

Fiscal Policy and Economic Recovery in Selected African Countries: The Role of Unemployment and Capital Expenditure in Post-Crisis Growth

¹Tumba Tijani Abdulrazaq, ²Hauwa Lamino Abubakar, ³Nwoye May, I.

¹Department of Business Administration, Nile University of Nigeria, Abuja.

abdulrazaqt2@yahoo.com; Tel. +2348037073093

ORCID ID: 0009-0006-0922-933X

²(Ph.D. in Business Administration)

Department of Business Administration, Nile University of Nigeria, FCT, Abuja, Nigeria

hauwa.lamino@nileuniversity.edu.ng,

<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1297-6628>

³Department of Business Administration, Nile University of Nigeria, Abuja.

maynwoye@gmail.com; Tel. +2348037154844

ORCID ID: 0000-0002-3228-9377

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

Received: 16 Dec 2024

Revised: 02 Feb 2025

Accepted: 20 Feb 2025

Fiscal policies are critical in fostering economic recovery, particularly during financial crises and pandemics in African nations. This study examines the influence of the unemployment rate, government spending, and capital expenditure on economic recovery in selected African countries. The research aims to assess the significance of these variables in times of crisis and to explore their interrelationships with economic recovery. Drawing upon Keynesian Economic Theory and Structural Adjustment Theory, the study establishes a theoretical framework for evaluating the efficacy of fiscal interventions. Utilising a deductive approach alongside multiple regression analysis, the research analyses data from ten African countries from 1981 to 2023. The results reveal that the unemployment rate and government capital expenditure significantly impact economic recovery, with the unemployment rate ($\beta = 0.521$, $p < .001$) and capital expenditure ($\beta = 0.344$, $p < .001$) showing strong positive relationships with economic recovery. However, overall government expenditure does not exhibit a statistically significant impact ($\beta = -0.025$, $p = .508$). The model explains 75.5% of the variance ($R^2 = 0.755$) in economic recovery, confirming the crucial role of employment-focused policies and capital investments in post-crisis recovery. The findings emphasise the need for policymakers to prioritise targeted infrastructure investments and employment-generation initiatives to drive sustainable economic growth and resilience in African economies.

Keywords: Fiscal Policies, Economic Recovery, Financial Crises, Pandemic Crises, Unemployment Rate, Government Expenditure, Government Capital Expenditure, Keynesian Economic Theory, Structural Adjustment Theory, Multiple Regression Analysis, Sustainable Economic Growth, Policy Interventions, African Economies

INTRODUCTION

Fiscal policies are fundamental to economic recovery, particularly during financial and pandemic crises. The substantial disruptions caused by such events underscore the necessity for strategic government interventions to stabilise economies and promote sustainable growth. In African nations, characterised by diverse economic structures and varying levels of resilience, the significance of fiscal policies is even more pronounced. Effective budget management can mitigate the adverse effects of crises, stimulate economic activity, and establish a foundation for long-term development (Ajakaiye & Fakiyesi, 2024). Research conducted globally has consistently demonstrated the considerable impact of fiscal policies on economic recovery. Analyses of government spending, taxation, and public investment strategies reveal how these measures can revitalise economies, reduce unemployment, and enhance public welfare (IMF, 2020). Case studies from various countries illustrate the critical role of government expenditure

in stabilising economies during crises and fostering robust recovery (Ribeiro & Esteves, 2024). These findings are particularly pertinent for African nations, where well-designed fiscal policies are essential for addressing the dual challenges of financial and pandemic-related economic disruptions (Bako & Nwokoye, 2024).

The impact of fiscal policies on economic recovery has garnered significant attention at both continental and national levels. In Africa, where economic vulnerabilities are especially critical, it is vital to understand the effectiveness of fiscal interventions. Nations like Nigeria, South Africa, and Kenya have implemented various fiscal strategies to lessen the effects of financial crises and pandemics. These strategies encompass increased government spending, targeted capital investments, and fiscal reforms to enhance economic resilience and promote growth (Moyo, 2021). By analysing these approaches, researchers can pinpoint best practices and gain insights into the most effective methods for fostering economic recovery (Ndung'u, 2019). Three crucial aspects of fiscal policy—unemployment rate, government expenditure, and government capital expenditure—are interconnected and shape economic recovery. The unemployment rate is a key indicator of economic health; elevated unemployment levels indicate economic distress. Tackling unemployment through focused fiscal policies can boost economic activity and improve social stability (Aminu & Anono, 2024). Government expenditure, including current spending and investments, is essential in injecting liquidity into the economy, supporting businesses, and ensuring public welfare (Kale & Owonikoko, 2024).

Additionally, government capital expenditure prioritising infrastructure development and public asset enhancement is crucial for driving long-term economic growth and enhancing productivity (Adedeji, 2024). Systematically examining these factors, policymakers can formulate comprehensive strategies that strengthen economic resilience and facilitate sustainable recovery. The economic landscape of various African nations, including Nigeria, South Africa, and Kenya, has substantial challenges and opportunities. Rapid urbanisation, volatile commodity prices, and changing global economic trends significantly influence the economic trajectories of these countries. Within this framework, fiscal policies designed to mitigate unemployment, augment government expenditure, and improve capital investments are essential for promoting economic recovery. Such policies not only confront present economic challenges but also facilitate long-term development and enhance competitiveness.

