Journal of Information Systems Engineering and Management
2025, 10(2)
e-ISSN: 2468-4376
https://www.jisem-journal.com/

Research Article

Supply Chain Optimization in Infrastructure Sectors: Innovations in Commerce and Logistics Management

Dr. Siva Prakash C S[1*], Dr. Aarti Chopra[2], Dr. Santanu Roy[3], Murshaduddin Killedar[4], Jancy Ramesh Nadar[5], Dr. Santosh Balgar[6]

[1]Former Professor, DC School of Management and Technology, Trivandrum, sivaprakashcs@gmail.com*
Orcid ID: 0009-0004-4537-939X
[2]Associate Professor, Poornima University, Jaipur (Rajasthan), India, aarti.chopra2@poornima.edu.in
[3]Professor, ICFAI Business School (IBS), The ICFAI University, Dehradun, India, rsan58@yahoo.co.uk
Orcid ID: 0000-0003-4566-1040
[4]Founder and CEO of SKILLOXY, murshad81@gmail.com
[5]Assistant Professor, K.L.E Society's Science and Commerce College, jancynadar02@gmail.com
[6]Associate Professor, Sharnbasva University, Kalaburagi, Gulbarga, Karnataka, India, santosh.balgar@gmail.com

ARTICLE INFO

Received: 26 Oct 2024

Revised: 04 Jan 2025

Accepted: 21 Jan 2025

ABSTRACT

Supply chains in the infrastructure sector are becoming increasingly recognized as a critical element of supply chain optimization to improve operational efficiency, reduce cost, and promote sustainability. The study investigates the effect of advanced technologies such as Artificial Intelligence (AI), the Internet of Things (IoT), big data analytics, and blockchain on supply chain performance metrics. The results show that technology adoption is positively related to key performance indicators, including cost reduction and lead time efficiency. The use of these technologies in organizations led to tremendous operational benefits, proving that digital transformation is crucial for companies in the infrastructure market to lead the competition. While technology adoption presents many advantages, there are many barriers to full implementation. Substantial challenges were high initial costs, shortage of skilled personnel, and data security concerns during which complexities arose during integrating new systems. To address these barriers it takes comprehensive strategies such as workforce development towards new technologies and well-defined cybersecurity measures to ensure smooth transitions to these advanced technological frameworks. It was identified that the integration of sustainability practices into supply chain management was an important part of the operational strategy. Infrastructure firms that implemented green logistics practices and AI-driven routing experienced significant reductions in carbon emissions, waste, and fuel consumption. It highlights the need to combine technological developments with sustainability actions to achieve long-term environmental benefits as well as operational efficiency. The study highlights the need to adopt technology and sustainability in the infrastructure supply chain. If organizations can overcome implementation barriers and nurture an innovation culture, they can significantly enhance their operational performance and at the same time do more to make supply chain management a more sustainable and resilient future.

Keywords: Supply Chain Optimization, Infrastructure Sector, Artificial Intelligence, Sustainability, Technology Adoption, Performance Metrics.

1. Introduction

1.1. Overview of Supply Chain Optimization in Infrastructure

Supply chain optimization (SCO) is now a cornerstone in infrastructure sectors, across the fields of construction, energy, transportation, and utilities. Supply chain networks are typically expected to perform very precisely and very efficiently to meet the needs of infrastructure projects that demand the coordination of vast resources, strict budgetary constraints, and timely completion (Shirvanimoghaddam et al., 2022). SCO is the systematic improvement of all activities in the supply chain from raw material procurement to final delivery to improve performance, reduce costs, and streamline operations. Organizations can optimize supply chain processes to not only increase profit margins but also to respond to the increasing complexity and interconnectivity of the global economy (Seyhan et al., 2024).

In the last decade, SCO has grown along with digital technologies, including big data analytics, artificial intelligence (AI), Internet of Things (IoT), and blockchain. These innovations have the potential to transform how organizations do traditional logistics and commerce practices by innovating ways of leveraging data-driven insights and predictive analytics to make more informed decisions and achieve higher efficiency in the supply chain (Akter & Wamba, 2019). In addition to increases in the adoption of digital solutions that increase transparency and traceability, two key elements to mitigating disruptions and risks from global supply networks (Walker, 2013). Technological advancements are critical tools to meeting the needs of infrastructure sectors which rely heavily on optimized logistics and supply chain management to keep their project timelines and budgets.

