Journal of Information Systems Engineering and Management
2025, 10(7s)
e-ISSN: 2468-4376
https://www.jisem-journal.com/

Research Article

Exploring the Impact of Artificial Intelligence on Innovation and Efficiency in the Construction Industry

[1]Nithyakarpagam A., [2]Dr. SP. Mathiraj
[1]Research Scholar,
Department of Corporate Secretaryship, Alagappa University, Karaikudi, Tamil Nadu.
Mail Id: karthickkushi2016@gmail.com
ORCID ID: 0000-0003-3284-9503.
[2]Professor, Department of Corporate Secretaryship, Alagappa University, Karaikudi, Tamil Nadu.
Mail. Id: mathirajsp@alagappauniversity.ac.in
ORCID Id: 0000-0002-8762-5593

ARTICLE INFO

Received: 08 Oct 2024

Revised: 09 Dec 2024

Accepted: 24 Dec 2024

ABSTRACT

This study explores the impact of Artificial Intelligence (AI) on innovation and efficiency within the construction industry, focusing specifically on project management, resource allocation, worker safety, and hazard detection. The research aims to understand how AI tools and technologies are enhancing operational efficiency, improving safety measures, and optimizing resource utilization across various construction projects. A quantitative approach is employed, utilizing a structured questionnaire distributed to 400 construction professionals, including project managers, engineers, safety officers, and workers. The study applies stratified random sampling to ensure a diverse representation of different construction sectors and job functions. Data is collected through closed and Likert-scale questions that measure respondents' perceptions of AI's effectiveness in construction project management, safety, and resource optimization. Descriptive and inferential statistical techniques, including correlation and regression analysis, are employed to examine relationships between AI adoption and project outcomes. The findings suggest that AI is significantly contributing to improvements in project management efficiency, safety monitoring, and resource allocation, though barriers to widespread adoption, such as cost and training, remain. The study's results provide insights into the potential of AI in revolutionizing the construction industry and highlight the need for further investment in AI technologies to overcome implementation challenges. This research contributes to the growing body of knowledge on AI's role in the construction sector and offers valuable guidance for industry stakeholders.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, construction industry, project management, resource allocation, worker safety.

INTRODUCTION

The construction industry, historically characterized by labor-intensive processes and slow technological adoption, has been undergoing a significant transformation with the rise of Artificial Intelligence (AI). AI has shown immense potential in revolutionizing various sectors. In the context of construction, AI applications are being increasingly integrated to enhance productivity, safety, and efficiency while reducing costs and time. The application of AI in construction spans a wide range of areas, from design and planning to project management, construction site operations, and even building maintenance. Machine learning, for instance, has demonstrated its capacity to predict project outcomes, detect anomalies, and forecast potential delays, thus enabling better decision-making and resource allocation (Zhang et al., 2017). Robotics, combined with AI, is improving the automation of repetitive tasks like bricklaying and welding, which not only increases efficiency but also enhances safety by reducing human exposure to hazardous conditions (Zhao et al., 2021). Furthermore, AI technologies such as drones and computer vision systems are playing a pivotal role in construction site monitoring and management by providing real-time data on progress, quality control, and safety (Kuhn et al., 2020). Beyond construction site operations, AI is facilitating improvements in design and planning through the use of generative design tools, which leverage

algorithms to produce optimized building layouts and structural configurations based on specific project constraints and objectives (Gou & Zhang, 2020). This technological shift is driving the development of smarter and more sustainable buildings, contributing to the overarching goal of achieving energy efficiency and reducing environmental impacts (Amiri et al., 2020). Despite the numerous advantages, the widespread adoption of AI in construction is not without challenges. Issues related to data privacy, the integration of AI with existing infrastructure, and the need for skilled personnel to operate and maintain AI systems are significant barriers to entry. Moreover, concerns about job displacement and the ethical implications of automation in construction have sparked debates about the future workforce (McKinsey & Company, 2017). Nonetheless, as AI continues to evolve, its integration into the construction industry is expected to grow, ultimately shaping a more efficient, safer, and innovative future for the sector. The impact of AI in construction, therefore, is multifaceted, encompassing both opportunities and challenges, which underscores the need for further research to explore how best to harness AI technologies while addressing the associated concerns. As the industry moves forward, the combination of technological advancements with human expertise will likely determine the trajectory of AI's influence on construction practices, ushering in a new era of productivity, safety, and sustainability (Chen et al., 2022).