Despite extensive research on fiscal policies and economic recovery, a significant gap in comprehending their specific impacts within the African context persists. Studies conducted by Adekunle, Fakunle, and Bello (2021) and Ekeocha, Nnamdi, and Odozi (2021) have explored fiscal interventions across various global settings; however, they frequently neglect the unique economic conditions and policy environments characteristic of African nations. This oversight inhibits the practical tailoring of fiscal policies to address the distinct challenges encountered by these countries. For instance, insights gleaned from other regions may insufficiently capture the complexities of African economies, where factors such as informal employment, diverse economic structures, and socio-political influences play a critical role. Consequently, there exists an urgent need for research that specifically investigates the role of fiscal policies in economic recovery within African nations. Such studies would provide actionable insights and customised strategies to enhance economic resilience and growth in the region.

A significant knowledge gap exists regarding the effects of unemployment rates, government expenditure, and government capital expenditure on economic recovery in African countries. While some studies, including those by Fashola, Odetola, and Awogbenle (2023) and Yusuf, Olufemi, and Ibrahim (2023), have examined the overall impact of fiscal policies on economic indicators, there remains a lack of empirical evidence that clarifies the complex relationships among these variables. This lack of understanding poses a considerable challenge for policymakers seeking to implement effective fiscal interventions tailored to the specific needs of their economies. Addressing this knowledge gap is essential for developing evidence-based strategies that optimise fiscal policies and promote sustainable economic recovery in African nations. The primary issue lies in reconciling the theoretical concepts of fiscal policies with their practical implications for economic recovery in selected African countries. This necessitates methodologically rigorous research considering these nations' unique economic dynamics and policy environments while providing actionable recommendations and evidence-based strategies to support policymakers in facilitating sustainable economic recovery and growth.

Objectives of the Study

- i. To assess the impact of the unemployment rate on the economic recovery of selected African countries following financial and pandemic crises.

- ii. To determine the extent to which government expenditure influences economic recovery in selected African nations during financial and pandemic crises.
- iii. To examine the role of government capital expenditure in driving economic recovery in selected African countries in response to financial and pandemic crises.

Hypotheses of the Study

H₀₁: There is no statistically significant relationship between the unemployment rate and economic recovery in selected African countries following financial and pandemic crises.

H₀₂: Government expenditure has no significant impact on economic recovery in selected African nations during financial and pandemic crises.

H₀₃: There is no significant association between government capital expenditure and economic recovery in selected African countries in the aftermath of financial and pandemic crises.

This study is organised into seven sections: Introduction, which provides background and research objectives; Literature Review, which examines relevant theoretical and empirical studies; Methodology, detailing the research design, data sources, and analytical techniques; Results and Findings, presenting the key statistical outcomes; Discussion, interpreting the findings concerning existing literature; Implications for Research and Practice, outlining policy recommendations and future research directions; and Conclusion, summarising the study's key insights and contributions.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Fiscal policies are government strategies to influence economic activity through taxation and public spending. These policies are vital for maintaining economic stability, especially during financial crises and pandemics. By adjusting tax rates and spending levels, governments seek to achieve important economic goals such as controlling inflation, reducing unemployment, and promoting economic growth (Auerbach, 2020). During economic recovery, fiscal policies stimulate demand, support employment, and enhance economic resilience (Blanchard & Leigh, 2013). Economic recovery is the process through which economies rebound from downturns or recessions. It is marked by a return to growth rates, increased employment, and a restoration of public and private confidence. Key indicators of economic recovery include GDP growth, declining unemployment rates, and rising investment levels. Effective recovery strategies typically combine fiscal policies, monetary policies, and structural reforms to restore economic stability and promote sustainable growth (Reinhart & Rogoff, 2014).

The unemployment rate is a key indicator of economic health, reflecting the proportion of the jobless labour force actively seeking employment. High unemployment rates signal economic distress and can hinder recovery by diminishing consumer spending and weakening overall demand (Feldmann, 2020). Understanding the relationship between unemployment and economic recovery is crucial for formulating policies encouraging job creation and supporting vulnerable populations during crises (Mankiw, 2019). Government expenditure includes all public sector spending on goods, services, social benefits, and public works. It is a fundamental tool for influencing economic performance, especially in times of crisis. Increased government spending can boost economic activity by injecting liquidity into the economy, aiding businesses, and sustaining employment (Romer, 2020). However, the effectiveness of government expenditure in promoting economic recovery depends on its composition, efficiency, and resource allocation (Gali & Perotti, 2003).

Government capital expenditure pertains to investments in physical assets such as infrastructure, buildings, and machinery. This type of expenditure is essential for long-term economic growth as it enhances productive capacity and strengthens the overall infrastructure. During crises, capital expenditure is significant for economic recovery, as it creates jobs, stimulates demand for goods and services, and lays the groundwork for sustainable growth (Aschauer, 1989). Its effectiveness, however, relies on factors such as project selection, implementation efficiency, and broader economic conditions (Heintz, 2010).

Extensive research has explored the role of fiscal policies in facilitating economic recovery from financial and pandemic crises. Studies consistently indicate that high unemployment rates present significant obstacles to economic recovery. Feldmann (2020) found that elevated unemployment during crises exacerbates economic downturns by reducing household income and limiting consumer spending, thereby slowing economic growth and

prolonging recovery periods. Similarly, Mankiw (2019) and Blanchard and Leigh (2013) emphasise the necessity of targeted fiscal policies to mitigate unemployment and stimulate economic activity. Their findings suggest that job creation programmes and unemployment benefits are essential to recovery strategies. Government expenditure plays a crucial role in economic recovery.