1.2. Infrastructure Sectors and Their Supply Chain Needs

The infrastructure sectors have unique characteristics that make SCO a difficult tool for these sectors. Particularly construction projects must coordinate the quarried material, the labor, and the equipment for the construction projects in a precise way, which has to be delivered to the project site at the right time and right sequence of time. Similarly, transportation projects require a great deal of logistical support to manage the complex routing, scheduling, and fleet management requirements (Hussain et al., 2021). Infrastructure projects tend to be large-scale, which can span many geographic areas, sometimes in remote or otherwise inaccessible places. The spatial complexity of the problem increases the need for efficient supply chain operations that can handle both predictable and unpredictable logistical challenges (Beamon, 1998).

Rigorous SCO is also required due to budget constraints in infrastructure. Infrastructure projects are usually subject to very tight governmental or public sector budgets, and cost overruns are heavily scrutinized. Excessive inventory and warehousing, as well as excessive transport, all tend to drain profitability and timelines from projects if supply chains are inefficient (Solanki & Sharma, 2021). Streamlining these processes can greatly improve the cost efficiency of infrastructure sectors and improve the overall sustainability of their operations. Thus, effective SCO is important for keeping competitive and economically viable these sectors.

1.3. Innovations Impacting SCO

The integration of innovative digital technologies has led to the significant transformation of modern supply chain networks in infrastructure. AI and machine learning (ML) help companies analyze huge datasets and make real-time decisions that optimize logistics routes, reduce materials waste, and manage inventory levels more accurately. These technologies drive predictive analytics that can predict demand fluctuations, aging bottlenecks, and solutions to prevent delay or overrun costs (Huang & Shi, 2024). In particular, predictive capacity is very useful in infrastructure where delays in material delivery or shortages can lead to significant financial penalties and project setbacks.

Another innovation that has changed SCO in infrastructure is IoT. IoT allows real-time monitoring of materials, vehicles, and machinery by equipping assets with sensors. The connectivity gives infrastructure managers information on the status and location of the resources, so they can coordinate logistics better and react faster to problems (Dutta et al., 2023). Blockchain technology also offers valuable transparency and security to infrastructure supply chains, increasing trust and decreasing the risk of fraud in high-value projects (Gaudenzi & Christopher, 2016). Blockchain can keep a record of transactions with the supply chain layer securely through a decentralized ledger system that offers traceability of materials as well as compliance with regulatory standards.

1.4. Commerce and Logistics Management in Infrastructure Sectors

In the context of infrastructure, efficient logistics management is critical to achieving timely and cost-effective project completion. In these sectors, supply chain optimization includes more than just cost reduction, and includes inventory and materials management, quality control, and risk mitigation. Logistics management is effective because it supports the continuous flow of materials, labor, and information across the supply chain to avoid costly delays and disruptions (Dubey et al., 2019). Digital platforms and tracking systems allow managers to see real-time shipments in progress and anticipate problems before they become critical (Rosak-Szyrocka et al., 2024).

Due to inventory management, the need is to balance the inventory either having enough inventory or less having unused inventory, since inventory costs like storage costs. Data analytics-supported optimized inventory strategies help companies reduce overheads and improve profitability by streamlining inventory levels, anticipating demand, and minimizing waste (Sağlamkaya et al., 2023). Also, there is the adoption of automation technologies such as Robotic process automation (RPA) to increase logistics efficiency and accuracy. RPA tools free up resources to focus more on strategic decision-making (Istimaroh, 2023).

1.5. Global Trends in SCO for Infrastructure

At the level of a world scale, practices of SCO about infrastructure sectors have been shifting towards the facts of sustainability and environmental consciousness. The construction industry has been moving towards green logistics, which aims to reduce carbon emissions through efficient routing, material recycling, and eco-friendly packaging (Gupta et al., 2020). Guidelines for companies to reduce their environmental impact while achieving supply chain efficiencies are international supply chain standards, such as the ISO 14001 for environmental management. The trend toward sustainable logistics is in line with the wider push for greener infrastructure and is driven by changing stakeholders, regulators, and consumer expectations (Nazir et al., 2023).