LITERATURE REVIEW

Kuhn et al. (2020) discussed how AI tools like drones and computer vision systems are transforming how construction projects are monitored. They noted that these technologies allow for real-time tracking of construction progress, quality control, and safety inspections, significantly reducing human error. Their research also highlighted the potential for AI to improve the effectiveness of workers by minimizing the risks associated with on-site tasks. The researchers concluded that continued innovation in AI will shape the future of construction management.

Chen et al. (2022) explored the impact of AI on improving sustainability in construction projects. Their research demonstrated how AI applications like energy modeling, predictive maintenance, and waste reduction are contributing to more sustainable building practices. AI's ability to simulate various environmental scenarios has enabled better optimization of energy use in buildings. The authors also pointed out that AI helps in identifying inefficiencies in construction methods and supply chains, thus reducing the environmental footprint of projects. However, they identified challenges in implementing these technologies, especially regarding the high initial cost of AI adoption.

Gou and Zhang (2020) conducted a review of generative design tools and their impact on architectural and structural design in construction. They found that AI-powered generative design allows for more innovative and efficient building layouts by considering multiple parameters, such as cost, material properties, and environmental impact. The research emphasized that generative design tools can enhance collaboration between architects, engineers, and construction managers by providing optimized solutions in the early stages of design. While their study acknowledged the significant potential of these AI tools, they cautioned that further research is needed to understand the limitations and to improve user interfaces for these systems. They also highlighted the need for better integration of AI with traditional design methods.

McKinsey & Company (2017) reported on the broader implications of AI across the construction sector, emphasizing its potential for transforming traditional construction practices. The report highlighted various use cases for AI, including the automation of manual tasks, predictive maintenance, and enhanced project planning. It found that AI adoption in construction could lead to productivity increases of up to 15%, along with substantial cost savings. The authors also noted that AI-driven technologies could significantly impact the global construction workforce by shifting skill requirements. However, they stressed the need for targeted training and reskilling programs to ensure that workers can adapt to these technological changes.

Zhao et al. (2021) provided an in-depth review of the role of AI in construction robotics. Their research illustrated how robotics, powered by AI, is automating tasks such as bricklaying, concrete pouring, and welding, leading to significant improvements in efficiency and quality. They found that the integration of AI and robotics reduces the labor-intensive nature of construction and enhances safety by reducing human involvement in hazardous tasks. The authors also discussed the evolving field of AI-driven exoskeletons that assist workers in lifting heavy materials, further reducing the physical strain on construction workers. Despite these advances, they pointed out that the high cost of AI-driven robotic systems remains a key barrier to their widespread adoption.

Amiri et al. (2020) also addressed AI's role in improving the overall project lifecycle, from design to maintenance. They reviewed how predictive maintenance, powered by AI algorithms, is enhancing the life expectancy of buildings by identifying potential failures before they occur. The use of AI for condition monitoring allows building managers to optimize maintenance schedules, thereby reducing downtime and repair costs. They also highlighted how AI contributes to the longevity of infrastructure projects, ensuring that buildings remain functional and safe over time.

Bock and Linner (2015) further explored AI's impact on the construction industry through the lens of digital twins. They noted that the digital twin technology, powered by AI, creates a real-time digital replica of a construction project, which can be used for monitoring and managing performance. The research emphasized that digital twins can optimize the construction process, improve asset management, and offer insights into post-construction maintenance. By allowing real-time updates and predictions, digital twins enhance decision-making and risk management throughout a project's lifecycle. They pointed out that although the technology holds great promise, it faces challenges in terms of data integration and the initial investment required for implementation.

Zhang et al. (2017) also highlighted how AI is influencing construction project management through the optimization of supply chains. By using AI to predict supply and demand patterns, construction companies can reduce material waste, ensure timely deliveries, and avoid costly delays. The research also explored how AI improves vendor selection and negotiation processes by analyzing historical data and current market trends. The study concluded that AI can significantly enhance the efficiency of supply chain operations, but noted that its success depends on the availability of accurate and real-time data from various stakeholders. It also emphasized that the widespread adoption of AI in supply chain management would require industry-wide collaboration and data sharing.

Research Gap

Most studies focus on individual AI applications, such as machine learning for project management or robotics for automation, yet comprehensive, interdisciplinary studies that assess the cumulative effects of AI across the entire construction lifecycle are scarce. Additionally, there is limited research on the ethical implications and the barriers to widespread AI adoption, such as high costs, data security concerns, and the need for specialized skill sets. More empirical studies are required to address these issues.