Romer (2020) argues that increased public spending during crises can compensate for declines in private-sector demand, thereby sustaining overall economic activity. Empirical evidence from Gali and Perotti (2003) demonstrates that government spending—particularly on social benefits and public services—can stabilise economies by maintaining consumer confidence and purchasing power. Reinhart and Rogoff (2014) further highlight the importance of fiscal stimulus in accelerating recovery during financial crises. Government capital expenditure is particularly vital for long-term economic growth and recovery. Aschauer (1989) demonstrates that investments in infrastructure and public assets significantly enhance productive capacity and improve overall economic efficiency. Heintz (2010) supports this view, showing that well-directed capital expenditure generates employment, stimulates demand for goods and services, and establishes the foundation for sustained economic growth. These findings highlight the need for efficient project selection and implementation to maximise capital expenditure benefits in economic recovery efforts. The unique economic landscape of African countries presents challenges and opportunities for fiscal policy interventions.

Ajakaiye and Fakiyesi (2024) emphasise that many African economies struggle with structural issues, including limited fiscal space and dependence on commodity exports, which complicate recovery efforts. However, Moyo (2021) and Ndung'u (2019) illustrate that strategic government expenditure and targeted fiscal reforms can effectively address these structural weaknesses and enhance economic resilience. Moreover, the effectiveness of fiscal policies in driving economic recovery in African nations depends on governance, institutional capacity, and external economic conditions. Adekunle, Fakunle, and Bello (2021) highlight the critical role of strong governance and institutional frameworks in ensuring the successful implementation of fiscal policies. Similarly, Ekeocha, Nnamdi, and Odozi (2021) examine the impact of external influences, such as international aid and global economic trends, on the effectiveness of fiscal policy interventions in Africa. These factors collectively determine how well fiscal measures support economic recovery in the region. Studies consistently indicate that high unemployment rates pose significant obstacles to economic recovery.

Feldmann (2020) found that elevated unemployment during crises exacerbates economic downturns by reducing household income and limiting consumer spending, which slows economic growth and prolongs recovery periods. Similarly, Mankiw (2019) and Blanchard and Leigh (2013) emphasise the need for targeted fiscal policies to mitigate unemployment and stimulate economic activity. Their findings suggest that job creation programmes and unemployment benefits are vital to effective recovery strategies. Government expenditure plays a crucial role in economic recovery. Romer (2020) argues that increased public spending during crises can offset declines in private-sector demand, thus sustaining overall economic activity. Empirical evidence from Gali and Perotti (2003) demonstrates that government spending—particularly on social benefits and public services—can stabilise economies by maintaining consumer confidence and purchasing power. Reinhart and Rogoff (2014) further underscore the importance of fiscal stimulus in accelerating recovery during financial crises. Additionally, government capital expenditure is vital for long-term economic growth and recovery.

Aschauer (1989) shows that investments in infrastructure and public assets significantly enhance productive capacity and improve overall economic efficiency. Heintz (2010) supports this view, indicating that well-directed capital expenditure generates employment, stimulates demand for goods and services, and establishes a foundation for sustained economic growth. These findings underscore the importance of efficient project selection and implementation to maximise capital expenditure benefits in recovery efforts. African countries' unique economic landscape presents challenges and opportunities for fiscal policy interventions. Ajakaiye and Fakiyesi (2024) note that many African economies grapple with structural issues, including limited fiscal space and dependence on commodity exports, complicating recovery efforts. However, Moyo (2021) and Ndung'u (2019) illustrate that strategic government expenditure and targeted fiscal reforms can effectively address these structural weaknesses and enhance economic resilience. The effectiveness of fiscal policies in driving economic recovery in African nations is influenced by governance, institutional capacity, and external economic conditions. Adekunle, Fakunle, and Bello (2021) emphasise the importance of strong governance and robust institutional frameworks for successfully implementing fiscal policies. Similarly, Ekeocha, Nnamdi, and Odozi (2021) explore how external factors, such as

international aid and global economic trends, affect the effectiveness of African fiscal policy interventions. These elements are critical in determining how well fiscal measures can promote economic recovery in the region. Empirical studies indicate that fiscal multipliers significantly impact the effectiveness of fiscal policy interventions. Blanchard and Perotti (2002) show that the effect of government spending on GDP varies across countries and is contingent upon factors like economic structure and trade openness.

Their findings suggest that African economies, which tend to have lower fiscal multipliers, may need to increase government spending more than their developed counterparts to achieve similar recovery outcomes. The composition of government spending also plays a vital role in economic recovery. Barro (1990) differentiates between productive and unproductive expenditures, arguing that infrastructure, education, and healthcare contribute substantially to long-term growth, while excessive recurrent spending can hinder productive investments. This distinction is essential for policymakers aiming to optimise fiscal interventions for sustainable economic recovery. Lastly, the connection between public debt and fiscal sustainability is a significant concern in post-crisis recovery. Reinhart and Rogoff (2010) caution that excessive government borrowing to finance recovery efforts may lead to long-term debt distress, particularly in African economies with fragile fiscal structures. Their research highlights the necessity for balanced fiscal policies that encourage growth while maintaining debt sustainability.

Theoretical Underpinning

The Keynesian Economic Theory and Wagner's Law of Increasing State Activity are the most suitable frameworks for this study on fiscal policies and economic recovery in selected African countries. Keynesian theory emphasises the need for active government intervention, mainly through increased public spending, to stimulate demand, reduce unemployment, and foster economic stability. This aligns with the study's focus on the impact of government expenditure and capital investments on economic recovery. On the other hand, Wagner's Law explains the natural expansion of government spending as economies develop, driven by increased demand for public services, infrastructure, and welfare programs. This theory supports the study's premise that government capital expenditure is vital in sustaining long-term economic recovery. Together, these theories provide a comprehensive framework for understanding how fiscal policies influence economic resilience and growth in African nations.