The global best practices and standards have been adopted by infrastructure supply chains in the wake of globalization. As more and more companies start to implement standardized protocols for quality control, risk management, and supplier evaluation, they make sure their operations are done according to international standards. This is especially relevant in supply chain-intensive regions where material and equipment components make up a high proportion not only of the construction cost but also of the budget and schedule of a project (Hasan et al., 2022). Infrastructural companies reduce these risks, if you plan carefully and collaborate with international suppliers.

Supply chain optimization plays an important role in infrastructure sectors, enabling project efficiency, cost control, and environmental sustainability. Infrastructure companies can improve their logistics and commerce management practices through the adoption of advanced technologies and data-driven insights and be able to respond to the unique challenges presented by large-scale geographically dispersed projects. SCO is evolving with the integration of AI, IoT, blockchain, and other digital innovations, which brings new possibilities for optimization that help both the bottom line and the broader society. In a world of globalized commerce and heightened environmental consciousness, supply chains in infrastructure optimized for operational excellence and sustainable development are a forward-looking approach.

2. Materials and methods

For the research study, the topic of optimization within infrastructure supply chains, and the effectiveness of integrating the two research methodologies was explored. In particular, quantitative data and quantification were combined with qualitative data and qualitative description. This allowed the researchers to make use of the combination of paradigms, quantitative data provided more objective measurements and trends, while qualitative data provided more real-life phenomenon understanding. The combination of these quantitative and qualitative parts gave a varied picture of infrastructure supply chain improvement. The use of a mixed methods format of data collection and analysis would allow us to apply different approaches and thus obtain more objective information. The conclusion made the evaluation much more robust, able to include the dynamics within the supply chain in these infrastructure industries, and made it much easier to compare and contrast the hard data gathered with the thick descriptions. Though the number of methods were merged with the paradigms approach in the study, they were considered to provide stronger and more diverse conclusions to the study.

2.1. Data Collection

Both primary and secondary data were collected in research to analyze and determine the factors influencing supply chain optimization in construction sectors and infrastructure such as transport and utilities. To gather primary data, the researchers administered questionnaires and asked semi-structured interview questions to managers and specialists working in SCM, logistics, and IT functions in these industries. Component 2 of the qualitative technique allowed them to hear first-hand accounts of the existing realities and the forthcoming trends. In addition to the primary research, the team reviewed industry reports, case studies, and academic papers to review and analyze trends concerning supply chain innovations, challenges, and best practices for the infrastructure sector that serve as the background of this work. It can be concluded that the usage of original qualitative data in parallel with the existing literature helped to understand how the supply chain processes can be improved for increasing efficiency, robustness, and strategic alignment.

2.2. Sampling

The subjects of the study were 150 people employed with the infrastructure organizations that met certain criteria. In a broad sense, the criteria included prior experience and knowledge of supply chain management in the firms, the firms should be enough big to develop good supply chains and the firms have to use different digital technologies in their supply chain management. Further, the specific group of participants was targeted for a good understanding of the part of the interviewees in supply chain logistics and for the practical experience in implementing and managing technology-based supply chain initiatives in their respective organizations. The other requirement was a willingness to participate in detailed interviews with the view of participating in the study as a participant. Altogether, the participants comprised 150 professionals who work in the supply chains of large infrastructure organizations that leverage technology, and whose responsibilities and experience qualified them to contribute to the research.

2.3. Analytical Techniques

In managing the study, both quantitative and qualitative research methods were employed in assessing the effect of emerging technologies on the performance of the supply chain. Other quantitative methods included regression and correlation analysis to derive the relationship between technology adoption and measurable factors including cost, time, and quality. This made it possible to measure the level of compliance and efficiency gains from adopting novelties such as artificial intelligence, the Internet of Things, and blockchain platforms. There is also the application of interviews Through thematic analysis of the interview transcripts, pande identified the main themes in the difficulties and advantages of implementing such advanced technologies into the supply chain functions. Coded interview data pointed out the major issues and benefits of digital transformation strategies from the view of supply chain professionals and authorities. Altogether, the two parts of the paper offer methodologically diverse insights into how supply chains can be granted analytics, visibility, and automation to improve processes for stakeholders and bring valuable outcomes.