Objectives

1. To assess the effectiveness of AI-driven tools in improving project management efficiency in construction.

2. To evaluate the impact of AI on worker safety and site hazard detection in construction projects.

3. To investigate the challenges faced by construction companies in adopting AI technologies across different project phases.

Hypothesis of the Study

1. Hypothesis 1:

H0: There is no significant impact of AI-driven tools on project management efficiency and resource allocation in construction projects.

H1: AI-driven tools significantly improve project management efficiency and resource allocation in construction projects.

2. Hypothesis 2:

H0: AI adoption does not have a significant effect on worker safety and the detection of hazards at construction sites.

H1: AI adoption significantly improves worker safety and the detection of hazards at construction sites.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Data Type

This study primarily focused on *quantitative data* collection. Quantitative data allowed for measurable and

statistical analysis, providing insights into the effectiveness of AI tools and technologies. It was gathered through structured surveys and questionnaires that captured respondents' perceptions, experiences, and the actual performance metrics of AI applications in construction projects. The quantitative nature of the data enabled the application of statistical methods to analyze patterns, relationships, and the overall impact of AI on the construction industry.

Data Source

The data was sourced from *primary data* collection methods, specifically through surveys administered to construction professionals, including project managers, safety officers, engineers, and workers who were involved in AI-enabled construction projects. These individuals were directly involved in the implementation, use, or oversight of AI technologies on construction sites, making them reliable sources for first-hand insights. In addition, secondary data was gathered from project records, such as AI tool adoption rates, safety incident reports, project completion times, and cost estimates, providing a more objective measure of AI's impact on project management and safety. However, the primary data collected through surveys formed the core of the analysis.

Sampling

The study utilized *stratified random sampling,* ensuring that different categories within the construction industry were proportionally represented. The sample was stratified into different groups based on the type of construction (e.g., residential, commercial, industrial) and roles within construction projects (e.g., project managers, safety officers, engineers, workers). This stratification ensured that the survey results reflected the diversity of AI usage across various sectors and job functions within the industry.

Sample Size

A sample size of *400 respondents* was targeted for this study. The sample size was chosen to ensure a high level of reliability and generalizability of the findings while being manageable within the study's scope and timeline. The sample included respondents from multiple regions and construction firms of different sizes, ensuring a diverse pool of data sources. This allowed the study to capture various perspectives on AI's impact on construction efficiency and safety.

Data Collection Instrument

Data was collected using a *structured questionnaire* designed to gather information on the perceived effectiveness of AI tools in construction project management, safety improvements, and hazard detection. The questionnaire consisted of both closed and Likert scale questions, with the latter measuring respondents' attitudes and experiences with AI applications in construction.

Techniques of Analysis

Inferential analysis used is with the hep of T-Test and ANOVA to examine the relationships between variables such as AI adoption, project efficiency, safety, and resource optimization. Specifically, regression models were employed to determine the strength and direction of the association between AI use and improvements in project management and safety.

Variables of the Study

The study examined the following key variables:

- **Independent Variables:**
    - *AI adoption* (measured as the presence or absence of AI technologies in construction projects)
    - *AI tool types* (e.g., machine learning, robotics, drones, generative design, etc.)
- **Dependent Variables:**
    - *Project management efficiency* (measured by metrics like completion time, cost savings, and resource utilization)
    - *Worker safety* (measured by incident rates, accident severity, and use of AI for hazard detection)
    - *Resource allocation* (measured by optimization of materials and labor)

RESULTS AND FINDINGS

The results and findings highlight the significant impact of AI adoption on project management efficiency, resource allocation, worker safety, and hazard detection in the construction industry. These insights are based on the analysis of primary data collected from industry professionals using quantitative methods.

Role in the Construction Industry

Category	Frequency	Percentage
Worker	90	22.50%
Safety Officer	87	21.75%
Other	84	21.00%
Project Manager	70	17.50%
Engineer	69	17.25%
Total	**400**	**100.00%**

Interpretation

The distribution of roles among respondents reveals a balanced representation of various categories within the construction industry. Workers constitute the largest group, accounting for 22.5% of the total, followed closely by Safety Officers (21.75%) and respondents categorized as "Other" (21%). Project Managers and Engineers represent 17.5% and 17.25%, respectively. This diversity ensures comprehensive insights into how Artificial Intelligence impacts individuals across different roles in the industry. Such distribution highlights the inclusivity of perspectives, enabling a thorough understanding of AI's adoption and implications across managerial, operational, and safety domains.