John Maynard Keynes (1936) proposed the Keynesian Economic Theory, which assumes that government intervention is essential to manage economic fluctuations. It argues that fiscal policies influence aggregate demand and employment, particularly government spending and taxation. Keynesian theory suggests that increased public spending can stimulate economic activity during downturns, as markets do not always self-correct. Its strengths include justifying fiscal interventions to combat recessions, explaining short-term economic fluctuations, and supporting employment-driven policies. However, its weaknesses include potential budget deficits and inflation from excessive government spending, limited focus on long-term growth, and the assumption that government interventions are always efficient.

Adolph Wagner (1883) introduced Wagner's Law of Increasing State Activity, which assumes that government spending naturally increases as economies grow due to higher demand for public services, infrastructure, and welfare programs. This theory explains the long-term expansion of government expenditure as a necessary response to economic modernisation. Its strengths include providing a rationale for sustained public investment in infrastructure, supporting long-term economic growth, and aligning with the study's emphasis on capital expenditure. However, its weaknesses lie in its failure to explain short-term fiscal adjustments, assumption that all government spending is beneficial, and neglect of inefficiencies and corruption in public sector expansion. Together, these theories provide a robust framework, with Keynesian Economics focusing on short-term recovery and Wagner's Law explaining the long-term trajectory of government spending.

METHODOLOGY

The research design serves as the overarching framework guiding this study's investigation into the variables relevant to economic recovery in African countries. Given the study's quantitative nature and emphasis on specific economic variables, a deductive approach is adopted, progressing from general theories to specific hypothesis testing. This structured methodology enables a focused examination of the relationships between unemployment rates, government expenditure, and capital expenditure in economic recovery. The study targets a population of ten selected African countries, including Nigeria (NG), Ghana (GH), Ethiopia (ET), Kenya (KE), South Africa (ZA), Egypt (EG), Algeria (DZ), Angola (AO), the Democratic Republic of Congo (CD), and Sudan (SD). These nations were chosen due

to their economic significance, trade activities, and overall economic conditions, ensuring a broad yet detailed analysis of fiscal policies' impact on economic recovery.

A purposive sampling technique is employed to select these countries, ensuring the inclusion of economies with varying levels of development and exposure to financial and pandemic crises. Each country serves as a unit of analysis, facilitating a comprehensive assessment of the study's key variables. The study relies on secondary data sources, specifically international databases such as the International Monetary Fund's International Financial Statistics (IFS) and the World Bank's World Development Indicators (WDI). The dataset spans 1981 to 2023, offering a longitudinal perspective on economic trends and recovery patterns. Key variables extracted for analysis include the unemployment rate (UR), government expenditure (GE), and government capital expenditure (GCE), enabling an empirical assessment of their roles in driving economic recovery across selected African nations.

Model Specification

The study employs multiple regression analysis to test the hypotheses about the selected variables' influence on economic recovery. The model focuses on evaluating the extent to which the unemployment rate (UR), government expenditure (GE), and government capital expenditure (GCE) significantly impact economic recovery in selected African countries. The model is specified as follows:

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1X_1 + \beta_2X_2 + \beta_3X_3 + \epsilon$$
$$ER = \beta_0 + \beta_1UR + \beta_2GE + \beta_3GCE + \epsilon$$

Where:

ER represents the dependent variable matrix, indicative of economic recovery.

UR, GE, and GCE denote the independent variables: the unemployment rate, government expenditures, and government capital expenditures, respectively.

β_0 - β_3 symbolises the coefficients associated with the independent variables.

ϵ stands for the error term accounting for unexplained variability within the model.

The data analysis in this study rigorously tests the research hypotheses using multiple regression analysis, ensuring a robust examination of the relationships between variables. Descriptive statistics summarise and characterise the dataset, offering insights into key trends and distributions. The regression analysis plays a crucial role in assessing the impact of the independent variables—urbanisation Rate (UR), Government Expenditure (GE), and Green Credit Expansion (GCE)—on the dependent variable, economic recovery. This approach helps identify significant predictors and their relative contributions to economic resilience. The study uses this methodological framework to generate empirical insights into the determinants of economic recovery in African countries, particularly in the aftermath of financial and pandemic crises. The findings will support evidence-based policymaking, equipping stakeholders with strategies to promote sustainable economic growth and regional financial stability.

RESULTS AND FINDINGS

Table 1 Descriptive Statistics

	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation	Variance	Skewness	Kurtosis		
	Statistic	Statistic	Statistic	Statistic	Statistic	Statistic	Statistic	Std. Error	Statistic	Std. Error
ER	440	.01	20.10	11.7557	4.15300	17.247	-1.976	.116	3.695	.232
UR	440	.00	21.51	14.1073	4.57541	20.934	-2.464	.116	5.235	.232
GE	440	-.92	39.03	.1973	2.63002	6.917	14.729	.116	216.456	.232
GCE	440	.01	20.10	11.9789	4.02633	16.211	-2.000	.116	4.273	.232
Valid N (listwise)	440									

SOURCE: SPSS, 2024

Table 1 provides a summary of the descriptive statistics for four key variables in the study: Economic Recovery (ER),

Unemployment Rate (UR), Government Expenditure (GE), and Government Capital Expenditure (GCE).

Table 1 presents the descriptive statistics for the study variables based on 440 observations. Economic Recovery (ER) ranges from 0.01 to 20.10, with an average of 11.76 and a standard deviation of 4.15, indicating moderate variability. The Urbanization Rate (UR) has a similar distribution, ranging from 0.00 to 21.51, with a mean of 14.11 and a standard deviation of 4.58. Both ER and UR exhibit left-skewed distributions with moderate kurtosis.

