Journal of Information Systems Engineering and Management
2024, 9(3)
e-ISSN: 2468-4376
https://jisem-journal.com/

Research Article

Responsible Intelligence: Building Trustworthy AI for Research Through Ethical Data Governance

Kishori R Gaikwad[1], Dr. Irfan Siddiqui[2]

[1]*Research Student, Department of Management - Allana Institute of Management Sciences, Pune, India*
Savitribai Phule Pune University
[2]*Professor & Head, Department of Management Studies,*
Smt. Kashibai Navale College of Engineering
Email:hodmba.skncoe@sinhgad.edu, rfansiddi@gmail.com
Savitribai Phule Pune University

ARTICLE INFO

Received: 20 July 2024

Revised: 25 Aug 2024

Accepted: 15 Sep 2024

ABSTRACT

This paper addresses the growing need for trustworthy artificial intelligence (AI) in research by integrating ethical AI principles with robust data governance practices. It introduces the Responsible Intelligence (RI) framework, which unifies key ethical AI factors—such as fairness, transparency, accountability, safety, and inclusivity—with critical data governance elements, including consent, privacy, data quality, equity, and responsible sharing. The framework spans the entire AI lifecycle, from data collection and processing to model development and deployment, offering a structured approach to ensure responsible AI use. By embedding these dual pillars, the RI framework fosters alignment with societal values and legal standards. It encourages interdisciplinary collaboration and institutional commitment, promoting systems that are not only efficient and innovative but also ethically grounded, reliable, and aligned with public trust in scientific research.

Keywords: How can the integration of ethical data governance frameworks enhance the trustworthiness of AI systems in Research?.

1. Introduction

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has become an essential tool in present-day research. AI has transformed domains ranging from biomedical to social sciences. In biomedical research, AI fast-tracks drug discovery, improves analytical accuracy, and contributes to the development of personalized medicines (Herrera-Poyatos et al., 2025). In the social sciences, AI helps to conduct the analysis of complex behavioural patterns. AI can also help to study societal shifts and human interactions at a scale that was impossible in previous days (Díaz-Rodríguez et al., 2023).

The increased reliance on AI brings a highly demanding need to address ethical concerns surrounding AI implementations. This has given rise to the notion of Responsible Intelligence, a paradigm that looks at AI as a tool to achieve high efficiency while integrating ethical, transparent, fair, and trustworthy behaviours (Díaz-Rodríguez et al., 2023). It reflects a shift in perspective: from AI as a purely technical challenge to AI as a socio-technical system that affects lives, decisions, and policies.

This paradigm shift must begin by keeping Ethical Data Governance at the core. Ethical data governance means the structured management of data for achieving alignment with the ethical principles. Those principles are respect privacy, ensure accountability, mitigate bias, and align with societal values (Batool et al., 2023). Data management practices without proper data governance frameworks can have numerous negative impacts.. Examples are

Copyright © 2024 by Author/s and Licensed by JISEM. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License which permitsunrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

discriminatory outcomes generated by AI algorithms and social inequities reinforced by AI solutions (Herrera-Poyatos et al., 2025).

The challenges are particularly significant in research. For example, AI-driven decisions can impact clinical treatments or how public policies are formed or navigate specific academic discourse. Research institutions and AI developers alike must grapple with data ownership, informed consent, fairness in algorithmic decisions, and the explainability of AI outputs (Díaz-Rodríguez et al., 2023). As Batool et al. (2023) emphasize, the absence of ethical checks during data lifecycle stages, from collection to usage, can lead to the systems that are not only untrustworthy but also potentially harmful.

2. The Rise of AI in Research

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has quickly advanced from a theoretical concept to a practical tool. And it has thus deeply impacted various domains of scientific and academic research. In the biomedical field, AI accelerates drug discovery by predicting prospective new antibiotics, significantly reducing the time and resources traditionally required for these activities (Blanco-Gonzalez et al., 2022). AI models like AlphaFold have transformed structural biology innovatively. It accurately predicts protein structures. This facilitates advancements in understanding diseases. Thus accelerates the process of developing treatments (DeepMind, 2022).

In the realm of social sciences, AI assists researchers in analysing complex datasets, uncovering patterns in human behaviours, and enhancing the accuracy of predictive models (Bianchini et al., 2023). The assimilation of AI into academic research workflows has rationalized literature reviews. It has made data analysis easier, and even the peer-review process. These activities are contributing to increased efficiency and productivity in the research field (Eger et al., 2025).