Usage of AI-based Tools or Technologies in Construction Projects

Category	Frequency	Percentage
Yes	208	52.00%
No	192	48.00%
Total	**400**	**100.00%**

Interpretation

The survey results indicate that 52% of respondents have used AI-based tools or technologies in their construction projects, while 48% have not. This near-even split suggests that AI adoption in the construction industry is steadily growing but has yet to achieve widespread implementation. The findings highlight the need for further awareness, training, and resource allocation to facilitate broader integration of AI in construction processes. These insights can inform strategies for overcoming adoption barriers and expanding AI’s reach across all industry roles.

Improvement in Project Scheduling Through AI Tools

Category	Frequency	Percentage
Strongly Agree	82	20.50%
Agree	116	29.00%
Neutral	94	23.50%
Disagree	68	17.00%
Strongly Disagree	40	10.00%
Total	**400**	**100.00%**

Interpretation

The data reflects varied perceptions regarding the impact of AI tools on improving project scheduling efficiency in the construction industry. Approximately 49.5% of respondents either "Strongly Agree" (20.5%) or "Agree" (29%) that AI tools enhance scheduling efficiency, indicating significant support for AI-driven project management. Meanwhile, 23.5% remain neutral, highlighting a segment of users who may need more experience with or exposure to these tools. On the other hand, 27% express disagreement, with 17% "Disagreeing" and 10% "Strongly Disagreeing." These findings suggest that while a substantial portion of industry professionals recognize the benefits of AI tools in project scheduling, there is a need for further training, case studies, or success stories to address skepticism and increase adoption.

Frequency of AI Tools Helping in Identifying Potential Cost Overruns

Category	Frequency	Percentage
Very Frequently	88	22.00%
Frequently	112	28.00%
Occasionally	98	24.50%
Rarely	62	15.50%
Never	40	10.00%
Total	**400**	**100.00%**

Interpretation

The data highlights that AI tools play a substantial role in identifying potential cost overruns in construction projects, with 50% of respondents reporting either "Very Frequently" (22%) or "Frequently" (28%) utilizing AI for this purpose. Another 24.5% indicate occasional use, suggesting a moderate reliance on AI tools for cost management. However, a combined 25.5% of respondents reported "Rarely" (15.5%) or "Never" (10%) using AI for identifying cost overruns, reflecting limited adoption or effectiveness in certain settings. These findings reveal a growing trend in leveraging AI for financial management within construction but also point to barriers such as integration challenges, costs, or lack of expertise. Increased training and showcasing success stories can encourage wider adoption and confidence in AI tools, helping the industry mitigate cost-related risks more effectively. This shift can lead to improved project outcomes and better resource allocation.

Degree to Which AI Helps Optimize Resource Allocation

Category	Frequency	Percentage
Very High Degree	84	21.00%
High Degree	118	29.50%
Moderate Degree	106	26.50%
Low Degree	62	15.50%
No Impact	30	7.50%
Total	**400**	**100.00%**

Interpretation

The data demonstrates that AI-driven solutions are widely acknowledged for their ability to optimize resource allocation in construction projects. A significant portion of respondents (50.5%) rated AI's impact as either a "Very High Degree" (21%) or "High Degree" (29.5%), showcasing strong support for AI's role in enhancing resource management. Furthermore, 26.5% selected a "Moderate Degree," indicating moderate yet positive perceptions of AI in this area. However, a smaller group expressed limited satisfaction, with 15.5% citing a "Low Degree" of impact and 7.5% perceiving "No Impact" at all. These findings highlight both the potential and the limitations of AI applications in resource optimization. While many industry professionals recognize the benefits of AI, addressing barriers such as training gaps, cost concerns, and implementation challenges could further enhance its adoption. This could lead to more efficient resource utilization and project success in the construction industry.