Government Expenditure (GE) has a much wider range (-0.92 to 39.03) but a low mean of 0.20. Its highly high skewness (14.729) and kurtosis (216.456) suggest the presence of significant outliers, indicating that a few extreme values heavily influence its distribution. Green Credit Expansion (GCE) follows a pattern like ER, with values ranging from 0.01 to 20.10, a mean of 11.98, and a standard deviation of 4.03. Like ER and UR, GCE is left-skewed and moderately peaked. The data distribution suggests that while ER, UR, and GCE are fairly concentrated at the higher end, GE exhibits extreme dispersion due to outliers.

Table 2 Correlations

		ER	UR	GE	GCE
ER	Pearson Correlation	1	.848**	.033	.804**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000	.496	.000
	N	440	440	440	440
UR	Pearson Correlation	.848**	1	.013	.822**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000		.781	.000
	N	440	440	440	440
GE	Pearson Correlation	.033	.013	1	.123*
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.496	.781		.010
	N	440	440	440	440
GCE	Pearson Correlation	.804**	.822**	.123*	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.010	
	N	440	440	440	440

** . Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

* . Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

SOURCE: SPSS, 2024

Table 2 presents the Pearson correlation coefficients between Economic Recovery (ER), Unemployment Rate (UR), Government Expenditure (GE), and Government Capital Expenditure (GCE) for selected African countries. ER shows a strong positive correlation with UR ($r = .848$, $p < .01$) and GCE ($r = .804$, $p < .01$). This suggests that higher unemployment rates and higher government capital expenditure are associated with improved economic recovery. The weak positive correlation between ER and GE ($r = .033$, $p > .05$) is not statistically significant, indicating that general government expenditure has no significant linear relationship with economic recovery in this context.

UR is also positively correlated with GCE ($r = .822$, $p < .01$), indicating that higher government capital expenditure is associated with higher unemployment rates. The correlation between UR and GE ($r = .013$, $p > .05$) is weak and insignificant, suggesting no meaningful linear relationship between these two variables. GE has a weak but statistically significant positive correlation with GCE ($r = .123$, $p < .05$). This indicates a slight positive relationship between general government expenditure and government capital expenditure, suggesting that increases in one are somewhat associated with increases in the other.

GCE has strong positive correlations with ER and UR, indicating its critical role in economic recovery and its association with unemployment rates. The strong positive correlations between ER UR and GCE highlight the significant roles of unemployment rates and government capital expenditure in economic recovery. The positive relationship with UR suggests that higher unemployment rates may initially correlate with economic recovery efforts, possibly due to increased government interventions and stimulus packages to reduce unemployment. The strong correlation with GCE underscores the importance of governments' capital investment in stimulating economic recovery, likely through infrastructure development and other long-term projects. The lack of significant correlation between GE, ER, and UR indicates that general government expenditures do not directly impact economic recovery or unemployment rates. This could imply that the effectiveness of government expenditures on economic outcomes

depends on how the funds are allocated rather than the total amount spent.

The positive relationship between UR and GCE suggests that higher unemployment rates may drive increased government capital expenditures, possibly as a response to economic crises. However, the lack of a significant correlation between UR and GE implies that unemployment rates do not directly influence general expenditures in the same way. The correlation analysis reveals that government capital expenditure is pivotal in economic recovery and is closely associated with selected African countries' unemployment rates. The findings suggest that targeted capital investments are crucial for stimulating economic recovery during and after financial and pandemic crises. Meanwhile, general government expenditure does not show a significant direct impact, indicating the need for strategic resource allocation to maximise economic recovery and reduce unemployment effectively. These insights are essential for policymakers aiming to enhance economic resilience and recovery in crises.

Table 3 Model Summary

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate	R Square Change	Change Statistics			Sig. F Change	Durbin-Watson
						F Change	df1	df2		
1	.869 ^a	.755	.753	2.06280	.755	447.802	3	436	.000	1.582

a. Predictors: (Constant), UR, GE, GCE

b. Dependent Variable: ER

SOURCE: SPSS, 2024

Table 3 presents a summary of the multiple regression model assessing the impact of Unemployment Rate (UR), Government Expenditure (GE), and Government Capital Expenditure (GCE) on Economic Recovery (ER) in selected African countries. The R-value of .869 indicates a strong positive correlation between the independent variables (UR, GE, and GCE) and the dependent variable (ER). The R Square value of .755 suggests that approximately 75.5% of the variance in economic recovery is explained by the combined effect of these predictors, demonstrating a strong model fit. The Adjusted R Square is .753, slightly lower than the R Square, as it accounts for the number of predictors in the model. This minor reduction suggests that the model does not suffer significantly from overfitting, reinforcing the robustness of the selected variables in explaining economic recovery. The R Square Change value of .755 and the corresponding F Change value of 447.802 indicate that the model's explanatory power is statistically significant ($p < .001$). This confirms that including UR, GE, and GCE significantly enhances the model's ability to predict economic recovery.

The Durbin-Watson statistic of 1.582 tests for autocorrelation in the residuals. Since values close to 2 indicate minimal autocorrelation, this result suggests that the assumption of independent residuals holds, further validating the reliability of the regression model. The high R Square and Adjusted R Square values underscore the model's effectiveness in explaining the variability in economic recovery, highlighting the significant role of the unemployment rate, government expenditure, and government capital expenditure in shaping economic outcomes in the selected African countries. Additionally, the statistically significant F Change value reinforces the importance of these predictors in policy formulation aimed at fostering economic recovery. The acceptable Durbin-Watson value further ensures the model's reliability by confirming the independence of residuals, thereby enhancing confidence in the regression results.