The benefits of AI in research are manifold. It enables faster data processing, mechanises repetitive tasks. It also enhances pattern recognition capabilities. Use of AI for research thus allows researchers to focus more on the actual research work. (Ali, 2024). AI-driven tools simplify interdisciplinary collaboration. They can be providing platforms for data sharing and joint analysis. This definitely fosters innovation across fields (Abulibdeh, 2025).

The use of AI in research has it's own challenges. One of the major and critical concern is the potential for bias in AI algorithms. It can arise from misleading training data and lead to twisted results (Buolamwini, 2021). The "black-box" nature of many AI models also brings in transparency issues. This makes it difficult for researchers to interpret and validate AI-generated outputs (Notovich et al., 2023). Also, the misuse of AI, for example financial frauds, market manipulations and unethical surveillance, highlights the need for robust ethical guidelines and governance frameworks (Center for AI Safety et al., 2025).

To utilize artificial intelligence in the research field to its full potential, it needs to be studied in a structured manner, what are the opportunities to use AI, and how, for each of these opportunities, does ethical data governance play an important role. But at the same time, it's also important to handle associated risks. This includes ensuring data quality and safeguarding privacy. It also includes promoting transparency in AI applications. (Batool et al., 2023).

3. What is Responsible AI?

As science grows hand in hand with game-changing tech like AI, it has become very important to root our research in the fundamental values. To use AI responsibly in any research projects, we must include principles that reflect our shared sense of ethics and purpose. There has to be fairness in decision-making, and there has to be openness in how systems work. People should know who is accountable for the impacts created by systems. Also, a strong focus on safety, and a genuine commitment to inclusivity is required. These are not just technical guidelines. They are what make AI faithful and meaningful. Thus, the use of AI can be more helpful in the pursuit of knowledge.

Fairness: Fairness in AI dictates that systems operate without bias. Thus ensuring impartial treatment across diverse populations. Bias can intrude into AI through unrepresentative training data. This will lead to skewed outcomes. These outcomes may benefit certain groups and disadvantage certain groups. Take research, for example

Copyright © 2024 by Author/s and Licensed by JISEM. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License which permitsunrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

— if AI carries bias, it can twist how data is understood or even overlook voices from minority groups, which puts the credibility of the whole study at risk (Batool et al., 2023). Implementing fairness involves rigorous evaluation of datasets and algorithms to detect and mitigate biases, promoting justice and equity in research outcomes (Herrera-Poyatos et al., 2025).

Transparency: Transparency entails the clarity and openness of AI systems, allowing researchers to understand and interpret AI-driven decisions. Transparency really matters in research—because if others cannot repeat and verify what you've done, it's hard to trust the Outputs. That kind of clarity, when provided makes science stronger. Transparent AI systems enable researchers to trace how decisions happened. This facilitates trust and accountability in scientific processes (Baker & Xiang, 2023). Transparency helps in identifying errors or biases within AI models. This increases the dependability of research findings. (Dignum, 2022)

Accountability: Accountability in AI emphasizes the responsibility of developers and users for the outcomes produced by AI systems, they develop together. In research, this principle ensures that any errors or adverse effects caused by AI applications are addressed appropriately. (Herrera-Poyatos et al., 2025). Creating evident lines of accountability involves documenting AI development processes and decision-making criteria. (Batool et al., 2023).

Safety: Assurance that AI systems function reliably and do not cause unintended harm. In research contexts, especially in fields like healthcare or environmental studies, AI safety is critical to prevent erroneous inferences that could have significant real-world implications (Herrera-Poyatos et al., 2025). Ensuring safety involves extensive testing, validation and confirmation of AI models, as well as continuous monitoring to detect and rectify potential issues (Dignum, 2022).

Inclusivity: Inclusivity emphasizes the importance of designing AI systems that take into account, diverse user groups and perspectives. Inclusivity in research means making sure everyone's voice counts - that studies reflect real-world diversity and AI tools are built to serve everyone. Inclusivity ensures AI tools are not built for few selected people. Incorporating inclusivity requires reaching out to diverse stakeholders during AI development. Including their perspectives and ensuring that datasets reflect a broad range of understandings and backgrounds must be part of AI development process. (Batool et al., 2023)

These principles together, when applied, lead the ethical integration of AI into research, fostering systems that are just, understandable, responsible, secure, and inclusive. Abiding by these principles enhances the quality of research, certainly. Apart from that, it also increases the trustworthiness of the research study and the inferences. (Batool et al., 2023)

4. Ethical Data Governance: The Missing connection

Ethical data governance has emerged as a fundamental element in ensuring responsible and dependable AI applications. Ethical data governance is all about having responsible practices in place for how we gather, use, and share data - making sure we respect people's rights, do the right thing, and use data in ways that genuinely benefit society (Schubert & Barrett, 2024).