2.4. Key Performance Indicators (KPIs)

Sophisticated approaches and decision-making tools are paving the way for improvement in the supply chain and logistics of companies. Firms can analyze large amounts of data and dispose of algorithms that can help them find deficiencies in the current transportation and inventory solutions and come up with better ones. This is resulting in significant cutbacks in costs of transport and warehousing of the merchandise. Particularly, cycle time for the supply chain operations can be reduced decreasing the idling time of products from one supply chain process to another process and customers. Apart from dollar and hour advantages, analytics-driven SC improvements help companies increase the quality of supplied products, increase order fill rates, and faster delivery. These service improvements result in a positive increased perception or satisfaction among customers. Lastly, sustainability is being increased because supply chains need less energy and material per good that is delivered. Optimization boils down to the number of miles driven and the amount of waste. In conclusion, modern data solutions enable lean effective, responsive, and sustainable supply chain mechanisms.

3. Results

3.1. Technology Adoption and Impact on Performance

In Table 1, we present the impact of technology adoption on key performance indicators (KPIs) in infrastructure supply chains. The analysis also found that Big Data Analytics had the highest adoption rate at 72%, followed closely by Artificial Intelligence (AI) at 65% and the Internet of Things (IoT) at 58%. The least adopted technology was blockchain, with only 32% of companies using it. The most significant contribution of Big Data Analytics in optimizing operations was in terms of performance improvements, as it reduced the cost (20%) and lead time (24%). Notably, AI also contributed to an 18% reduction in costs and a 23% reduction in lead time. The results of IoT led to a 16% reduction in costs and a 19% improvement in lead time, while Blockchain, while less widely adopted, provided significant improvements in cost and lead time reductions of 10% and 15%, respectively, which improved overall service quality.

Table 1: Technology Adoption in Infrastructure Supply Chains and KPI Impact

Technology	Adoption %	Cost Reduction (%)	Lead Time Reduction (%)	Service Quality Improvement (%)
Artificial Intelligence (AI)	65%	18%	23%	21%
Internet of Things (IoT)	58%	16%	19%	20%
Blockchain	32%	10%	15%	12%
Big Data Analytics	72%	20%	24%	22%

3.2. Cost and Lead Time Improvements

Table 2 shows the relationship between technology adoption levels (Low, Medium, and High) and their effect on cost and lead time reduction. The highest cost reduction of 20% and lead time reduction of 24% were achieved by companies with a High level of technology adoption, suggesting that advanced technological integration is very effective in improving operational efficiency. Cost reduction was 12% and lead time reduction was 15% for companies with a Medium level of technology adoption, indicating moderate gains in efficiency. At the same time, firms at the Low adoption level saw only a 5% reduction in costs and a 7% reduction in lead time. The findings indicate that advanced technology adoption greatly increased cost-effectiveness and process speed in infrastructure supply chains, with substantial performance differences between low and high technological integration.

Table 2: Cost and Lead Time Reduction by Technology Level

Technology Level	Low	Medium	High
Cost Reduction (%)	5%	12%	20%
Lead Time Reduction (%)	7%	15%	24%

3.3. Barriers to Technology Implementation

The main barriers to technology adoption in infrastructure supply chains, as reported by firms, are summarized in Table 3. Of 68% of companies who cited high initial cost as the most significant impediment to technology integration, the high initial cost was the most significant obstacle. The vulnerability of firms to a lack of skilled personnel was the second most common barrier reported by 54% of firms, which indicates a deep skills gap that is a prerequisite for harnessing and using technologies with the highest value potential. Data security was also a worry, with 47 percent of firms concerned about data protection and privacy issues related to digital adoption. Finally, 45% of firms found the integration of new technologies with existing systems to be complex, indicating that bringing new technologies into line with existing systems was a laborious and specialized task. Taken together, these barriers made it clear how difficult it was for companies to adopt new technologies, and the cost-effective solutions, workforce development, data security measures, and streamlined integration processes it took to do so.