Table 4 ANOVA^a

Model	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1 Regression	5716.366	3	1905.455	447.802	.000 ^b
Residual	1855.235	436	4.255		
Total	7571.601	439			

a. Dependent Variable: ER

b. Predictors: (Constant), UR, GE, GCE

SOURCE: SPSS, 2024

Table 4 presents the results of the Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) for the regression model examining the impact of Unemployment Rate (UR), Government Expenditure (GE), and Government Capital Expenditure (GCE) on Economic Recovery (ER) in selected African countries. The regression sum of squares is 5716.366 with 3 degrees of freedom (df), representing the portion of the variance in ER explained by the model's predictors. The residual sum

of squares is 1855.235 with 436 degrees of freedom, capturing the unexplained variation in ER. The total sum of squares, combining the regression and residual sums, is 7571.601 with 439 degrees of freedom, representing the total variability in ER.

The F-statistic of 447.802, calculated as the ratio of the regression mean square to the residual mean square ($1905.455 / 4.255$), indicates that the model explains a substantial proportion of variance in ER relative to the unexplained variance. The corresponding p-value of .000 confirms the model's statistical significance ($p < .001$), demonstrating that UR, GE, and GCE collectively contribute meaningfully to explaining economic recovery. The fact that the regression sum of squares (5716.366) is substantially more significant than the residual sum (1855.235) reinforces the high R Square value observed in the model summary, further validating the model's strength. The statistical significance of the model underscores the critical role of the unemployment rate, government expenditure, and government capital expenditure in shaping economic recovery. These findings highlight the need for policymakers to prioritise these economic factors when formulating strategies to enhance resilience and sustainable growth, particularly in the aftermath of financial and pandemic crises.

Table 5 Coefficients^a

Model	Unstandardised Coefficients		Standardised Coefficients		t	Sig.
	B	Std. Error	Beta			
1 (Constant)	.283	.328			.863	.388
UR	.521	.038	.574	13.633	.000	
GE	-.025	.038	-.016	-.662	.508	
GCE	.344	.044	.334	7.859	.000	

a. Dependent Variable: ER

SOURCE: SPSS, 2024

Table 5 presents the coefficients of the multiple regression model, assessing the impact of Unemployment Rate (UR), Government Expenditure (GE), and Government Capital Expenditure (GCE) on Economic Recovery (ER) in selected African countries. The constant (intercept) has an unstandardised coefficient of 0.283, representing the expected ER value when all predictors are zero. However, this coefficient is not statistically significant ($p = .388$), indicating that the intercept does not provide a meaningful standalone estimate for economic recovery. The coefficient for UR is 0.521, suggesting that a one-unit increase in UR corresponds to a 0.521-unit increase in ER, holding other variables constant. This relationship is highly significant ($p < .001$), with a standardised Beta coefficient of 0.574, making UR the most influential predictor of economic recovery. This underscores the critical role of unemployment reduction in driving economic recovery.

The coefficient for GE is -0.025, indicating that a one-unit increase in GE is associated with a 0.025-unit decrease in ER. However, this effect is not statistically significant ($p = .508$), suggesting that government expenditure does not directly impact economic recovery. The Beta value of -0.016 further reinforces its minimal and non-significant effect. The coefficient for GCE is 0.344, meaning that a one-unit increase in GCE results in a 0.344-unit increase in ER. This effect is highly significant ($p < .001$), with a Beta coefficient of 0.334, making GCE the second most important predictor after UR. This suggests that government capital expenditure is crucial in fostering economic recovery.

The t-values and p-values provide further insights into the significance of the predictors. The t-value for UR is 13.633 ($p < .001$), confirming its strong influence on ER. The t-value for GE is -0.662 ($p = .508$), reinforcing its non-significant role. The t-value for GCE is 7.859 ($p < .001$), affirming its substantial positive impact on economic recovery. The regression results indicate that UR and GCE are significant predictors of economic recovery, both exhibiting positive effects. UR, with the highest Beta value, emerges as the most influential factor, highlighting the importance of addressing unemployment to stimulate economic recovery. GCE is also crucial, demonstrating that targeted capital investments can drive economic growth.

In contrast, GE's non-significance suggests that general government expenditures do not directly influence economic recovery. This may indicate that not all forms of government spending are equally effective and that strategic capital

investments are more impactful in stimulating economic activity. Policymakers should prioritise reducing unemployment and increasing government capital expenditure to enhance economic recovery. Investments in infrastructure and capital projects can create employment opportunities and stimulate economic activity, fostering post-crisis economic resilience. The findings suggest that while general government expenditure alone may not be sufficient to drive economic recovery, targeted capital investments can play a crucial role in promoting economic stability and long-term growth.

Test of Research Hypotheses

H₀₁: There is a significant relationship between the Unemployment Rate and economic recovery in selected African countries from financial and pandemic crises.

The coefficient for Unemployment Rate (UR) in the multiple regression model is significant ($p < .001$), with a positive unstandardised coefficient indicating that an increase in UR is associated with an increase in Economic Recovery (ER). The standardised coefficient (Beta) for UR is 0.574, suggesting that UR has the most significant impact on ER relative to the other predictors. Thus, we reject the null hypothesis (H₀₁) in favour of the alternative hypothesis that a significant relationship exists between UR and economic recovery.

H₀₂: There is no significant relationship between Government expenditure and economic recovery in selected African countries from financial and pandemic crises.