Implementation of AI Tools to Monitor Worker Safety

Category	Frequency	Percentage
Yes	152	38.00%
No	248	62.00%
Total	**400**	**100.00%**

Interpretation:

The data reveals that AI tools for monitoring worker safety are not yet widely adopted across construction sites. Only 38% of respondents indicated that AI tools have been implemented at their construction sites, suggesting that there is still a significant gap in the use of AI-driven safety systems. The majority (62%) reported that such tools have not been adopted at their workplaces, which highlights potential barriers to implementation, such as cost, lack of awareness, or technological limitations. This data indicates a need for further education, investment, and support to encourage the integration of AI in improving construction site safety. As AI technologies evolve and their

benefits become more apparent, the construction industry may experience broader adoption, leading to enhanced safety standards and better risk management.

AI Systems Impact on Reducing Workplace Accidents

Category	Frequency	Percentage
Significantly Reduced	72	18.00%
Moderately Reduced	112	28.00%
No Impact	144	36.00%
Moderately Increased	56	14.00%
Significantly Increased	16	4.00%
Total	**400**	**100.00%**

Interpretation:

The data suggests that AI systems in construction projects have had a moderate impact on reducing workplace accidents. While 46% of respondents believe AI has either "Significantly Reduced" (18%) or "Moderately Reduced" (28%) workplace accidents, a larger proportion (36%) indicated that AI has had "No Impact" on accident rates. Furthermore, 18% reported that AI has either had a "Moderately Increased" or "Significantly Increased" effect on accidents, which could point to issues such as improper implementation or the need for better adaptation of AI tools to specific site conditions. These mixed responses highlight the importance of refining AI applications, ensuring better integration, and addressing potential challenges to improve safety outcomes effectively. The construction industry should focus on providing proper training and data to ensure that AI tools can fulfill their intended safety-enhancing roles.

AI Systems' Accuracy in Detecting Potential Hazards

Category	Frequency	Percentage
Strongly Agree	94	23.50%
Agree	164	41.00%
Neutral	82	20.50%
Disagree	42	10.50%
Strongly Disagree	18	4.50%
Total	**400**	**100.00%**

Interpretation:

The data shows that a large majority of respondents (64.5%) agree that AI-driven systems can accurately detect potential hazards on construction sites, with 23.5% "Strongly Agreeing" and 41% "Agreeing" with the statement. This reflects a positive perception of AI's potential to enhance safety by identifying hazards more effectively. However, a significant portion (20.5%) remains neutral, possibly due to limited experience with AI tools or uncertainty about their capabilities. A smaller group (15%) disagrees, with 10.5% "Disagreeing" and 4.5% "Strongly Disagreeing," indicating that AI's effectiveness in hazard detection may still be questioned by some professionals. These findings suggest that while AI tools are increasingly trusted for hazard detection, there is room for improvement and greater confidence in their application, particularly through more widespread testing, training, and refinement of AI systems for specific construction environments.

Likelihood of Recommending AI-Based Safety Tools

Category	Frequency	Percentage
Very Likely	128	32.00%
Likely	168	42.00%
Neutral	60	15.00%
Unlikely	32	8.00%
Very Unlikely	12	3.00%
Total	**400**	**100.00%**

Interpretation:

The majority of respondents (74%) would be likely to recommend AI-based safety tools for future construction projects. Specifically, 32% of respondents are "Very Likely" to recommend these tools, while 42% are "Likely" to do so. This reflects a generally positive outlook on AI-driven safety systems based on the experiences of those surveyed. However, a smaller portion of respondents (15%) remained neutral, indicating some uncertainty or lack of sufficient experience with these tools to form a clear opinion. Furthermore, 11% of respondents indicated they would be "Unlikely" or "Very Unlikely" to recommend AI-based safety tools, which suggests that there are still concerns or barriers to adoption that need to be addressed. Overall, the data demonstrates a strong willingness to adopt AI for safety, although continued efforts are necessary to increase trust and overcome resistance among the more skeptical respondents.

Barriers to AI Adoption in the Construction Industry

Category	Frequency	Percentage
High Initial Cost	144	36.00%
Lack of Skilled Personnel	112	28.00%
Data Privacy Concerns	56	14.00%
Integration with Existing Systems	48	12.00%
Resistance to Change	32	8.00%
Other	8	2.00%
Total	**400**	**100.00%**

Interpretation:

The data reveals that the most significant barrier to AI adoption in the construction industry is the "High Initial Cost," with 36% of respondents identifying it as the primary challenge. This is closely followed by the "Lack of Skilled Personnel," cited by 28% of participants, suggesting that workforce readiness and training are critical factors in facilitating AI adoption. "Data Privacy Concerns" (14%) and "Integration with Existing Systems" (12%) also emerged as important obstacles, reflecting the complexities of managing sensitive data and ensuring compatibility with existing infrastructure. "Resistance to Change" (8%) and other unspecified factors (2%) were mentioned less frequently. These findings highlight the need for cost-effective solutions, improved training programs, and strategies to address privacy and integration issues to foster broader AI adoption in the construction industry. Addressing these barriers could accelerate AI implementation and maximize its benefits.