Consent and Data Ownership: Ethical data governance starts with something simple but powerful — informed consent. It's about making sure people understand how their data will be used. And just as importantly, that they willingly agree to it. This principle esteems individual independence and fosters trust with the researchers (Ghavami, 2020). Recognizing data ownership rights allows individuals to have charge of their personal information (Choenni et al., 2022).

Privacy and Security: Privacy and security are fundamental pillars of ethical data governance. Implementing robust security measures and privacy protocols ensures that sensitive information is safeguarded. This ensures the compliance with regulations and helps building public trust (Atadoga et al., 2024).

Data Quality and Integrity: Ensuring the accuracy, consistency, and reliability of data is crucial for the validity of AI-driven research outcomes. Good data is like good fuel — when it's accurate and trustworthy, it helps AI run better, uncover deeper insights, and support smarter choices that actually make a difference (Santhosh Kumar, 2023).

Copyright © 2024 by Author/s and Licensed by JISEM. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License which permitsunrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Journal of Information Systems Engineering and Management
2024, 9(3)
e-ISSN: 2468-4376
https://jisem-journal.com/

Research Article

Ethical data governance requires massive data management effort. Then only it is possible to maintain data integrity all over the lifecycle of the data. (Schubert & Barrett, 2024).

Equity in Data Collection: Ethical data governance also involves tackling discrepancies in data collection. This ensures that AI systems do not extend existing biases. This includes actively including diverse data sources and being thoughtful of underrepresented populations in datasets (Kalkman et al., 2019). By promoting equity in data collection, researchers can develop AI applications that are more inclusive and fair (OECD, 2023).

Responsible Data Sharing: Sharing data responsibly involves balancing the benefits of data accessibility with the need to protect individual rights and confidentiality. When we take the time to set mindful rules around data sharing, we're not just protecting ethics - we're making sure that data truly helps researchers and brings real value to the world around us (lemondropmartini, 2023). It's about turning raw information into something meaningful, while respecting the people behind the data (Kalkman et al., 2019). Following simple, people-first principles like FAIR — making data easy to find, use, and share — helps ensure we're sharing information in ways that are both ethical and genuinely useful to others (Santhosh Kumar, 2023). FAIR stands for Findable, Accessible, Interoperable, and Reusable. (Santhosh Kumar, 2023).

Thus, ethical data governance is the baseline that ensures AI is used dutifully in research. By protecting and supporting principles of consent, privacy, data quality, equity, and responsible sharing, researchers can ensure that AI technologies are implemented in ethical ways. Not only implementation but also the usage is, in ways that are fair, honest, and beneficial to all stakeholders.

5. Challenges at the Intersection of AI, Research, and Data Ethics

As researchers start using artificial intelligence (AI) more and more into research methodologies, it gives rise to countless ethical happenstances that must be handled to ensure responsible and justifiable outcomes.

Biased Datasets Leading to Skewed Inferences: How much bias AI systems carry depends on the data they are trained on. When datasets lack diversity or are reflective of societal prejudices, AI models can carry forward and even amplify these biases. This leads to skewed research inferences. Studies have shown that AI models trained on non-representative datasets can produce prejudiced outcomes, mostly affecting sidelined communities (Mittal et al., 2023). This stresses the necessity for careful dataset preprocessing and the implementation of fairness-aware algorithms in research applications.

Lack of Informed Consent in Data Collection: The principle of informed consent is foundational in ethical research practices. But the intricacy of AI systems often complicates how data is collected, processed, and utilized. This makes it challenging for participants to provide truly apprised consent. In many cases, people are uninformed that their data is being used to train AI models, raising significant ethical concerns (Mirishli, 2025). To maintain the ethical standards, it is necessary to make the data collection processes very transparent.

Data Silos and Transparency Issues: Data silos, where information is stored within specific departments or organizations, hamper the potential of AI in research. These silos often lead to redundant efforts and inconsistencies. And generally results into a lack of comprehensive understanding of the research findings. The opacity of AI algorithms, often referred to as "black boxes," worsens transparency issues. Because of which, it becomes difficult for researchers to interpret and validate AI-driven outputs (Birhane, 2023).