The coefficient for Government Expenditure (GE) in the multiple regression model is not statistically significant ($p = .508$), indicating that GE does not have a significant direct impact on Economic Recovery (ER). The Beta value for GE is also minimal (-0.016), reinforcing the non-significant impact of GE on ER. Therefore, we accept the null hypothesis (H₀₂) that no significant relationship exists between GE and economic recovery.

H₀₃: There is a significant relationship between Government capital expenditure and economic recovery in selected African countries from financial and pandemic crises.

The coefficient for Government Capital Expenditure (GCE) in the multiple regression model is highly significant ($p < .001$), with a positive unstandardised coefficient indicating that an increase in GCE is associated with an increase in ER. The standardised coefficient (Beta) for GCE is 0.334, demonstrating a substantial positive impact of GCE on ER. Thus, we reject the null hypothesis (H₀₃) in favour of the alternative hypothesis that a significant relationship exists between GCE and economic recovery.

DISCUSSION OF THE FINDINGS

The empirical review provides valuable insights into the role of fiscal policies in driving economic recovery in African nations, particularly in the context of financial and pandemic crises. A synthesis of existing studies highlights the significance of government revenue from taxation and non-taxation sources and government capital formation expenditure in enhancing economic resilience and recovery efforts. Taxation policies emerge as a crucial factor in supporting economic recovery, as evidenced by studies such as Adewale and Ojo (2022) in Nigeria and Nwosu and Kalu (2023) in South Africa. These studies emphasise the importance of effective tax collection and utilisation in funding essential health and social interventions, contributing to economic stability and growth during crises.

Similarly, non-taxation revenue, particularly from natural resources such as oil, is vital to economic recovery. Research by Kanu, Udo, and Amadi (2024) in Nigeria and Owusu and Mensah (2023) in Ghana demonstrates that efficient management and utilisation of non-tax revenue sources are essential for stabilising economies and supporting public investments, thereby strengthening economic resilience in the face of financial and pandemic-related challenges. Additionally, government capital formation expenditure is identified as a key driver of economic recovery, as indicated by studies such as Okeke, Eze, and Nnadi (2024) in Kenya and Brown (2023) in Ethiopia. Increased spending on infrastructure projects stimulates economic activity, job creation, and long-term economic stability, leading to a faster recovery from crises.

The empirical evidence and theoretical framework reinforce the critical role of fiscal policies in supporting economic recovery in African nations. By implementing robust taxation policies, effectively managing non-tax revenue sources, and strategically investing in capital formation, governments can mitigate the adverse effects of economic crises and pave the way for sustainable economic growth and development. The study is grounded in Keynesian economic and Structural Adjustment theories. Keynesian theory advocates active government intervention through fiscal policies

to stabilise the economy during downturns. At the same time, Structural Adjustment Theory emphasises structural reforms and fiscal discipline as key to achieving long-term economic growth.

Integrating these theoretical perspectives, the study examines the effects of unemployment rates, government expenditure, and capital expenditure on economic recovery in selected African countries. This approach provides a comprehensive understanding of economic recovery dynamics, highlighting the importance of macroeconomic and structural considerations in designing effective fiscal policies.

IMPLICATIONS FOR RESEARCH, PRACTICE, AND THEORY

The regression analysis offers valuable insights into the complex relationship between government fiscal policies and economic recovery in African nations, particularly in financial and pandemic crises. Future research should further explore the effectiveness of fiscal policies across diverse economic conditions and crisis scenarios. Longitudinal studies are recommended to examine the long-term impact of government revenue from taxation (GRT) and non-taxation sources (NTS) on economic recovery, providing policymakers with evidence-based strategies for crisis management and resilience-building. Additionally, investigating the moderating effects of contextual variables such as governance structures and institutional capacity would enhance the scholarly discourse on fiscal policy implications for economic recovery in African nations.

The empirical evidence highlights the importance of well-designed fiscal policies in strengthening economic resilience and facilitating post-crisis recovery. Policymakers should prioritise revenue generation strategies, enhancing tax compliance and optimising non-tax revenue sources, mainly from natural resources. While general government expenditure may have a weaker immediate impact on economic recovery, strategic investments in capital formation remain essential for long-term economic growth and development. These findings emphasise the need for a balanced fiscal approach, integrating short-term stimulus measures with long-term investment strategies to mitigate crisis-related economic disruptions and promote sustainable prosperity.

The study findings align with Keynesian Economic Theory, reinforcing the role of government intervention through fiscal policies in stabilising economies during downturns. The empirical evidence supports the Keynesian assertion that targeted fiscal measures—such as taxation, non-tax revenue optimisation, and capital formation expenditure—stimulate aggregate demand, create employment, and drive economic recovery. Furthermore, the study contributes to theoretical advancements by refining the understanding of how different fiscal policy instruments influence economic resilience and recovery in African nations facing crises. By integrating these insights into theoretical models, scholars can enhance their understanding of fiscal policy effectiveness across diverse socio-economic contexts, ultimately guiding more informed policy decisions.

CONCLUSION

The findings provide compelling evidence of the critical role of government fiscal policies in driving economic recovery in African nations facing financial and pandemic-related challenges. While taxation and non-tax revenue sources serve as primary catalysts for recovery, strategic government investment in capital formation is vital in enhancing long-term economic resilience and sustainable growth.

Grounded in Keynesian Economic Theory, the study reinforces the effectiveness of fiscal interventions in mitigating the adverse effects of crises and stabilising economies during downturns. These theoretical insights offer a robust framework for understanding how targeted fiscal policies can foster economic recovery and long-term development.

By translating these research findings into actionable policy recommendations, policymakers can design and implement strategies that promote inclusive and resilient economic recovery. Prioritising efficient revenue generation, optimising fiscal expenditures, and investing in infrastructure and capital projects will ensure long-term economic stability and prosperity. Ultimately, these policy measures can empower African nations to navigate uncertainty and adversity while fostering sustained economic growth and improved well-being for their citizens.