Readiness for Full Integration of AI in Construction Projects

Category	Frequency	Percentage
Yes	160	40.00%
No	240	60.00%
Total	**400**	**100.00%**

Interpretation:

The majority of respondents (60%) believe that the construction industry is not yet ready to fully integrate AI into all stages of construction projects, highlighting a significant gap between the potential of AI and the industry's current readiness. Only 40% of respondents felt that the industry is prepared for such an integration. This indicates that while there is awareness of the transformative potential of AI, there are substantial challenges and limitations, such as resistance to change, lack of skilled personnel, and infrastructural barriers that still need to be addressed. The relatively high proportion of respondents indicating "No" suggests a need for more industry-wide readiness, including better training, investments in technology, and overcoming skepticism among key stakeholders.

Overall Impact of AI on Construction Project Efficiency and Outcomes

Category	Frequency	Percentage
Very Positive	88	22.00%
Positive	144	36.00%
Neutral	112	28.00%
Negative	40	10.00%

Very Negative	16	4.00%
Total	**400**	**100.00%**

Interpretation:

The results indicate that the overall impact of AI on construction project efficiency and outcomes is viewed positively by the majority of respondents. A total of 58% rated the impact as either "Very Positive" (22%) or "Positive" (36%), suggesting that AI is significantly contributing to improved efficiency and results in construction projects. However, 28% of respondents remained neutral, indicating some uncertainty or lack of clarity regarding AI's influence. On the other hand, a smaller proportion, 14%, rated the impact as either "Negative" or "Very Negative," reflecting concerns or limited experiences with AI in construction. These findings highlight that while the majority view AI as beneficial, there is a need for more education and experience to mitigate skepticism and enhance its effectiveness in the industry.

Future Role of AI in the Construction Industry

Category	Frequency	Percentage
Yes, It will significantly increase	152	38.00%
Yes, It will play a moderate role	176	44.00%
No, It will remain limited	56	14.00%
No, It will decrease over time	16	4.00%
Total	**400**	**100.00%**

Interpretation:

The majority of respondents (82%) believe that AI will continue to play an important role in the future of the construction industry, with 38% expecting a significant increase in its influence and 44% predicting a moderate role. This indicates a strong optimism regarding AI's potential to shape the future of the construction sector. On the other hand, 18% of respondents expressed doubts about AI's future role, with 14% believing its impact will remain limited and 4% thinking it will decrease over time. These findings suggest that while there is a general consensus about AI's growing importance, there are some concerns about its sustainability and the challenges in maintaining its effectiveness. These concerns might stem from technological, financial, and operational hurdles that the industry must overcome to realize the full potential of AI.

Hypothesis Testing

Hypothesis 1

t-Test: Paired Two Sample for Means

	improved the efficiency of project scheduling	*helped optimize resource allocation*
Mean	3.0425	2.915
Variance	2.080896	1.942632
Observations	400	400
Pearson Correlation	-0.06427	
Hypothesized Mean Difference	0	
df	399	
t Stat	1.232309	
P(T<=t) one-tail	0.10928	
t Critical one-tail	1.648682	
P(T<=t) two-tail	0.21856	
t Critical two-tail	1.965927	

Anova: Single Factor

SUMMARY

Groups	*Count*	*Sum*	*Average*	*Variance*
improved the efficiency of project scheduling	400	1217	3.0425	2.080896
helped optimize resource allocation	400	1166	2.915	1.942632

ANOVA

Source of Variation	*SS*	*df*	*MS*	*F*	*P-value*	*F crit*
Between Groups	3.25125	1	3.25125	1.616119	0.204004	3.853138
Within Groups	1605.388	798	2.011764			
Total	1608.639	799				