Legal and Regulatory Inconsistencies: The global landscape of AI regulation is fragmented, with varying standards and guidelines across different jurisdictions. For example, the European Union's General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) emphasizes data privacy and protection, while the United States lacks a comprehensive central data protection law. Instead it has state wise varying laws and regulations. These inconsistencies become a challenge to come up with universally accepted ethical standards for AI in research. (Gerke et al., 2020)

Copyright © 2024 by Author/s and Licensed by JISEM. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License which permitsunrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Journal of Information Systems Engineering and Management
2024, 9(3)
e-ISSN: 2468-4376
https://jisem-journal.com/

Research Article

6. Framework for Building Trustworthy AI via Ethical Data Governance for Research Work

Establishing trustworthiness is top most priority for usage of AI in research work. This necessitates an all-inclusive framework that intwines responsible AI practices with robust ethical data governance throughout the AI lifecycle. The following high-level framework outlines this integration across four critical phases: Data Collection, Data Processing, Model Development, and Deployment.

Phase 1: Data Collection

- **Responsible AI Practice:** All-encircling sampling and cognizant consent are foundational to ethical AI. Ensuring that datasets represent diverse populations is very important. It reduces bias and promotes fairness in AI outcomes. Informed consent respects individual autonomy and fosters trust on the research findings.
- **Data Governance Component:** Implementing data provenance which means making information available for the used data sources is important. Secondly thorough documentation ensures transparency and accountability. Tracking the origin and lineage of data enhances duplicability. It enables reviewing and tracking, which are essential for maintaining data integrity. (Li et al., 2021)

Phase 2: Data Processing

- **Responsible AI Practice:** Bias mitigation and anonymization are vital during this phase. Using techniques to identify and reduce biases ensures impartial AI systems, while anonymization protects individual privacy.
- **Data Governance Component:** Conducting quality checks and maintaining transparency in data processing activities upholds data integrity. Documentation of processing steps allows stakeholders to understand and trust the data transformations applied. (Li et al., 2021)

Phase 3: Model Development

- **Responsible AI Practice:** Ensuring explainability and conducting fairness testing during model development are vital. Explainable AI models allow users to comprehend decision-making processes, and fairness testing identifies and rectifies potential discriminatory outcomes. (McCormack et al., 2024)
- **Data Governance Component:** Maintaining auditable datasets and versioning supports accountability. Detailed records of data versions and changes enable traceability and facilitate compliance with ethical standards. (Li et al., 2021)

Phase 4: Deployment

- **Responsible AI Practice:** Implementing risk monitoring and ethical guardrails during deployment ensures ongoing oversight of AI systems. Continuous monitoring helps detect and address issues promptly, maintaining the system's integrity and public trust. McCormack et al., 2024)
- **Data Governance Component:** Establishing access control and accountability mechanisms safeguards against unauthorized use and ensures that responsibilities are defined. These actions are essential for safeguarding sensitive data and maintaining ethical standards. (Batool et al., 2023)

This integrated framework underscores the symbiotic relationship between responsible AI practices and ethical data governance. By embedding ethical factots at each phase of the AI lifecycle, researchers and practitioners can develop innovative AI systems. These systems will also be trustworthy and aligned with societal values.

7. Case Studies / Real-World Examples

To illustrate the profound impact of ethical data governance—or the lack thereof—on research outcomes, we examine five real-world cases across healthcare, social media, and climate science.

Case 1: AI in Health Diagnostics – Diabetic Retinopathy Screening

The deployment of AI systems like IDx-DR for early detection of diabetic retinopathy has revolutionized screening processes, especially in primary care settings. Still, ethical matters, for example patient consent and data privacy,

Copyright © 2024 by Author/s and Licensed by JISEM. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License which permitsunrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

remain paramount. The system's success hinges on transparent data handling and adherence to regulatory standards to maintain patient trust and data integrity (Rogers, 2021).

Case 2: AI in Health Diagnostics – Qure.ai's COVID-19 Response

During the COVID-19 pandemic, Qure.ai's chest X-ray tool, qXR, was instrumental in triaging patients based on risk levels. This AI application underscored the importance of rapid data analysis in crisis situations. Nevertheless, it also highlighted challenges in data governance, particularly concerning the accuracy of AI predictions and the need for continuous validation to prevent misdiagnoses (Wikipedia, 2025).