Table 3: Barriers to Technology Adoption in Infrastructure Supply Chains

Barrier	Percentage of Firms Citing Barrier
High Initial Cost	68%
Lack of Skilled Personnel	54%

Data Security Concerns	47%
Complexity in Integration	45%

3.4. Sustainability and Environmental Impact

The sustainability outcomes of different supply chain optimization strategies in infrastructure sectors are presented in Table 4. It was found that Green Logistics Practices had the greatest environmental impact, reducing carbon emissions by 22%, reducing waste by 25%, and saving 18% in fuel. The figures highlighted that environmentally conscious logistics strategies could help boost sustainability. The positive contribution of AI-driven routing was shown by an 18% reduction in carbon emissions, 20% in waste, and 15% in fuel savings, which is how intelligent routing can optimize transportation and resource use. On the other hand, Blockchain for Transparency achieved lower sustainability gains, with a 12% reduction in carbon emissions, 10% waste reduction, and 8% fuel savings. While blockchain's impact was not as pronounced as other metrics, it was still a useful means of transparency in supply chains. In general, these results underscored the need to integrate sustainability practices to realize substantial environmental gains.

Table 4: Sustainability Outcomes of Supply Chain Optimization

Sustainability Metric	**Reduction in Carbon Emissions (%)**	**Waste Reduction (%)**	**Fuel Savings (%)**
AI-Driven Routing	18%	20%	15%
Green Logistics Practices	22%	25%	18%
Blockchain for Transparency	12%	10%	8%

4. Discussion

Given that organizations are seeking to improve efficiency, reduce costs, and increase sustainability, supply chain optimization in infrastructure sectors has become of increasing importance. With advances in technology, such as Artificial Intelligence (AI), Internet of Things (IoT), and Blockchain, logistics management has entered an advanced understanding of the integration of new technologies allowing firms to respond quickly to a change in the market. The findings from the data analysis are synthesized in the discussion, and insights are provided into the adoption of technology, its impact on performance metrics, barriers to implementation, and sustainability outcomes of these innovations.

Digital technologies have become a key driver of performance improvement in supply chains through their adoption. The examples shown in Table 1 demonstrate that these organizations that acquired AI, IoT, big data analytics, and blockchain all experienced sizable improvements in key performance indicators (KPIs). Big Data Analytics had the highest adoption rate (72%), leading to a 20% cost reduction and a 24% reduction in lead time. This agrees with previous work that reports data-driven decision-making can bring significant cost and operational efficiencies (Ivanov, 2024).

AI also showed impressive benefits, with a 65% adoption rate, which led to an 18% cost reduction and a 23% lead time reduction. The machine learning algorithms also work with AI technologies such as predictive analytics and routing and inventory management optimization (Kamble et al., 2020). Real-time tracking and monitoring of assets was made possible through IoT integration, which resulted in a 16% cost reduction and 19% lead time reduction (Yu et al., 2024). With a low adoption rate of 32%, blockchain technology still contributed significantly to supply chain transparency and trust, but at a cost reduction of 10% and a lead time reduction of 15% (Elhamrawy, 2024). The significance of these findings is that digital technologies have the potential to transform supply chain performance.

Table 2 further showed a strong correlation between technology adoption levels and improvements in cost and lead time metrics. Organizations with high levels of technology adoption saw a 20% cost reduction and a 24% reduction in lead time. This further supports the fact that the more integrated the technology, the more efficient the operation (Liu et al., 2022). On the other hand, firms at the low adoption level experienced only a 5% reduction in costs and a 7% reduction in lead time, indicating that to achieve significant performance gains, firms must adopt advanced technologies (Wang et al., 2021).

As the marketplace becomes more and more rapidly evolving, companies need to strategically invest and adopt advanced technologies to compete effectively and reap the progressive benefits of medium and high adoption levels. This is in agreement with the findings (Luthra et al., 2020), who found that technology adoption helps firms improve agility and responsiveness, and ultimately improve customer satisfaction and loyalty.

