguyen, B., Cheng, K., Kuo, C., & Lee, I. (2020). HR practice, organizational commitment and citizenship behavior: A study of primary school teachers in Taiwan. *Employee Relations*, 38(6), 907–926.
- [16] Chen, Y., Ning, R., Yang, T., Feng, S., & Yang, C. (2018). Is transformational leadership always good for employee task performance? Examining curvilinear and moderated relationships. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 9, 1–13.
- [17] Cheng, B., Li, Y., & Cao, Z. (2023). Transformational leadership and employee creativity: The role of intrinsic motivation. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 44(2), 212–228.
- [18] Cho, Y. J., & Perry, J. L. (2012). Intrinsic motivation and employee attitudes: The role of managerial trustworthiness, goal directedness, and extrinsic reward expectancy. *Review of Public Personnel Administration*, 32(4), 382–406.
- [19] Clarke, S., Probst, T., Guldenmund, F., & Passmore, J. (2015). *The Wiley Blackwell handbook of the psychology of occupational safety and workplace health*. Wiley.
- [20] Conchie, S. (2013). Transformational leadership, intrinsic motivation, and trust. *Leadership and Organization Development Journal*, 34(3), 231–248.
- [21] Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (1985). *Intrinsic motivation and self-determination in human behavior*. Springer.
- [22] Dvir, T., Eden, D., Avolio, B., & Shamir, B. (2002). Impact of transformational leadership on follower development and performance. *Academy of Management Journal*, 45(4), 735–744.
- [23] Gagné, M., & Deci, E. L. (2005). Self determination theory and work motivation. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 26(4), 331–362.
- [24] Gillet, N., Fouquereau, E., Forest, J., Brunault, P., & Colombat, P. (2013). The impact of organizational factors on psychological needs and their relations with well-being. *Journal of Business Psychology*, 28(4), 437–450.
- [25] Görgens-Ekermans, G., & Roux, C. (2021). Revisiting the emotional intelligence and transformational leadership link. *Leadership and Organization Development Journal*, 42(2), 291–306.
- [26] Hasibuan, M. (2005). *Manajemen sumber daya manusia*. Bumi Aksara.
- [27] Handoko, T. H. (2008). *Manajemen personalia dan sumber daya manusia*. BPFE.
- [28] Khan, N., Khan, A., & Khan, S. (2023). Transformational leadership and employee motivation: The role of organizational culture. *Journal of Management Development*, 42(5), 389–403.
- [29] Lousã, E., & Mónico, L. (2018). Transformational leadership and group performance. *Social Sciences*, 7(4), 68.
- [30] Manzoor, F., Wei, L., & Asif, M. (2021). Intrinsic motivation and employee creativity: The mediating role of knowledge sharing. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12, 1–12.
- [31] Mehmet, A. (2021). Leadership behavior and employee engagement in modern organizations. *International Journal of Leadership Studies*, 16(2), 98–113.
- [32] Pingel, R., & Fay, D. (2024). Intrinsic motivation and performance outcomes in contemporary organizations. *Journal of Organizational Psychology*, 24(1), 55–72.
- [33] Priyono, S., Marnis, M., & Idris, S. (2018). The effect of motivation on employee performance. *International Journal of Economics and Business Administration*, 6(3), 134–145.
- [34] Rivera, M. (2022). Leadership styles and employee well-being in modern organizations. *Human Resource Management Review*, 32(3), 100–114.
- [35] Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2008). Self determination theory and the role of intrinsic motivation in human behavior. *Canadian Psychology*, 49(3), 182–185.
- [36] Spreitzer, G., Sutcliffe, K., Dutton, J., Sonenshein, S., & Grant, A. (2005). A socially embedded model of thriving at work. *Organization Science*, 16(5), 537–549.
- [37] Van der Kolk, B., van Veen-Dirks, P., & ter Bogt, H. (2019). The impact of management control on employee motivation. *Management Accounting Research*, 45, 1–15.
- [38] Yahaya, R., & Ebrahim, F. (2016). Leadership styles and organizational commitment. *Journal of Management Development*, 35(2), 190–216.
- [39] Yukl, G. (2010). *Leadership in organizations* (7th ed.). Pearson Education.
- [40] Zlate, M., & Cucui, G. (2015). Motivation and performance in higher education institutions. *Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 180, 468–476.