Case 3: Social Media Data in Behavioral Studies – Facebook's 2020 Election Data

Researchers' access to Facebook data during the 2020 U.S. election provided unprecedented insights into user behaviour and misinformation spread. Yet, the lack of consistent data governance frameworks followed challenges in data sharing, transparency, and ethical use, emphasizing the need for standardized protocols in social media research (Politou et al., 2022).

Case 4: Social Media Data in Behavioral Studies – COVID-19 Surveillance

The utilization of social media data for COVID-19 surveillance raised significant ethical questions, particularly regarding informed consent. Researchers often relied on publicly available data without explicit user consent, highlighting the tension between public health benefits and individual privacy rights. This case underscores the necessity for updated ethical guidelines that address the complexities of big data research (PMC, 2022).

Case 5: AI in Climate Modeling – Enhancing Environmental Conservation

AI's role in climate modeling has been pivotal in predicting environmental changes and informing conservation strategies. The effectiveness of these models depends on the quality of the data used. Ethical data practices, including transparency and inclusivity, are essential to ensure accurate predictions and equitable policy decisions (Financial Times, 2025).

8. Policy & Regulation Landscape

In our increasingly interconnected world, the ethical governance of data and artificial intelligence (AI) has become paramount. Various regulatory frameworks have been established to ensure that the development and deployment of AI technologies align with fundamental human rights and societal values.

General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR): The European Union's GDPR, implemented in 2018, has set a global benchmark for data protection. It emphasizes individual consent, data minimization, and the right to access and erase personal data. These principles are crucial in AI research, where large datasets are often utilized. The GDPR's influence extends beyond Europe, prompting other nations to adopt the data protection standards (Marcucci et al., 2023).

Artificial Intelligence Act (AI Act): Enacted by the EU in 2024, the AI Act introduces a risk-based approach to AI regulation. It categorizes AI applications into four risk levels: unacceptable, high, limited, and minimal. High-risk AI systems, for example, those used in healthcare and law enforcement, are subject to strict requirements, including transparency, human oversight, and data quality assurance. This legislation aims to foster trust in AI technologies while safeguarding fundamental rights (Wikipedia, 2025).

Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA): In the United States, HIPAA governs the use and disclosure of protected health information. While it establishes important privacy protections, HIPAA's scope is limited to specific entities, specifically healthcare providers and insurers. This limitation poses challenges in the AI research context, where data may be handled by various stakeholders not covered under HIPAA, leading to potential privacy concerns (PMC, 2022).

Data Governance Act (DGA): The EU's DGA, effective from 2023, aims to facilitate data sharing across sectors and borders while ensuring data sovereignty and trust. It introduces mechanisms for data altruism and establishes

Copyright © 2024 by Author/s and Licensed by JISEM. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License which permitsunrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

data intermediaries to oversee data transactions. By promoting transparent and ethical data sharing, the DGA supports AI research that relies on diverse and high-quality datasets (Wikipedia, 2025).

UNESCO's Recommendation on the Ethics of Artificial Intelligence: Adopted in 2021, UNESCO's Recommendation provides a global ethical framework for AI development. It emphasizes principles of human rights, inclusiveness, transparency, and environmental sustainability. The Recommendation encourages member states to implement policies that ensure AI technologies contribute positively to society and do not exacerbate inequalities or infringe on human dignity (UNESCO, 2021).

The Need for Global, Standardized Ethical Data Governance in Research

Disparities in data governance frameworks across countries pose challenges for international AI research collaborations. The lack of standardized ethical guidelines can lead to inconsistencies in data handling, privacy protections, and research integrity. A harmonized global approach to data governance is essential to facilitate cross-border research, ensure equitable access to data, and uphold ethical standards universally (Marcucci et al., 2023).

9. Future Directions & Recommendations

As artificial intelligence (AI) continues to permeate various facets of research and society, it's imperative to chart a course that ensures its ethical and responsible development. This involves fostering interdisciplinary collaboration, promoting transparency, and institutionalizing ethical standards.

Cross-Disciplinary Governance Teams

The complexity of AI systems necessitates forming governance teams encompassing diverse skills, including ethics, data science, research methodologies, and technological development. Interdisciplinary collaboration ensures that AI systems are designed and deployed with a holistic understanding of their societal impacts (Mäntymäki et al., 2022). It also emphasizes integrating ethical points throughout the AI lifecycle, advocating for governance models that bridge technical and ethical domains (Mäntymäki et al., 2022).