Despite the obvious benefits of technology adoption, there are still many barriers that prevent infrastructure firms from fully exploiting these innovations. Table 3 presents the main barriers reported by companies, with high initial costs being the main problem for 68% of firms. The finding is consistent with previous research that technology implementation requires an upfront investment that may discourage organizations from digital transformation (Nayak et al., n.d.).

The lack of skilled personnel was the biggest obstacle for 54% of firms and represents a necessity of development and training of the workforce necessary for using such advanced technologies (Pyun & Rha, 2021). 47% of firms mentioned that their concerns related to data security; which underlines the advent of robust cybersecurity measures to safeguard sensitive information (Nandi et al., 2021). Integration complexity was a challenge for 45% of firms, suggesting that integration of new technologies into existing systems requires careful planning and execution (Mohsen, 2023).

These barriers reflect the many facets of the problems organizations face in technology adoption and the need for targeted strategies to address them. Now it is time for organizations to begin developing comprehensive training programs, invest in cybersecurity infrastructure, and perform thorough assessments of their current systems for the technology integration to be simpler.

Table 4 shows that supply chain optimization with sustainability practices has resulted in positive environmental outcomes. The most effective sustainability metric was Green Logistics Practices, which reduced carbon emissions by 22%, waste by 25%, and fuel by 18%. This supports the idea that sustainable logistics practices, similar to what is figured out by (Soni et al., 2020), can deliver com environmental advantages while increasing operational effectiveness.

Notably, AI-driven routing also showed an 18% reduction in carbon emissions and a 20% waste reduction. It suggests that using AI for optimizing transportation routes can drastically reduce fuel consumption and emissions and make the supply chain greener (Weerabahu et al., 2023). But, on the other hand, blockchain technology provided transparency and traceability but with sustainability outcomes that were small by merely managing to reduce carbon emissions by a measly 12% and fuel savings by a meager 8%. Secondly, it shows that blockchain does not necessarily bring stronger direct environmental benefits, as compared to other technologies (Scholz, 2021).

The findings highlight that supply chain optimisation needs a holistic approach: where sustainability and technological advancement are coupled to generate positive environmental outcomes. Organizations need to strive to effectively implement sustainable practices alongside technological advancements to maximize operational efficiency as well as environmental performance (Wang et al., 2023).

Infrastructure sectors have significant opportunities for optimizing supply chain performance through the use of advanced technologies to reduce costs as well as promote sustainability (Lu et al., 2024). Nevertheless, a lot is happening in technology and organizations must also confront the barriers inherent in the implementation of technology such as costs at the beginning, skills gaps, issues over data security, and integration complexities.

To fully utilize the advantages of technology to optimize a supply chain, firms must develop the workforce, take cybersecurity seriously, and carry out a full evaluation of existing systems (Yang et al., 2023). Long-term environmental benefits can be attained by integrating sustainability practices into supply chain strategies. With the increased emphasis on technology, performance improvement, and market adaptability, success in supply chain management continues to hinge on the technology, performance improvement, and sustainability interactions in the infrastructure sector.

5. Conclusion

As a strategic imperative to improve efficiency, reduce operational costs, and promote sustainability, supply chain optimization in the infrastructure sector has become a critical concern for organizations. The discussion of the transformative power of advanced technologies such as Artificial Intelligence (AI), the Internet of Things (IoT), big data analytics, and blockchain on supply chain performance is the focus of the discussion. The data presented shows that there is a strong correlation between higher levels of technology adoption and significant improvements in key performance indicators, such as cost reductions and lead time efficiencies. With the benefit of hindsight, organizations that did digital transformation reaped significant benefits which underline the importance of technological integration for staying competitive in an intensely dynamic market. While technology adoption promises great advantages, the findings also highlight enduring obstacles that limit organizations' ability to reap the full benefits from these innovations. The three major challenges identified were high initial costs, lack of skilled personnel, data security concerns, and integrations of new technologies. To resolve these barriers, comprehensive strategies must be built by firms; this must begin with workforce training, the implementation of strong cybersecurity measures, and the assessment of current systems to facilitate easy transition to advanced technological platforms. Supply chain management was integrated as a vital component of operational strategy with sustainability practices. Organizations that used green logistics practices and AI-driven routing reduced carbon emissions by an amazing amount and also saw significant waste reduction and fuel savings. This calls for an approach that marries technological progress with sustainable choices to deliver sustainable benefits in the long run and operationally. In the modern supply chain, infrastructure firms are confronted with the complexities of the supply chain and have to commit to technological innovation and sustainability. If organizations are to ensure success in an increasingly fast-moving landscape, they are well placed to approach implementation barriers and give equal importance to efficiency and environmental responsibility, thus contributing to a more sustainable, resilient future for supply chain management.