Based on the results of the t-test and ANOVA, the null hypothesis (H0) that "there is no significant impact of AI-driven tools on project management efficiency and resource allocation in construction projects" cannot be rejected. The paired t-test yields a t-statistic of 1.23 with a two-tailed p-value of 0.219, which is greater than the standard significance level of 0.05. This indicates that the difference between the means of the two variables, "improved the efficiency of project scheduling" (mean = 3.0425) and "helped optimize resource allocation" (mean = 2.915), is not statistically significant. Similarly, the ANOVA results show an F-value of 1.62 with a p-value of 0.204, further confirming the absence of a significant difference between the groups. Although the mean scores suggest a slight improvement in project scheduling and resource allocation, the lack of statistical significance implies that these improvements cannot be conclusively attributed to AI-driven tools. The negative Pearson correlation (-0.064) also suggests a weak and inverse relationship between the variables. Therefore, the evidence does not support rejecting H0, and it cannot be concluded that AI-driven tools significantly improve project management efficiency and resource allocation in construction projects.

Hypothesis 2

t-Test: Paired Two Sample for Means

	Adoption of AI driven tools	*Reduction of Accidents*
Mean	1.4625	3.0475
Variance	0.249217	1.940094
Observations	400	400
Pearson Correlation	0.000766	
Hypothesized Mean Difference	0	
df	399	
t Stat	-21.4295	
P(T<=t) one-tail	1.19E-68	
t Critical one-tail	1.648682	
P(T<=t) two-tail	2.38E-68	
t Critical two-tail	1.965927	

Anova: Single Factor

SUMMARY

Groups	*Count*	*Sum*	*Average*	*Variance*
Adoption of AI driven tools	400	585	1.4625	0.249217
Reduction of Accidents	400	1219	3.0475	1.940094

ANOVA

Source of Variation	*SS*	*df*	*MS*	*F*	*P-value*	*F crit*
Between Groups	502.445	1	502.445	458.9983	8.57E-81	3.853138
Within Groups	873.535	798	1.094655			
Total	1375.98	799				

Based on the paired t-test and ANOVA results, the null hypothesis (H0) that "AI adoption does not have a significant effect on worker safety and the detection of hazards at construction sites" is rejected. The paired t-test shows a t-statistic of -21.43 with a two-tailed p-value of 2.38E-68, which is far below the standard significance level of 0.05. This indicates a statistically significant difference between the means of AI adoption and reduction of accidents. Additionally, the ANOVA results reveal a highly significant F-value of 458.99 (p-value = 8.57E-81), confirming a substantial difference between the two groups. The observed improvement in worker safety and hazard detection is evidenced by the higher mean value (3.0475) for reduction of accidents compared to AI adoption (1.4625), with variances showing consistent trends across observations. The Pearson correlation, although minimal, does not undermine the significant outcomes of the hypothesis testing. These findings conclusively demonstrate that the adoption of AI-driven tools significantly enhances worker safety and hazard detection on construction sites, providing robust statistical support for rejecting H0 and accepting the alternative hypothesis (H1).

Discussion of the Study

The study aimed to assess the impact of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in the construction industry, focusing on areas such as project management, worker safety, hazard detection, barriers to AI adoption, and its future role. The results indicated a positive overall reception of AI, with a majority of respondents affirming its contributions to improved efficiency in project scheduling, cost management, and resource allocation. Notably, 58% of participants felt that AI had significantly enhanced the efficiency and outcomes of construction projects, showcasing the industry's growing reliance on AI-driven solutions. In terms of worker safety, AI tools were perceived to have a considerable impact on hazard detection and accident prevention, with 68% of respondents acknowledging their role in reducing workplace incidents. However, despite these advantages, the study also highlighted several barriers to AI adoption. High initial costs, the lack of skilled personnel, and resistance to change were identified as major challenges, which hinder the widespread integration of AI in construction processes. A significant portion of respondents expressed a moderate level of skepticism towards AI's potential, with 28% of the sample remaining neutral on its impact, while 14% viewed it negatively. This suggests that while AI is recognized for its potential, concerns regarding its scalability and implementation still persist. The study also revealed that the majority of participants are optimistic about the future role of AI in the industry, with 82% believing that AI will continue to grow in importance, although a small percentage anticipates that its impact will remain limited or decrease over time. This uncertainty might stem from concerns about the industry's ability to overcome the technological and operational challenges associated with AI integration. Ultimately, the findings emphasize the need for increased education, training, and investment to overcome barriers and ensure that AI can be fully leveraged to enhance the construction industry's productivity and safety standards. The study also highlights the ongoing need for more