Emphasizing Open Science and Transparent Data Practices

Transparency is a cornerstone of ethical AI. Open science initiatives, which promote data sharing, methodologies, and findings, are vital for reproducibility and accountability in AI research. Combining ethical charters with legal tools and technical documentation enhances the trustworthiness of machine learning systems (Pistilli et al., 2023). Open-source licenses facilitate collaborative scrutiny, enabling researchers to identify and rectify biases or errors in AI models. (Sun et. al., 2024)

Institutionalizing AI Ethics Frameworks and Data Governance Certifications

Academic institutions are pivotal in embedding ethical principles into AI research and development. Implementing standardized ethics frameworks and pursuing data governance certifications can institutionalize responsible practices. (Cihon et al., 2021) highlight that certifications can reduce information asymmetries and incentivize ethical conduct in AI development. Programs like the Certificate in AI Ethics and Governance offered by Nanyang Technological University and the Singapore Computer Society exemplify efforts to formalize ethical training for AI professionals (NTU Singapore, n.d).

10. Conclusion

Artificial intelligence (AI) is highly evolving. During this time, ethical data governance has emerged as a foundational pillar of responsible AI and research. Quality of data and Governance of data play very important role in ensuring the integrity, fairness and transparency of AI systems. AI systems should be implemented with proper support of data governance frameworks. And the framework has to be robust., with which, there will be progress on the points such as Reduced biases, Privacy Protection and Enhanced public trust, in Research Work namely (Benfeldt et al., 2020).

Trustworthy AI is the culmination of advanced algorithms synergized with responsible data practices. This synergy ensures that AI systems are not only technically proficient but also aligned with ethical standards and societal values.

Copyright © 2024 by Author/s and Licensed by JISEM. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License which permitsunrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

The integration of ethical principles throughout the AI lifecycle—from data collection to deployment—fortifies the reliability and acceptability of AI applications (Li et al., 2021).

To realize the vision of trustworthy AI, a concerted effort is required from researchers, institutions, and policymakers. Researchers must embed ethical concerns into their methodologies, ensuring that data collection and analysis happen by taking into account individual rights and societal norms. Institutions should foster interdisciplinary collaboration, bringing together ethicists, data scientists, and technologists to develop comprehensive governance frameworks. Policymakers are tasked with crafting regulations that balance innovation with ethical imperatives, providing guidelines and accountability mechanisms (Batool et al., 2023).

The path forward demands a proactive stance, embracing transparency, inclusivity, and continuous evaluation. By prioritizing ethical data governance, we lay the basis for AI systems that are not only intelligent but also just and trustworthy. This commitment will ensure that AI serves as a force for good, enhancing human well-being and upholding the values we hold important.

Acknowledgement

The authors are grateful to all those who have supported them during this study. This work is supported by Allana Institute of Management Sciences & Savitribai Phule Pune University. The Authors are also grateful to Dr Roshan Kazi (Director In-Charge AIMS), Dr. Atik Shaikh (Professor AIMS), Research Centre staff (AIMS) and Savitribai Phule Pune University, Pune India.

Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations put forth in this material are those of the authors. They do not reflect the views of the Allana Institute of Management Sciences, Pune or of Savitribai Phule Pune University, Pune India.

Declaration of conflicting interests The authors declare no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding The author received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Declaration of tool usage - During the preparation of this work, the authors used Grammarly and ChatGPT for proof reading. After using these tools, the authors reviewed and edited the content as needed and take full responsibility for the content of the publication.

References

[1] Abulibdeh, E. (2025). A Scoping Review of the Strategic Integration of Artificial Intelligence in Higher Education: Transforming University Excellence Themes and Strategic Planning in the Digital Era. *European Journal of Education*. https://doi.org/10.1111/ejed.12908

[2] Ali, M. S. (2024). Role of Artificial Intelligence in Academic Research. International Journal of Multi-Faceted Research, 1(2). https://www.ijmfr.com/ijmfr/index.php/ijmfr/article/view/13

[3] Atadoga, M. M., Ghavami, S. M., & Politou, E. (2024). Ethical Considerations in Data Governance: Balancing Privacy, Security, and Transparency in Data Management. Journal of Data Ethics, 5(1), 45-60.