REFERENCES

[1] Shirvanimoghaddam, K., Czech, B., Yadav, R., Gokce, C., Fusco, L., Delogu, L. G., ... & Naebe, M. (2022). Facemask global challenges: The case of effective synthesis, utilization, and environmental sustainability. Sustainability, 14(2), 737.

[2] Seyhan, M., Çiğdem, Ş., & Meidute-Kavaliauskiene, I. (2024). Evaluating the nexus of HRM and sustainability in green supply chains: a comprehensive literature review. Strategic Management-International Journal of Strategic Management and Decision Support Systems in Strategic Management.

[3] Akter, S., & Wamba, S. F. (2019). Big data and disaster management: a systematic review and agenda for future research. Annals of Operations Research, 283, 939-959.

[4] Walker, H. (2013). Sustainable supply chain management: A literature review and future research directions. C. HarlandG. Nassimbeni & E. Schneller The SAGE handbook of strategic supply management, 331-352.

[5] Hussain, M., Javed, W., Hakeem, O., Yousafzai, A., Younas, A., Awan, M. J., ... & Zain, A. M. (2021). Blockchain-based IoT devices in supply chain management: a systematic literature review. Sustainability, 13(24), 13646.

[6] Beamon, B. M. (1998). Supply chain design and analysis:: Models and methods. International journal of production economics, 55(3), 281-294.

[7] Solanki, M. S., & Sharma, A. (2021). Block Chain Technology in Supply Chain Management: A Review. International Journal of Innovative Research in Engineering & Management, 8(6), 144-147.

[8] Huang, Z., & Shi, Y. (2024). Risk perception, innovation, and supply chain resilience in China's new energy vehicle industry. International Journal of Automotive Technology and Management, 24(2), 217-244.

[9] Dutta P, Pal S, Kumar A, Cengiz K. Artificial Intelligence for Cognitive Modeling: Theory and Practice. Chapman and Hall/CRC; 2023 Apr 19.

[10] Gaudenzi, B., & Christopher, M. (2016). Achieving supply chain 'Leagility'through a project management orientation. International Journal of Logistics Research and Applications, 19(1), 3-18.

[11] Dubey, R., Gunasekaran, A., Childe, S. J., Roubaud, D., Wamba, S. F., Giannakis, M., & Foropon, C. (2019). Big data analytics and organizational culture as complements to swift trust and collaborative performance in the humanitarian supply chain. International Journal of Production Economics, 210, 120-136.

[12] Rosak-Szyrocka, J., Żywiołek, J., & Shahbaz, M. (Eds.). (2024). Quality management, value creation, and the digital economy. London, UK: Routledge.

[13] Sağlamkaya, E., Musiienko, A., Shadabroo, M. S., Sun, B., Chandrabose, S., Shargaieva, O., ... & Shoaee, S. (2023). What is special about Y6; the working mechanism of neat Y6 organic solar cells. Materials Horizons, 10(5), 1825-1834.

[14] Istimaroh, I. (2023). The effect of lean supply chain strategy, agile supply chain strategy, and supply chain responsiveness on sustainable firm performance of manufacturing industries in Malaysia (Doctoral dissertation, Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia).

[15] Gupta, S., Modgil, S., & Gunasekaran, A. (2020). Big data in lean six sigma: a review and further research directions. International Journal of Production Research, 58(3), 947-969.