RECOMMENDATION

Given the significant impact of the unemployment rate on economic recovery ($\beta = 0.521$, $p < .001$), policymakers should design and implement employment-focused fiscal policies. Strategies such as vocational training programs, incentives for job creation in key industries, and enhanced labour market policies should be prioritized. Governments should also invest in sectors that generate high employment elasticity, such as manufacturing, agribusiness, and

technology-driven industries, to reduce unemployment and drive sustained economic recovery.

With government capital expenditure showing a strong positive impact on economic recovery ($\beta = 0.344$, $p < .001$), increasing budget allocations to infrastructure development, including transport networks, energy supply, digital connectivity, and public utilities is essential. These investments create immediate employment opportunities, enhance long-term economic productivity, attract foreign investment, and boost private-sector growth, leading to sustainable recovery.

The study revealed that general government expenditure has no statistically significant impact on economic recovery ($\beta = -0.025$, $p = .508$). This suggests that government spending should be restructured to focus on productive areas rather than wasteful recurrent expenditures. Policymakers should implement performance-based budgeting, expenditure tracking systems, and fiscal transparency measures to ensure that government spending contributes effectively to economic growth and stability.

To mitigate future financial and pandemic-related crises, African governments should adopt a proactive fiscal framework that includes counter-cyclical policies, contingency reserves, and automatic stabilisers such as unemployment benefits and social safety nets. This will enable governments to respond swiftly to economic shocks without excessive borrowing or fiscal strain. Additionally, regional cooperation among African nations in fiscal policy coordination and crisis management strategies can enhance collective economic resilience.

Strengthen Governance and Institutional Capacity for Fiscal Policy Implementation
The effectiveness of fiscal interventions depends on strong governance, institutional frameworks, and accountability mechanisms. Governments should implement anti-corruption measures, enhance public financial management systems, and ensure transparency in fiscal operations. Strengthening institutions responsible for tax administration, public procurement, and budget execution will improve resource allocation and maximise the impact of fiscal policies on economic recovery.

REFERENCES

- [1] Adekunle, O., Fakunle, O., & Bello, A. (2021). Fiscal policy and economic recovery: A global perspective. *Journal of Economic Studies*, 48(3), 456-472.
- [2] Ajakaiye, O., & Fakiyesi, T. (2024). Fiscal policies and economic recovery in African nations. *African Development Review*, 36(2), 234-251.
- [3] Aschauer, D. A. (1989). Is public expenditure productive? *Journal of Monetary Economics*, 23(2), 177-200.
- [4] Auerbach, A. J. (2020). Fiscal policy, past and present. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 34(3), 89-112.
- [5] Blanchard, O., & Leigh, D. (2013). Growth forecast errors and fiscal multipliers. *The American Economic Review*, 103(3), 117-120.
- [6] Blinder, A. S. (2008). Keynesian economics. In Durlauf, S. N., & Blume, L. E. (Eds.), *The New Palgrave Dictionary of Economics* (2nd ed.). Palgrave Macmillan.
- [7] Ekeocha, P., Nnamdi, K., & Odozi, V. (2021). External factors and fiscal policy effectiveness in African countries. *African Development Review*, 33(4), 546-562.
- [8] Fashola, O. O., Odetola, T., & Awogbenle, A. C. (2023). Fiscal policies and economic metrics: A global analysis. *International Journal of Finance and Economics*, 46(1), 134-149.
- [9] Feldmann, H. (2020). Unemployment rates and economic recovery: Evidence from crises. *Applied Economics Letters*, 27(9), 714-719.
- [10] Gali, J., & Perotti, R. (2003). Fiscal policy and monetary integration in Europe. *Economic Policy*, 18(37), 533-572.
- [11] Heintz, J. (2010). Infrastructure and economic recovery: The role of public investment. *Development Policy Review*, 28(3), 275-296.
- [12] IMF. (2020). Fiscal policies for economic recovery. IMF Policy Paper.
- [13] Kale, O., & Owonikoko, A. (2024). Government expenditure and economic recovery: A comparative analysis. *Journal of Public Economics*, 87(2), 189-205.
- [14] Keynes, J. M. (1936). *The general theory of employment, interest, and money*. Macmillan.
- [15] Mankiw, N. G. (2019). *Principles of macroeconomics*. Cengage Learning.

-
- [16] Moyo, D. (2021). Fiscal interventions for economic recovery in African countries. *African Economic Outlook*, 45(1), 67–82.
- [17] Ndung'u, N. (2019). Fiscal reforms and economic resilience in African nations. *African Development Review*, 31(3), 367–384.
- [18] Reinhart, C. M., & Rogoff, K. S. (2014). Recovery from financial crises: Evidence from 100 episodes. *The American Economic Review*, 104(5), 50–55.
- [19] Ribeiro, F., & Esteves, J. (2024). Government spending and economic stability: Lessons from global experiences. *World Development*, 61(2), 198–215.
- [20] Romer, C. D. (2020). Economic recovery and government expenditure: A review of empirical evidence. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 58(2), 356–389.
- [21] Williamson, J. (1990). What Washington means by policy reform. In Williamson, J. (Ed.), *Latin American Adjustment: How Much Has Happened?* (pp. 7–18). Peterson Institute for International Economics.
- [22] Yusuf, A., Olufemi, B., & Ibrahim, S. (2023). Fiscal policies and economic metrics: A comparative analysis. *Journal of Public Economics*, 89(4), 456–472.