[4] Baker, S., & Xiang, W. (2023). Explainable AI is Responsible AI: How Explainability Creates Trustworthy and Socially Responsible Artificial Intelligence. https://arxiv.org/abs/2312.01555

[5] Batool, A., Zowghi, D., & Bano, M. (2023). Responsible AI Governance: A Systematic Literature Review. *AI and Ethics*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s43681-024-00524-4

[6] Benfeldt, O., Persson, J. S., & Madsen, S. (2020). Data governance: Organizing data for trustworthy Artificial Intelligence. *Government Information Quarterly*, 37(3), 101493. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2020.101493

[7] Bianchini, S., Müller, M., & Pelletier, P. (2023). Drivers and Barriers of AI Adoption and Use in Scientific Research. https://arxiv.org/abs/2312.09843

Copyright © 2024 by Author/s and Licensed by JISEM. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License which permitsunrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

[8] Birhane, A. (2023). Auditing AI Training Datasets for Harmful Content. https://time.com/6308999/abeba-birhane-ai/Time

[9] Blanco-Gonzalez, A., Cabezon, A., Seco-Gonzalez, A., Conde-Torres, D., Antelo-Riveiro, P., Pineiro, A., & Garcia-Fandino, R. (2022). The Role of AI in Drug Discovery: Challenges, Opportunities, and Strategies. https://arxiv.org/abs/2212.08104

[10] Buolamwini, J. (2021). Gender Shades: Intersectional Accuracy Disparities in Commercial Gender Classification. *MIT Media Lab*. https://www.media.mit.edu/publications/gender-shades-intersectional-accuracy-disparities-in-commercial-gender-classification/

[11] Center for AI Safety, MIT Media Lab, UFABC, & SecureBio. (2025). AI Outsmarts Virus Experts in the Lab, Raising Biohazard Fears. *Time*. https://time.com/7279010/ai-virus-lab-biohazard-study/

[12] Certificate in AI Ethics and Governance | College of Computing and Data Science | NTU Singapore. (n.d.). https://www.ntu.edu.sg/computing/admissions/cet-programmes/certificate-in-ai-ethics-and-governance

[13] Choenni, S., Bakker, R., & Leenes, R. (2022). Data Ownership and Data Governance: A Legal Perspective. *International Journal of Law and Information Technology*, *30*(2), 123-140.

[14] Cihon, P., Kleinaltenkamp, M. J., Schuett, J., & Baum, S. D. (2021). AI Certification: Advancing Ethical Practice by Reducing Information Asymmetries. https://arxiv.org/abs/2105.10356

[15] DeepMind. (2022). AlphaFold: A Solution to a 50-Year-Old Grand Challenge in Biology. *DeepMind*. https://www.deepmind.com/research/highlighted-research/alphafold

[16] Díaz-Rodríguez, N., Del Ser, J., Coeckelbergh, M., López de Prado, M., Herrera-Viedma, E., & Herrera, F. (2023). Connecting the dots in trustworthy artificial intelligence: From AI principles, ethics, and key requirements to responsible AI systems and regulation. https://arxiv.org/abs/2305.02231

[17] Dignum, V. (2022). Responsible Artificial Intelligence: From Principles to Practice. https://arxiv.org/abs/2205.10785

[18] Eger, S., Cao, Y., D'Souza, J., Geiger, A., Greisinger, C., Gross, S., ... & Miller, T. (2025). Transforming Science with Large Language Models: A Survey on AI-assisted Scientific Discovery, Experimentation, Content Generation, and Evaluation. https://arxiv.org/abs/2502.05151

[19] Flores, L., & Young, S. D. (2022). Ethical considerations in the application of artificial intelligence to monitor social media for COVID-19 data. *Minds and Machines*, *32*(4), 759-768.

[20] Gerke, S., Minssen, T., & Cohen, G. (2020). Ethical and Legal Challenges of Artificial Intelligence-Driven Healthcare. *Artificial Intelligence in Healthcare*, 295–336. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-818438-7.00012-5PMC

[21] Ghavami, S. M. (2020). Privacy in Data Governance: Challenges and Strategies. *Data & Society*, *12*(3), 89-104.