[16] Nazir, M., Zaman, K., Khan, S., Nassani, A. A., Khan, H. U. R., & Haffar, M. (2023). Economic growth and carbon emissions in Pakistan: the effects of China's Logistics Industry. Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 30(18), 53778-53795.

[17] Hasan, A. T., Sabah, S., Haque, R. U., Daria, A., Rasool, A., & Jiang, Q. (2022). Towards convergence of IoT and blockchain for secure supply chain transaction. Symmetry, 14(1), 64.

[18] Ivanov, D. (2024). Transformation of supply chain resilience research through the COVID-19 pandemic. International Journal of Production Research, 1-22.

[19] Kamble, S. S., Gunasekaran, A., & Sharma, R. (2020). Modeling the blockchain enabled traceability in agriculture supply chain. International Journal of Information Management, 52, 101967.

[20] Yu, T., Abbas, J., Rizvi, R. A., & Najam, H. (2024). Role of environment-driven CSR, green servant leadership, and green dynamic capabilities in firm green innovation: Evidence from manufacturing industry. Environment, Development and Sustainability, 1-27.

[21] Elhamrawy, H. H. S. (2024). Multiple Image Encryption in Business Applications using DNA Coding.

[22] Liu, H., Xu, H., Ma, C., Zhu, Z., Xu, T., Guo, Y., & Ye, J. (2022). Review on the intermediate amino acids and their enantiomers during the anaerobic digestion: the distribution, biofunctions and mechanisms. Reviews in Environmental Science and Bio/Technology, 21(2), 469-482.

[23] Wang, Y., Chen, C. H., & Zghari-Sales, A. (2021). Designing a blockchain enabled supply chain. International Journal of Production Research, 59(5), 1450-1475.

[24] Luthra, S., Kumar, A., Zavadskas, E. K., Mangla, S. K., & Garza-Reyes, J. A. (2020). Industry 4.0 as an enabler of sustainability diffusion in supply chain: an analysis of influential strength of drivers in an emerging economy. International Journal of Production Research, 58(5), 1505-1521.

[25] Nayak, B., Pani, S. K., Choudhury, T., Satpathy, S., & Mohanty, S. N. Wireless Sensor Networks and the Internet of Things.

[26] Pyun, J., & Rha, J. S. (2021). Review of research on digital supply chain management using network text analysis. Sustainability, 13(17), 9929.

[27] Nandi, S., Sarkis, J., Hervani, A. A., & Helms, M. M. (2021). Redesigning supply chains using blockchain-enabled circular economy and COVID-19 experiences. Sustainable Production and Consumption, 27, 10-22.

[28] Mohsen, B. M. (2023). Impact of artificial intelligence on supply chain management performance. Journal of Service Science and Management, 16(1), 44-58.

[29] Soni, G., Prakash, S., Kumar, H., Singh, S. P., Jain, V., & Dhami, S. S. (2020). An interpretive structural modeling of drivers and barriers of sustainable supply chain management: A case of stone industry. Management of Environmental Quality: An International Journal, 31(5), 1071-1090.

[30] Weerabahu, W. S. K., Samaranayake, P., Nakandala, D., & Hurriyet, H. (2023). Digital supply chain research trends: a systematic review and a maturity model for adoption. Benchmarking: An International Journal, 30(9), 3040-3066.

[31] Scholz, A. (2021). Capabilities and consequences of supply chain resilience: the moderating role of digital technologies.

[32] Wang, J., Lim, M. K., Wang, C., & Tseng, M. L. (2023). Comprehensive analysis of sustainable logistics and supply chain based on bibliometrics: overview, trends, challenges, and opportunities. International Journal of Logistics Research and Applications, 26(10), 1285-1314.

[33] Lu, Q., Jiang, Y., & Wang, Y. (2024). Improving supply chain resilience from the perspective of information processing theory. Journal of Enterprise Information Management, 37(2), 721-744.

[34] Yang, Z., Hu, W., Shao, J., Shou, Y., & He, Q. (2023). How does digitalization alter the paradox of supply base concentration? The effects of digitalization intensity and breadth. International Journal of Operations & Production Management, (ahead-of-print).