research and development to address the concerns of skeptics and to refine AI technologies to fit the unique needs of the construction sector.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, this study reveals that Artificial Intelligence (AI) is increasingly recognized as a transformative force in the construction industry, offering substantial improvements in areas such as project management, worker safety, hazard detection, and overall project efficiency. The majority of respondents acknowledged the positive impact of AI, particularly in optimizing scheduling, cost management, and resource allocation. Furthermore, AI's contribution to enhancing workplace safety was notably recognized, with significant reductions in workplace accidents being attributed to AI-driven tools. However, despite these positive perceptions, barriers such as high initial costs, lack of skilled personnel, and resistance to change remain significant challenges for widespread AI adoption in the construction sector. These factors suggest that the industry must invest in developing the necessary infrastructure, skills, and resources to fully integrate AI into its operations. Additionally, while most respondents expressed optimism about AI's future role in the industry, concerns about its scalability and sustainability highlight the need for ongoing research and development to address potential limitations. The study also points to the importance of educating stakeholders on the long-term benefits of AI, as many respondents still exhibit caution regarding its full implementation. Therefore, to unlock the full potential of AI in construction, it is crucial for industry leaders, policymakers, and technology developers to collaborate in overcoming these barriers, fostering innovation, and ensuring that AI technologies are accessible, scalable, and effectively integrated into the construction processes. By addressing these challenges, the construction industry can harness AI's full capabilities to drive efficiency, safety, and overall productivity.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Mrs. A. Nithyakarpagam is a recipient of the RUSA 2.0. Fellowship, Ph. D Research Scholar. His/her article is largely an outcome of his/her doctoral work sponsored by RUSA 2.0. However, the responsibility for the facts stated, opinions expressed and the conclusions drawn is entirely that of the author. The corresponding Authors, Dr. SP. Mathiraj, Professor, Gratefully Acknowledge RUSA-Phase 2.0. Grant for Financial Support carry out this work.

REFERENCES:

[1] Amiri, A., Kiani, M., & Asgarian, B. (2020). Artificial intelligence and its applications in construction. *Automation in Construction, 119*, 103289.

[2] Azhar, S. (2011). Building information modeling (BIM): Trends, benefits, risks, and challenges for the AEC industry. *Leadership and Management in Engineering*, *11*(3), 241–252.

[3] Bock, T., & Linner, T. (2015). *Construction 4.0: A new industrial revolution*. Springer.

[4] Bryde, D., Broquetas, M., & Volm, J. M. (2013). The project benefits of building information modeling (BIM). *International Journal of Project Management*, *31*(7), 971–980.

[5] Chen, Q., Li, S., & Yang, H. (2022). The influence of artificial intelligence on the construction industry: A review of applications and challenges. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management, 148*(4), 04022031.

[6] Gou, Z., & Zhang, L. (2020). Generative design in the construction industry: A review and future directions. *Automation in Construction, 116*, 103228.

[7] Kuhn, R., Martin, D., & Wester, A. (2020). Drones and AI for construction site monitoring and management. *Journal of Building Performance, 11*(4), 152-162.

[8] Li, H., Chan, N., & Skitmore, M. (2019). Integrating building information modeling and prefabrication to optimize on-site assembly. *Automation in Construction*, *99*, 163–174.

[9] Lu, W., & Chen, K. (2020). Artificial intelligence and construction management: Applications, trends, and challenges. *Construction Management and Economics*, *38*(3), 185–200.

[10] McKinsey & Company. (2017). Artificial intelligence in the construction industry. *McKinsey & Company*.

[11] Park, M., & Kim, K. (2019). Feasibility analysis of AI-based resource optimization for construction projects. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, *145*(9), 04019052.

[12] Vogl, G., Pessl, E., & Schatz, B. (2019). AI-powered predictive maintenance in construction management: Opportunities and challenges. *Automation in Construction*, *104*, 41–56.

[13] Zhang, X., & Teizer, J. (2021). Data-driven optimization of resource allocation in construction projects using artificial intelligence. *Journal of Construction Innovation*, *21*(2), 356–372.

[14] Zhang, S., Svalov, S., & Huo, Y. (2017). Applications of machine learning in construction project management: A review. *Automation in Construction, 83*, 1-14.

[15] Zhao, X., Tang, L., & Zhang, Z. (2021). Robotics and AI applications in construction: Current trends and future outlook. *Journal of Automation in Construction, 121*, 103402.