[22] Herrera-Poyatos, A., Del Ser, J., López de Prado, M., Wang, F.-Y., Herrera-Viedma, E., & Herrera, F. (2025). Responsible artificial intelligence systems: A roadmap to society's trust through trustworthy AI, auditability, accountability, and governance. https://arxiv.org/abs/2503.04739

[23] Kalkman, S., Mostert, M., van Delden, J. J. M., & van Thiel, G. J. M. W. (2019). Responsible Data Sharing in International Health Research: A Systematic Review of Principles and Norms. *BMC Medical Ethics*, *20*(1), 21. https://bmcmedethics.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12910-019-0359-9BioMed Central

[24] Li, B., Qi, P., Liu, B., Di, S., Liu, J., Pei, J., Yi, J., & Zhou, B. (2021). Trustworthy AI: From Principles to Practices. https://arxiv.org/abs/2110.01167

[25] Lemondropmartini(2023) https://lemondropmartini.net/ai-ethics-balancing-progress-and-responsibility/

[26] Mäntymäki, M., Minkkinen, M., Birkstedt, T., & Viljanen, M. (2022). Putting AI Ethics into Practice: The Hourglass Model of Organizational AI Governance. https://arxiv.org/abs/2206.00335

[27] Marcucci, S., Gonzalez Alarcon, N., Verhulst, S. G., & Wullhorst, E. (2023). Mapping and Comparing Data Governance Frameworks: A benchmarking exercise to inform global data governance deliberations. https://arxiv.org/abs/2302.13731

Copyright © 2024 by Author/s and Licensed by JISEM. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License which permitsunrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

[28] McCormack, L., & Bendechache, M. (2024). Ethical AI Governance: Methods for Evaluating Trustworthy AI. https://arxiv.org/abs/2409.07473

[29] Mirishli, S. (2025). Ethical Implications of AI in Data Collection: Balancing Innovation with Privacy. https://arxiv.org/abs/2503.14539 arXiv

[30] Mittal, S., Thakral, K., Singh, R., Vatsa, M., Glaser, T., Ferrer, C. C., & Hassner, T. (2023). On Responsible Machine Learning Datasets with Fairness, Privacy, and Regulatory Norms.

[31] https://arxiv.org/abs/2310.15848arXiv

[32] Notovich, A., Chalutz-Ben Gal, H., & Ben-Gal, I. (2023). Explainable Artificial Intelligence (XAI): Motivation, Terminology, and Taxonomy. In *Machine Learning for Data Science Handbook* (pp. 971-985). Springer International Publishing.

[33] OECD. (2023). Data Governance. Retrieved from https://www.oecd.org/en/topics/sub-issues/data-governance.htmlOECD

[34] Pistilli, G., Ferrandis, C. M., Jernite, Y., & Mitchell, M. (2023). Stronger Together: On the Articulation of Ethical Charters, Legal Tools, and Technical Documentation in ML. https://arxiv.org/abs/2305.18615

[35] PMC (2022). AI and machine learning ethics, law, diversity, and global impact. National Center for Biotechnology Information. https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10546451/

[36] Politou, E., Alepis, E., Virvou, M., & Patsakis, C. (2022). Privacy and Data Protection in the Age of Big Data: The Challenges of Ethical Data Governance. Information Systems Frontiers, 24(2), 345-359.

[37] Rogers, W. A., Draper, H., & Carter, S. M. (2021). Evaluation of artificial intelligence clinical applications: Detailed case analyses show value of healthcare ethics approach in identifying patient care issues. Bioethics, 35(7), 623-633.

[38] Samarawickrama, M. (2022). AI Governance and Ethics Framework for Sustainable AI and Sustainability. https://arxiv.org/abs/2210.08984

[39] Santhosh Kumar, B. (2023). Introductory Chapter: Data Integrity and Data Governance. In *Data Integrity and Data Governance* (pp. 1-10). IntechOpen. https://www.intechopen.com/chapters/86485IntechOpen - Open Science Open Minds

[40] Schubert, K. D., & Barrett, D. (2024). Data Governance, Privacy, and Ethics. In M. C. Lacity & L. Coon (Eds.), Human Privacy in Virtual and Physical Worlds (pp. 87-102). Springer.ResearchGate

[41] Sun, N., Miao, Y., Jiang, H., Ding, M., & Zhang, J. (2024). From Principles to Practice: A Deep Dive into AI Ethics and Regulations. arXiv preprint arXiv:2412.04683.

[42] UNESCO. (2021). Recommendation on the Ethics of Artificial Intelligence. UNESCO Legal Affairs. https://www.unesco.org/en/legal-affairs/recommendation-ethics-artificial-intelligence

[43] Wikipedia contributors. (2025). Artificial Intelligence Act. Wikipedia. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Artificial_Intelligence_Act

[44] Wikipedia contributors. (2025). Data Governance Act. Wikipedia. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Data_Governance_Act

Copyright © 2024 by Author/s and Licensed by JISEM. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License which permitsunrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.